2 3	Gross Margin Cost and Returns and Factor	 Comment [G1]: You are talking of profitability, and you have not use any of the profitability indices, where the comparison prior for the found in the formation of the profitability indices.
4 5 6 7	Analysis of Watermelon Production in Patuakhali District, Bangladesh	such as Gross ratio, operating ratio, fixed ratio, among others. Thereafter, you should inteprete the results based o0n the technical explanations. What of of the benefit cost analysis, return on investment among others. However, if you still want to continue with the title, you are expected to strongly consider these comments. You could on the other hand, use Gross Margin and factor
8	ABSTRACT This study examined the profitability and factor productivity of watermelon	 Comment [G2]: Please at the title again
	production in Patuakhali district, Bangladesh. A multi-stage stratified sampling design had been used for the selection of the watermelon growing farmers. Data were obtained with the aid of a pre tested structured questionnaire. The socio- economic characteristics of the respondents was described and categorized by	
	Descriptive statistics, Gross margin analysis was used to analyze the cost and returns to watermelon production and Cobb Douglas production function was used	 Comment [G3]: Already you have gotten part of the title of the paper here
	to evaluate the input factor productivity. Results showed that the farmers are relatively middle aged with average age of about 41 years with a sizable number of the respondents (1.7%) having passed through tertiary education. It was also observed that the farmers are typically smallholders with average farm size of 50-	 Comment [G4]: In terms of age, you cannot have i.e 40.71 years, Instead, you approximate it to a whole number
	249 decimal. The result of the gross margin analysis showed that watermelon production is profitable with a gross margin of Tk 756.243 per decimal. The result of	 Comment [G5]: Meaning what in the standard unit of measures
	the Cobb Douglas production function shows that the coefficients of cost of seed (0.137), cost of tillage (0.227), cost of irrigation (0.304), cost of labour (0.156), and cost of pesticide (0.305) were positively significant at 5% and 1%. The overall factor productivity index is 1.19 implying an increasing return to scale in water melon	 Comment [G6]: See above
	production in the study area. However, lack of irrigation facility and pesticide were identified as major production constraints.	
9		
10 11 12	Keywords: Profitability, Factor Productivity, Gross Margin, Cobb Douglas Function, Smallholders, Return to Scale	

13 1. INTRODUCTION

14

15 One of the common characteristics of developing countries is the large share of agriculture 16 in their economies (Boyce, 1985). Being an under developed country, Bangladesh is facing numerous economic problems. Agriculture is the main source of livelihoods of the rural peo-17 ple, which consists of 75.4 % of the total population in Bangladesh, and agriculture contributes 21.10 % of the GDP. (BBS 2011) The economic development of Bangladesh is 18 19 20 still synonymous with Agricultural development. There can hardly be any rural or national 21 development in neither Bangladesh, nor can there be any significant reduction of poverty 22 without a significant development in agriculture sector. All efforts will, therefore, be geared to 23 provide the thrust necessary for boosting agricultural production. So the overall economic 24 development depends on the proper development of our agricultural sector to a great extent.

25 Watermelon is a warm season crop that is cultivated worldwide because of its numerous

26 nutritional benefits. It thrives very well in most well drained soils whether clayey or sandy but 27 preferably sandy loams. Although China is reported to be the current world largest producer

of the commodity (Huh *et al.*, 2008), watermelons are generally believed to have originated

29 from Africa.

30 Like many other parts of the world, in Bangladesh, watermelon is highly relished as a fresh 31 fruit because of its thirst-quenching attribute in addition to many other identified nutritional 32 values and advantages. It contains 90 percent water and it is very useful fruit during summer 33 season to fulfill the demand of water. It bears vitamin A, B, C and minerals. (Rabbany et al., 34 2013) Therefore, in the recent times, the consumption of the commodity has witnessed 35 remarkable development as it cuts across all socio economic classes. The potentials of 36 watermelon as a cash generating crop is significant for farmers especially those residing near the urban areas. Watermelon is grown more or less all over the country, but some 37 38 districts of this country are more prominent than others. According to BBS (2014) the most 39 important districts covered by a high acreage of watermelon are Patuakhali, Feni, Jhinaidah, 40 Faridpur, Chittagang and Barisal. The present study is conducted on Patuakhali district. The district is selected due to highest coverage of cultivated land under watermelon among all 41 other districts. About 13,368 hectares of land in seven upazilas of Patuakhali district has 42 been brought under watermelon cultivation in 2015 (DAE; Patuakhali, 2015). Sandy loams of 43 44 coastal islands of this district are suitable for watermelon cultivation. The district's total 45 production may cross six lakh tonnes. Land of Rangabali, Galachipa and Kalapara upazilas are large areas of watermelon fields and very suitable for watermelon cultivation due to soil, 46 47 weather condition and availability of irrigation water.

