

Original Research Article

Profitability and Factor Analysis of Watermelon Production in Patuakhali District, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

This study examined the profitability and factor productivity of watermelon production in Patuakhali district, Bangladesh. A multi-stage stratified sampling design had been used for the selection of the watermelon growing farmers. Data were obtained with the aid of a pre tested structured questionnaire. The socio-economic characteristics of the respondents was described and categorized by Descriptive statistics, Gross margin analysis was used to analyze the cost and returns to watermelon production and Cobb Douglas production function was used to evaluate the input factor productivity. Results showed that the farmers are relatively middle aged with average age of 40.73 years with a sizable number of the respondents (1.7%) having passed through tertiary education. It was also observed that the farmers are typically smallholders with average farm size of 50-249 decimal. The result of the gross margin analysis showed that watermelon production is profitable with a gross margin of Tk 756.243 per decimal. The result of the Cobb Douglas production function shows that the coefficients of cost of seed (0.137), cost of tillage (0.227), cost of irrigation (0.304), cost of labour (0.156), and cost of pesticide (0.305) were positively significant at 5% and 1%. The overall factor productivity index is 1.19 implying an increasing return to scale in water melon production in the study area. However, lack of irrigation facility was identified as a major production constraint.

Keywords: Profitability, Factor Productivity, Gross Margin, Cobb Douglas Function, Smallholders and Return to Scale

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the common characteristics of developing countries is the large share of agriculture in their economies (Boyce, 1985). Being an under developed country, Bangladesh is facing numerous economic problems. Agriculture is the main source of livelihoods of the rural people, which consists of 75.4 % of the total population in Bangladesh, and agriculture contributes 21.10 % of the GDP. (BBS 2011) The economic development of Bangladesh is still synonymous with Agricultural development. There can hardly be any rural or national development in neither Bangladesh, nor can there be any significant reduction of poverty without a significant development in agriculture sector. All efforts will, therefore, be geared to provide the thrust necessary for boosting agricultural production. So the overall economic development depends on the proper development of our agricultural sector to a great extent.

Watermelon is a warm season crop that is cultivated worldwide because of its numerous nutritional benefits. It thrives very well in most well drained soils whether clayey or sandy but preferably sandy loams. Although China is reported to be the current world largest producer of the commodity (Huh *et al.*, 2008), watermelons are generally believed to have originated from Africa.

Like many other parts of the world, in Bangladesh, watermelon is highly relished as a fresh fruit because of its thirst-quenching attribute in addition to many other identified nutritional values and advantages. It contains 90 percent water and it is very useful fruit during summer season to fulfill the demand of water. It bears vitamin A, B, C and minerals. (Rabbany *et al.*, 2013) Therefore, in the recent times, the consumption of the commodity has witnessed remarkable development as it cuts across all socio economic classes. The potentials of watermelon as a cash generating crop is significant for farmers especially those residing near the urban areas. Watermelon is grown more or less all over the country, but some districts of this country are more prominent than others. According to BBS (2014) the most important districts covered by a high acreage of watermelon are Patuakhali, Feni, Jhinaidah, Faridpur, Chittagang and Barisal. The present study is conducted on Patuakhali district. The district is selected due to highest coverage of cultivated land under watermelon among all other districts. About 13,368 hectares of land in seven upazilas of Patuakhali district has been brought under watermelon cultivation in 2015 (DAE; Patuakhali, 2015). Sandy loams of coastal islands of this district are suitable for watermelon cultivation. The district's total production may cross six lakh tonnes. Land of Rangabali, Galachipa and Kalapara upazilas are large areas of watermelon fields and very suitable for watermelon cultivation due to soil, weather condition and availability of irrigation water.

The primary objectives of every producer include the maximization of satisfaction, profit maximization, cost minimization or combination of all these. (Olayide *et. al* 1982 and Ezeh, 1998) However, a typical entrepreneur in the farm – firm sector is commonly assumed the objective of profit maximization subject to resource constraints. Beyond the point where the resource adds just as much to his revenue as it adds to its cost, a profit maximizing entrepreneur will not use a given resource because this might lead to a loss. However, by using more of the resource below such a point the farmer can increase profit. In general, when the value of marginal product of each resource equals its price, resources are said to be efficiently allocated.

This study specifically examined the costs and returns and efficiency of resource use in watermelon production in Patuakhali district to serve as a guide to prospective investors on watermelon production investment decisions.

The broad objective of this study is to examine the the costs and returns of farmers associated with Watermelon production in Patuakhali District, Bangladesh.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Highlight the socio –economic characteristics of the farmers growing melon;
2. Determine the costs and returns to watermelon production;
3. To evaluate the input factor productivity;
4. Highlight the constraints faced by farmers in melon production.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 The Study Area

70 Considering the intensity of watermelon production coverage among different districts of
71 Bangladesh the study has been chosen Patuakhali district especially in sandy lands of
72 coastal islands. Patuakhali district is the largest watermelon growing region, both in acreage
73 and output over the last few years (BBS, 2014).

74 **2.2 Sampling technique**

75 A multi-stage stratified sampling design has been used for the selection of the watermelon
76 growing farmers. In this study, Patuakhali district is specially chosen due to the production
77 intensity of watermelon coverage among different districts of Bangladesh. Then three
78 upazilas are selected from the district by using simple random sampling (SRS) technique.
79 After selecting the upazilas one union from each selected upazila is selected randomly using
80 SRS technique. Then, two villages from each union are selected by same technique. Finally,
81 30 watermelon growing farmers from each village are selected using multistage stratified
82 sampling technique with equal allocation. The ultimate sample size is 180 respondents from
83 which primary data were obtained through the administration of a pre tested structured
84 questionnaire. Information was collected on the respondents' socioeconomic characteristics
85 such as age, education level, farm size, farming experience, cost and revenue in water
86 melon production etc. under the present study.

