



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_39369
Title of the Manuscript:	HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURE IN NIGERIA AND NATIONAL PRODUCTIVITY
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Quite interesting and original article which is based on sound data and analysis. The author's statement is clearly reflected along its different parts. Besides, the revision of the previous literature is also correct and adequate, and so are the references provided at the end.	
Minor REVISION comments	Include a conclusion at the end of the article whereby the author's personal statement is clearly stood out.	
Optional/General comments	Correct the minor spelling errors detected throughout the text (12)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio D. Juan Rubio
Department, University & Country	Department of Education, Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Spain