48 The primary objectives of every producer include the maximization of satisfaction, profit maximization, cost minimization or combination of all these. (Olayide et al., 1982 and Ezeh, 49 50 1998) However, a typical entrepreneur in the farm - firm sector is commonly assumed the objective of profit maximization subject to resource constraints. Beyond the point where the 51 resource adds just as much to his revenue as it adds to its cost, a profit maximizing 52 53 entrepreneur will not use a given resource because this might lead to a loss. However, by 54 using more of the resource below such a point the farmer can increase profit. In general, 55 when the value of marginal product of each resource equals its price, resources are said to 56 be efficiently allocated.

57 This study specifically examined the costs and returns and efficiency of resource use in 58 watermelon production in Patuakhali district to serve as a guide to prospective investors on 59 watermelon production investment decisions.

60 The broad objective of this study is to examine the costs and returns of farmers associated with

- 61 Watermelon production in Patuakhali District, Bangladesh.
- 62 The specific objectives are to:
- 63 1. Highlight the socio –economic characteristics of the farmers growing melon;
- 64 2. Determine the costs and returns to watermelon production;
- 65 3. To evaluate the input factor productivity;
- 66 4. Highlight the constraints faced by farmers in melon production.

68 2. METHODOLOGY

69

67

70 2.1 The Study Area

Comment [G7]: Researcher, it will add to the beauty of your paper if you insert the map of the study are and its geographical bearings i.e longitude and latitude, major occupation of the population, rainfall pattern, temprature, population of the study area, etc

Considering the intensity of watermelon production coverage among different districts of 71

72 Bangladesh, the study has chosen Patuakhali district largely due to its sandy soils nature of

73 the coastal islands. Patuakhali district is the largest watermelon growing region, both in 74 acreage and output over the last few years (BBS, 2014).

75 2.2 Sampling technique

76 A multi-stage stratified sampling design has been used for the selection of the watermelon 77 growing farmers. In this study, Patuakhali district is specially chosen due to the production 78 intensity of watermelon coverage among different districts of Bangladesh. Then three 79 upazilas are selected from the district by using simple random sampling (SRS) technique. 80 After selecting the upazilas, one union from each selected upazila is selected randomly using SRS technique. Then, two villages from each union are selected by same technique. 81 82 Finally, 30 watermelon growing farmers from each village are selected using multistage 83 stratified sampling technique with equal allocation. The ultimate sample size is 180 respondents from which primary data were obtained through the administration of a pre 84 85 tested structured questionnaire. Information was collected on the respondents' 86 socioeconomic characteristics such as age, education level, farm size, farming experience, 87 production cost and revenue in water melon production etc.

88 2.3 Analytical techniques

Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, mean and standard deviation) were used to 89 describe and categorize the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents. The Gross 90

91 Margin analysis (difference between total revenue and total variable costs) was used to

92 determine the costs and returns to watermelon production in the study area while in input

93 factor analysis in watermelon production the Cobb Douglas function was used. The choice

was based on widely acknowledged fitness of Cobb Douglas to agricultural production 94

- 95 (Barman and Chaudhury 2000; Barman et al., 2002; Onyenweaku and Nwaru, 2005,
- 96 Ogbonna et al 2009).

97 2.4 Gross Margin Analysis

- 98 The costs and returns to water melon production were estimated using the gross margin
- 99 analysis as follows; 100
- GM = TR-TVC (Mohammed, B. T., 2011) Where:
- 101
- GM = Gross margin in Tk/decimal 102
- 103 TR = Total revenue in Tk/decimal (i.e Unit Price x Quantity)
- 104 TVC = Total variable cost in Tk/decimal
- 105 TR = Total Revenue = Price x Quantity (PQ)
- 106

107 2.5 Cobb Douglas function

108 The Cobb Douglas function used in the factor analysis in watermelon production in the study 109 area is specified in the logarithmic form as:

110 $InY_{i} = In \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}InX_{1} + \beta_{2}InX_{2} + \beta_{3}InX_{3} + \beta_{4}InX_{4} + \beta_{5}InX_{5} + \beta_{6}InX_{6} + \epsilon_{i}$ (Oladele, 2015)

- 111 Where:
- Y = Gross return, measured in Tk/decimal 112
- 113 X1 = Cost of Seed, measured in Tk/decimal
- 114 X₂ = Cost of Tillage, measured in Tk/decimal

Comment [G8]: Is cost and return, a measure of profitability?, likewise grioss margin analysis? What are the profitability indices? You need to workout the gross ratio, fixed ratio, oerationg ratio, therafter, you interprete the results of the ratios as measures to determine pofitability. Otherwise, you modify the title of the paper to read; either gross marin analysis of or ciost and returns in watermelon ...

