



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJ SSE_45829</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Factors Influencing Economic Viability of Small Farmers of Rice Production in Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>INTRODUCTION</b> Under introduction there are some irrelevant citations and grammatical errors. As highlighted therefore: all red text highlights are to be deleted; all blue colour texts are to be included and all yellow colour text are to be observed without altering. When effected, the introduction would be alright.</p> <p><b>METHODOLOGY</b> There is a need to briefly describe the study area in a paragraph or so. In addition, as usual all blue highlighted texts are to be included and delete the red coloured text. There are two yellow texts highlighted; first where "any source??" was written requires any backing from previous researches of using the same model; while the second one where "<math>X_8</math> =Net income from dairy" is written, it is unclear as to why specifying single enterprise (Dairy) as the research is on rice? Meanwhile why using Crops instead of specifically using Rice??</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> There is need to clearly discussed Table 2.</p>	<p>Introduction and methodology has been modified. More citation helps to make the introduction strong for the study and express that the study is required.</p> <p>Table 2 was discussed clearly.</p> <p>Value from dairy and crops represents the farm income which was required to calculate economic surplus.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author need to clarify while stating the research problem or in the methodology which crop under study?? Is it rice or many crops? If it is many crops, there is need to indicate the crops because crops are many.</li> <li>2. Dairy enterprise was also included but there is no clear explanation from the secondary data either in the introduction or methodology that says all the farmers are assumed to engage in dairy farming. The author needs to clarify.</li> </ol> <p>These are the minor clarification and corrections the author need to consider. Therefore I recommend the article to be published after effecting the minor corrections.</p>	<p>Tittle and introduction has already cleared that the study was conducted on rice growing small farmers.</p> <p>Dairy farming was common in those rice farmers. Value from dairy and crops represents the farm income which was required to calculate economic surplus.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	