



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_40768
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanisms and Antidiabetic Compounds of Balanites Aegyptiaca Delile Plant: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>" 3. Bioactive compounds ..." This chapter should be rewritten and systematized. A criteria should be selected for classification and carry out (f.e. drug or chemical of herbal actives). In part 4, studies should be commented intensively. Extract, number of animals, administration route ...</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please include citation of personal communications. I don't understand "NB: Numbers in bracket represent article that reported antidiabetic effect of the plant part" under table 1. I think it is not necessary. Table 2 can be improved including information about the extract preparation. I suggest "Mode of action proposed" Citation: [45, 46](Park et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011). See journal style. Any other conclusion from the work? Perspectives?</p>	
Optional/General comments	In part 4 exhaust each mechanism of action (only one) before change to other.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javier Rodríguez Villanueva
Department, University & Country	Biomedical sciences Department, University of Alcalá, Spain