Comment [G9]: Are you sure is because of it fitness? What of diminishing return as a main factor to be considered in production process. Did you try other functions othe than linear> Thereafte to inform your decision as to the best fuction to consider in discussing your results.

Comment [G10]: You have to use the acepted international unit of measure (Kg, hactare, etc). How do you expect your audiance to compare their findings with your resuklts as you are loalizing it to Bangladesh. Please re-consider your unit in line with my comment

Comment [G11]: Use standard unit of measur, please i.e Kg. Ha

- X_3 = Cost of Irrigation, measured in Tk/decimal X_4 = Cost of Labour, measured in Tk/decimal 115
- 116
- 117 X₅ = Cost of Pesticide, measured in Tk/decimal
- X₆ = Cost of Fertilizer, measured in Tk/decimal 118
- β = constant 119
- 120 $\varepsilon_i = \text{Error terms.}$
- These variables were expected to positively influence gross returns from watermelon in the 121
- 122 study area.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 123

124

125 3.1 Socio - Economic Characteristics of the Respondents

127 Table 1: Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Respondents

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	SD
Age group <mark>(years)</mark>	21	11.7		
<30	138	76.6	40.73	10.19
30-50	21	11.7		
Educational qualification				
Never been to School	01	0.6		
Primary school	136	75.6		
Secondary	41	22.7		
Tertiary	2	1.1		
Extension service				
Yes	135	75.0		
No	45	25.0		
Farm Size <mark>(Ha)</mark>				
5-49	18	10.0	173.77	154.711
50-249	133	73.9		
250-750	26	14.4		
750 and above	03	1.7		
Formal training in watermelon				
Yes	45	25.0		
No	135	75.0		
Mode of Land Ownership				
Own land	37	20.6		
Rented	143	79.4		
Watermelon farming experience				
<6	87	48.3	4.51	2.24
6-8	28	15.6		
>8	65	36.1		

128 Source: Field survey, 2016.

129 From Table 1, the average age of the sampled farmers is 40.73 years with a standard 130 deviation of 10.19. It means that the farmer who were physically strong were involved in watermelon production. A total of 136 respondents representing 75.6% had primary 131

education and 41 respondents representing 22.7% had secondary education. Only 2 132

133 respondents accounting for 1.7% had tertiary education; highest rate of educated farmer was

134 at primary level, that is, most of the farmers had primary knowledge of education who Comment [G12]: Is it possible to have 40.73 years? In discussing such variables, you need to approximate them to the nearest whole number, i.e 41 years

¹²⁶ The socio economics characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 1.

135 cultivates watermelon. A one-fourth per cent (45 respondents) of the sampled farmers have 136 participated in agricultural training organized by different GOs and NGOs. They. This shows

- 137 that there is a need for improved training facility in the study area to assist the farmers in
- 138 modern and improved agricultural practices.

139 The average farm size of the respondents is 173.73 decimal with a standard deviation of 154.711. Majority of the farmers (representing 73.9%) cultivated between 50 and 249 140 141 decimal while only 3 respondents, representing 1.7% cultivated above 750 decimal. This 142 shows that watermelon production in the study area is practiced mainly by small-scale farmers. About 75 per cent of the farmers under study have reported that they received 143 144 extension services from their relatives and other experienced persons during watermelon 145 production. Some of the information received includes; on pesticide, insecticide, plant diseases and input prices. This is expected to increase both resource use efficiency and 146 147 productivity of the farmers. The average years of water melon production experience was 148 4.5 years. This further indicated that water melon production is relatively a new enterprise in 149 the study area.

150 3.2 Cost and Returns Analysis of Water melon production per decimal

151 The result of cost and benefit analysis associated with water melon production in the study 152 area is presented in Table 2.

