



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_41394
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Potentiality and Brine Shrimp Lethality Bioassay of the Leaves Extract of Trema orientalis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The title should be reframe as "Antibacterial Potentiality and Brine Shrimp Lethality Bioassay of the Methanolic Extract of Trema orientalis Leaves</p> <p>If the author had actually carried out the analysis of data in SPSS why then reporting zone of inhibition with just ordinary figure without corresponding decimal digit. The result would have reported as 17.67±0.17, 22.90±0.58, 25±1.2 instead of 17, 22 and 25. As the data subjected to SPSS analysis will not give ordinary figure without its decimal digit.</p> <p>Remember also that the author reported that the experiment was carried out in triplicate(line 161) but this does not reflect in the results of zone of inhibition after using SPSS analysis.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 8,10,13 should be extract of Trema orientalis leaves</p> <p>Line 43; should be sources</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayantola K.J</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ekiti State University, Nigeria</b>