### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_44615
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activity of Leaves Extracts of Eucalyptus Camaldulensis and Eucalyptus Microtheca
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In study and design section of abstract correct "off" as "of" and change "scanning" as "screening"	
	In results section of abstract change "compare" as "compared"	
	In conclusion section of abstract change "indicate" as "indicated"	
	In line 53 remove the <b>underline</b>	
	In 65 <sup>th</sup> line remove the year ( <b>1984</b> )	
	In 70 <sup>th</sup> line remove the <b>underline</b>	
	In 74 <sup>th</sup> line correct "department" as " <b>Department</b> "	
	In 78 <sup>th</sup> line correct "Mueller" as " <b>Muller</b> "	
	In 80 <sup>th</sup> line change "used" as " <b>use</b> "	
	In 81 <sup>st</sup> line remove the <b>underline</b>	
	In 95 <sup>th</sup> line already Harborne is 18 <sup>th</sup> reference and how can Mahmoud be an 18 <sup>th</sup> reference	
	In 95 <sup>th</sup> line remove the year ( <b>2012</b> ) and change "which" as "who"	
	In 97 <sup>th</sup> line change "Sani et al. (2014) where revealed the present of alkaloids in" and correct it as	
	Sani et al. [put correct reference number] where the study revealed the presence of alkaloids in"	
	In 99 <sup>th</sup> line correct "Chuku et al. (2016); were studied" as Chuku et al. [put correct reference	
	number]; studied"	
	Correct all the reference numbers in the text accordingly	
	In 102 <sup>nd</sup> line change "perhaps due to" as " <b>perhaps may be due to</b> "	
	In 103 <sup>rd</sup> line correct "plant growth" as " <b>plant grows</b> "	
	In 109 <sup>th</sup> line change "were showed" as " <b>showed</b> "	
	In 110t line correct "no antimicrobial activity observed using low concentrations" as "no antimicrobial	
	activity was observed under low concentrations"	
	In 119 <sup>th</sup> , 132 <sup>nd</sup> and 138 <sup>th</sup> line correct "S. auruas" as " <b>S. aureus</b> "	
	In 124 <sup>th</sup> line correct "Also a decreasing of the inhibition effect" as "Also a decrease in the	
	inhibition effect"	
	In 125 <sup>th</sup> line remove the year "(2014)" and put correct reference number	
	In 133 <sup>rd</sup> line correct "there was no any inhibition" as "there was no inhibition" and "for the	
	concentration" as "at the concentration"	
	In 14 <sup>th</sup> line correct "possibly the reason" as " <b>possibly might be the reason</b> "	
	In results section change antimicrobial activity into paragraphs	
	In Table 2 correct "S. auruas" as " <b>S. aureus</b> "	
	In158 <sup>th</sup> line remove " <b>as</b> "	
	In 159 <sup>th</sup> line change "indicate" as " <b>indicated</b> "	
	In 160 <sup>th</sup> line change "germs" as " <b>microorganisms</b> "	
Minor REVISION comments	The second secon	
Optional/General comments		
Optional/General comments	I .	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	R. Dhivya
Department, University & Country	Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)