153 Table 2: Gross margin analysis of water melon production

Items	Value	
A. Gross Benefit Tk/ decimal		
1. Average Yield / decimal	13 piece	
2. Average Price Tk / Piece	115	Comment [G16]: Is piece a unit of measure? To
3 Gross Benefit Tk /	1495	get out from dis discomfort, and since you are using
decimal (1 x 2)		averages, then you should be able to say the average weight of a piece in Kg. This should apply to all
B. Variable Input cost Tk		others in the analysis
/decimal		Comment [G17]: See above
1. Seed	86.359	Comment [G17]. See above
2. Tillage	80.896	
3. Irrigation	64.181	
4. Labour	176.743	
5. Pesticide	51.091	
6. Fertilizer	79.484	
7. Total Variable Cost	538.757	
C. Gross margin Tk/decimal (A-B)	956.243	Comment [G18]: See above
Source: Data analysis, 2016		

154 Source: Data analysis, 2016

155 From Table 2 the farmers recorded an average yield of 13 pieces of watermelon per

decimal. Gross return from watermelon production was Tk 1495. The total variable cost 156

157 (TVC) amounted to Tk 538.757. This indicates that watermelon production is profitable in the study area with a gross margin of Tk 956.243 per decimal. 158

159 3.3 Factor Productivity in watermelon production

160 The result of the Cobb Douglas production function used in evaluating the input factor 161 productivity in water melon production in the study area is as presented in Table 3.

Comment [G13]: You have to indicate what Gos stand for to enable farmers understand you without any anbiguity

Comment [G14]: What is the meaning of decimal. Is it a standard unit of measure?

Comment [G15]: Still, what is decimal. Kindly use the standard unit of measure

Comment [G19]: See above

Factor inputs	Coefficients	t – values
Seed	0.137	1.960**
Tillage	0.227	3.239***
Irrigation	0.304	4.352***
Labour	0.156	2.765***
Pesticide	0.305	3.737***
Fertilizer	0.064	1.291
Return to scale	1.19	
R^2	.818	
F- value	129.635***	
Number of observation	180	

162 Table 3: Summary of Regression Results.

163 Source: Data analysis, 2016, *** Significant at 1%, **Significant at 5%

164 From Table 3 the coefficients of costs of; seed, tillage, irrigation, labour, and pesticide are 165 all positive indicating that any increase in the cost of these factors through employment of 166 more of these resources will increase the gross revenue in watermelon production in the 167 study area. However, it was the coefficients of cost of irrigation and cost of pesticide values 168 of 0.304 and 0.305 were significant at 1%. This indicates that if the cost of irrigation is 169 increased by 1%, as indication of more irrigation, the gross revenue in watermelon 170 production will be increased by about 0.30% and if the cost of pesticide is increased by 1% 171 by use of more pesticide to control pest and diseases, the gross revenue in watermelon 172 production will increase by about 0.30%.

173 The sum of factor coefficients was 1.19. This is above unity, which implied that there was 174 increasing return to scale in water melon production in the study area. Therefore, the 175 farmers can still continue to employ more of the productive resources, especially irrigation 176 and pesticide which have significant positive relationship with the gross margin. The coefficient of multiple determinations (\mathbb{R}^2) was 0.818. This implies that the explanatory 177 178 variables used in the model specification accounted for about 82% of variation in gross 179 margin of the watermelon farmers. The F-value was observed to be 129.635 and highly 180 significant at 1%. All these points to the fitness of the model used.

181 3.4 Constraints to water melon production

182 Constraints to watermelon production in the study area are as shown in Table 4.

183 <u>Table 4: Distribution of the Respondents by the Problems Encountered</u> during

184	Production.			
185	Problems encountered	Frequency	Percentage	
186	Lack of Credit facility	45	25.00	
187	Lack of Irrigation facility	52	28.89	
188	Cost of inputs	41	22.78	
189	Damage by disease, pest, insecticides	42	23.33	
190	Total	180		
191	Source: Field <mark>s</mark> urvey, 2016.			
400				

¹⁹²

All the farmers sampled had the primary objective of profit maximization. This is as a result of the fact that melon is mainly not consumed but serves also as soil protector. Table 4

explains the limiting factors of water melon production in the study area. From Table 4, lack

196 of irrigation facility ranked first among the constraints. The result shows that credit is one of 197 the constraints to melon production. Since the respondents are small-scale farmers, they 198 have low capital base and therefore cannot afford the high cost of inputs. According to the 199 respondents, formal institution does not normally give credit to melon farmers. This may possibly be because watermelon is considered not to be popularly grown and given 200 201 adequate recognition. The problem of inaccessibility of the farmers to the modern inputs 202 such as fertilizer, improved seeds, and machineries because of high costing, hence they 203 made use of the traditional tools which limit their output and farm size. Damage by disease, 204 pest, and insecticides was also another cause of limiting their output Source: Field survey, 205 2016

