



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_44615
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activity of Leaves Extracts of Eucalyptus Camaldulensis and Eucalyptus Microtheca
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In study and design section of abstract correct "off" as "<b>of</b>" and change "scanning" as "<b>screening</b>"</p> <p>In results section of abstract change "compare" as "<b>compared</b>"</p> <p>In conclusion section of abstract change "indicate" as "<b>indicated</b>"</p> <p>In line 53 remove the <b>underline</b></p> <p>In 65<sup>th</sup> line remove the year (1984)</p> <p>In 70<sup>th</sup> line remove the <b>underline</b></p> <p>In 74<sup>th</sup> line correct "department" as "<b>Department</b>"</p> <p>In 78<sup>th</sup> line correct "Mueller" as "<b>Muller</b>"</p> <p>In 80<sup>th</sup> line change "used" as "<b>use</b>"</p> <p>In 81<sup>st</sup> line remove the <b>underline</b></p> <p>In 95<sup>th</sup> line already Harborne is 18<sup>th</sup> reference and how can Mahmoud be an 18<sup>th</sup> reference</p> <p>In 95<sup>th</sup> line remove the year (2012) and change "which" as "<b>who</b>"</p> <p>In 97<sup>th</sup> line change "Sani <i>et al.</i> (2014) where revealed the present of alkaloids in" and correct it as Sani <i>et al.</i> [put correct reference number] where <b>the study</b> revealed the presence of alkaloids in"</p> <p>In 99<sup>th</sup> line correct "Chuku <i>et al.</i> (2016); were studied" as Chuku <i>et al.</i> [put correct reference number]; <b>studied</b>"</p> <p>Correct all the reference numbers in the text accordingly</p> <p>In 102<sup>nd</sup> line change "perhaps due to" as "<b>perhaps may be due to</b>"</p> <p>In 103<sup>rd</sup> line correct "plant growth" as "<b>plant grows</b>"</p> <p>In 109<sup>th</sup> line change "were showed" as "<b>showed</b>"</p> <p>In 110<sup>th</sup> line correct "no antimicrobial activity observed using low concentrations" as "<b>no antimicrobial activity was observed under low concentrations</b>"</p> <p>In 119<sup>th</sup> , 132<sup>nd</sup> and 138<sup>th</sup> line correct "<i>S. auruas</i>" as "<b><i>S. aureus</i></b>"</p> <p><b>In 124<sup>th</sup> line correct</b> "Also a decreasing of the inhibition effect" as "<b>Also a decrease in the inhibition effect</b>"</p> <p>In 125<sup>th</sup> line remove the year "(2014)" and put correct reference number</p> <p>In 133<sup>rd</sup> line correct "there was no any inhibition" as "<b>there was no inhibition</b>" and "for the concentration" as "<b>at the concentration</b>"</p> <p>In 14<sup>th</sup> line correct "possibly the reason" as "<b>possibly might be the reason</b>"</p> <p>In results section change antimicrobial activity into paragraphs</p> <p>In Table 2 correct "<i>S. auruas</i>" as "<b><i>S. aureus</i></b>"</p> <p>In 158<sup>th</sup> line remove "<b>as</b>"</p> <p>In 159<sup>th</sup> line change "indicate" as "<b>indicated</b>"</p> <p>In 160<sup>th</sup> line change "germs" as "<b>microorganisms</b>"</p>	<p>Author's were agreed with reviewer, corrected the manuscript and highlighted the corrected part in the manuscript with yellow color.</p> <p>The underline in lines 53, 70 and 80 were <u>third level line</u> based on the journal SDI template.</p> <p>Corrected Corrected Corrected</p> <p>In fact Harborne is 17<sup>th</sup> reference and Mahmoud is 18<sup>th</sup> reference. All the reference numbers in the text were corrected.</p> <p>All the year after the author's names were removed and the correct reference numbers were put. Author's were corrected all the reference numbers in the text. Changed. Corrected. Changed. Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected. Corrected.</p> <p>Removed. Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected. Antimicrobial activity in result section changed into paragraphs. Corrected. Removed. Changed. Changed.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	