



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_40768
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanisms and Antidiabetic Compounds of Balanites Aegyptiaca Delile Plant: A Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>“ 3. Bioactive compounds ...” This chapter should be rewritten and systematized. A criteria should be selected for classification and carry out (f.e. drug or chemical of herbal actives).</p> <p>In part 4, studies should be commented intensively. Extract, number of animals, administration route ...</p>	Correction was effected as suggested
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please include citation of personal communications.</p> <p>I don't understand “NB: Numbers in bracket represent article that reported antidiabetic effect of the plant part” under table 1. I think it is not necessary.</p> <p>Table 2 can be improved including information about the extract preparation. I suggest “Mode of action <u>proposed</u>”</p> <p>Citation: [45, 46](Park et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011). See journal style.</p> <p>Any other conclusion from the work? Perspectives?</p>	It was corrected
Optional/General comments	In part 4 exhaust each mechanism of action (only one) before change to other.	Noted and corrected