206 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

207

208 This study has shown that in the study area watermelon production is profitable. Also, the study revealed the cost of seed; cost of tillage, cost of irrigation, cost of labour, and cost of 209 pesticide have positive productivity coefficients indicating that any increase in the use of 210 these variable inputs will increase the gross revenue of the farmers. The overall factor 211 212 coefficient is 1.19 implying an increasing return to scale in water melon production in the 213 study area. Therefore, the farmers could still employ more of the resources with positive factor productivity to increase their gross margin. Watermelon production potential in terms 214 of yield and quality of the production has not been fully exploited. Consequently, there is still 215 deficit supply of watermelon in the country. This could be adduced to the inaccessibility of 216 217 the farmers to the appropriate modern technology (fertilizer and improved seeds), needed 218 machineries, lack of credit facilities, high cost of inputs and lack of irrigation facilities. It is therefore imperative for individual, cooperative bodies, government and non-governmental 219 220 organization to assist the farmers in these areas of weakness, in order to boost watermelon 221 production in Bangladesh.

222 The broad focus areas to improve watermelon productivity are pointed out as below:

223 Based on the result from the findings, the following policy recommendations are suggested:

Micro credit has influential effect on the farmer's efficiency. Farmers who have
 access to micro-credit can purchase inputs at right time and low price. In the present study,
 a few farmers have received this facility. So, institutional credit should be made more flexible
 for the watermelon producers as well as the interest rate for credit should reasonably be low.

228 Training has exerted a significant impact on increasing the farmer's technical 2 efficiency. A few farmers have participated in farming related training. In this aspect, 229 230 government can emphasize on the training programmes through the department of 231 agricultural extension (DAE) especially for watermelon growers. To develop interest among the farmers to participate in training sessions, some incentives might be taken such as 232 quality input supply at cheap rate, short-term credit facility, etc. Both government and non-233 234 government organizations may take initiatives to provide training to the farmers on the 235 different aspects of watermelon production;

236 3. Farmers should be encouraged to keep farm records of their activities through the
 237 help of the extension services of DAE, which should try to extend its services to the majority

239 REFERENCES

238 239 240

241

1. Boyce, J. K. Agricultural growth in Bangladesh, 1949/50 to 1980/81; A Review of the

242		Evidence Economic and Political Weekly.1985; 20:13.
243	2.	BBS. Statistical Pocketbook Bangladesh 2011. (Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of
244		Statistics. 2011.
245	3.	Huh Y.C., I. Solmaz and N. Sari.: Morphological characterization of Korean and
246		Turkish watermelon germplasm. 1 Cucurbitaceae. 2008. Proceedings of the 9th
247		EUCARPIA meeting on genetics and breeding of Cucurbitaceae (Pitrat M. Ed.),
248		INRA, Avignon, France.2008; May 21-24.
249	4.	Rabbany, M. G., Rahman, A., Afrin, S., Hoque, and F. Islam, F. Cost of production of
250		Watermelon and Profitability at Gopalgonj district in Bangladesh. European journal
251		of Banking and Finace. 2013; 10:236-241.
252	5.	BBS. Statistical Pocketbook Bangladesh 2014. (Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of
253		Statistics, 2014
254	6.	DAE. Department of Agricultural Extension, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.2015
255	7.	Olayide, S.O. and Heady, E.O. Introduction to Agricultural Production Economics.
256		First Ed. Ibadan University Press, Ibadan, Nigeria.1982
257	8.	Barman SC and M.A. Khan ChaudhuryFarm size and resource use efficiency in
258		wheat production: Evidence from a micro - level study. Bangladesh J.Agric.
259		Res.2000; 25 (2): 29-358.
260	9.	Barman S C, R Islam and, M D I Hossain. Resource use efficiency at farm level
261		potato production in two selected areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh. J. Agric. Res.
262		2002; 27(3): 485-495.
263	10.	Onyenweaku CE, JC Nwaru. Application of a stochastic frontier production function
264		to the measurement of technical efficiency in food crop production in Imo state,
265		Nigeria. Nig. Agric. J. 2005; 36: 1-12.
266	11.	Ogbonna M.C, H. N. Anyaegbunam, T. U. Madu and R. A. Ogbonna Income and
267		Factor Analysis of Sweet Potato Landrace Production in Ikom Agricultural Zone of
268		Cross River State, Nigeria. Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics.
269		2009; 1(6):132-136.
270	12.	Mohammed, B. T.: Socio-economic analysis of melon production in Ifelodun Local
271		Government Area, Kwara State, Nigeria Journal of Development and Agricultural
272		Economics. 2011; 3(8):362-367.
273	13.	Oladele Charles Ajewole, Income and Factor Analysis of Watermelon Production in
274		Ekiti State, Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development. 2015;
275		6(2):67-72.