87 **2.3 Analytical techniques**

88 Descriptive statistics (Frequencies, percentages, mean and standard deviation) were used to
89 describe and categorize the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents. The Gross
90 Margin analysis (difference between total revenue and total variable costs) was used to
91 determine the costs and returns to watermelon production in the study area while in input
92 factor analysis in watermelon production the Cobb Douglas function was used. The choice
93 was based on widely acknowledged fitness of Cobb Douglas to agricultural production
94 (Barman and Chaudhury 2000; Barman et al., 2002; Onyenweaku and Nwaru, 2005,
95 Ogbonna et al 2009).

96 **2.4 Gross Margin Analysis**

97 The costs and returns to water melon production were estimated using the gross margin
98 analysis as follows;

99 $GM = TR - TVC$ (Mohammed, B. T., 2011)

100 Where:

101 GM = Gross margin in Tk/decimal

102 TR = Total revenue in Tk/decimal (i.e Unit Price x Quantity)

103 TVC = Total variable cost in Tk/decimal

104 TR = Total Revenue = Price x Quantity i.e. PQ

105

106 **2.5 Cobb Douglas function**

107 The Cobb Douglas function used in the factor analysis in watermelon production in the study
108 area is specified in the logarithmic form as:

109 $\ln Y_i = \ln \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln X_1 + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_3 \ln X_3 + \beta_4 \ln X_4 + \beta_5 \ln X_5 + \beta_6 \ln X_6 + \epsilon_i$ (Oladele Charles Ajewole,
110 2015)

111 Where;

112 Y = Gross return, measured in Tk/decimal

113 X_1 = Cost of Seed, measured in Tk/decimal

114 X_2 = Cost of Tillage, measured in Tk/decimal
 115 X_3 = Cost of Irrigation, measured in Tk/decimal
 116 X_4 = Cost of Labour, measured in Tk/decimal
 117 X_5 = Cost of Pesticide, measured in Tk/decimal
 118 X_6 = Cost of Fertilizer, measured in Tk/decimal
 119 β = constant
 120 ε_i = Error terms.
 121 These variables were expected to positively influence the increase in gross returns of
 122 watermelon farmers in the study area.

123 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

124

125 3.1 Socio - Economic Characteristics of the Respondents

126 The socio economics characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 1.

127 Table 1: Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Respondents

| Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage | Mean | SD |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|--------|---------|
| Age group | 21 | 11.7 | 40.73 | 10.19 |
| <30 | 138 | 76.6 | | |
| 30-50 | 21 | 11.7 | | |
| Educational qualification | | | | |
| Never been to School | 01 | 0.6 | | |
| Primary school | 136 | 75.6 | | |
| Secondary | 41 | 22.7 | | |
| Tertiary | 2 | 1.1 | | |
| Extension service | | | | |
| Yes | 135 | 75.0 | | |
| No | 45 | 25.0 | | |
| Farm Size | | | | |
| 5-49 | 18 | 10.0 | 173.77 | 154.711 |
| 50-249 | 133 | 73.9 | | |
| 250-750 | 26 | 14.4 | | |
| 750 and above | 03 | 1.7 | | |
| Formal training in watermelon | | | | |
| Yes | 45 | 25.0 | | |
| No | 135 | 75.0 | | |
| Mode of Ownership | | | | |
| Own land | 37 | 20.6 | | |
| Rented | 143 | 79.4 | | |
| Watermelon farming experience | | | | |
| <6 | 87 | 48.3 | 4.51 | 2.24 |
| 6-8 | 28 | 15.6 | | |
| >8 | 65 | 36.1 | | |

128 Source: Field Survey, 2016.

129 From Table 1, the average age of the sampled farmers is 40.73 years with a standard
 130 deviation of 10.19. It means that the farmer who were physically strong were involved in
 131 watermelon production. A total of 136 respondents representing 75.6% had primary
 132 education and 41 respondents representing 22.7% had secondary education. A sizable
 133 number of 2 respondents 1.7% had tertiary education; highest rate of educated farmer was

at primary level, that is, most of the farmers had primary knowledge of education who cultivates watermelon. A little per cent (45 respondents representing 25%) of the sample farmers have participated in agricultural training organized by different GOs and NGOs. They. This shows that there is a need for improved training facility in the study area to assist the farmers in modern and improved agricultural practices.

The average farm size of the respondents is 173.73 decimal with a standard deviation of 154.711. The majority of the farmers (133 representing 73.9%) cultivated between 50 and 249 decimal while only 3 respondents, representing 1.7% cultivated above 750 decimal. This shows that watermelon production in the study area is practiced mainly by small-scale farmers. About 75 per cent of the farmers under study have reported that they took extension contact from their relatives or any experienced person during watermelon production. They received information on pesticide, insecticide, plant diseases and input prices. This is expected to increase both resource use efficiency and productivity of the farmers. The average years of water melon production experience was 4.51 years. This further shows that water melon production is a relative new enterprise in the study area.

3.2 Cost and Return Analysis of Water melon production per decimal

The result of cost and benefit analysis associated with water melon production in the study area is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Gross margin analysis of water melon production

| Items | Value |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| A. Gross Benefit Tk/ decimal | |
| 1. Average Yield / decimal | 13 piece |
| 2. Average Price Tk / Piece | 115 |
| 3 Gross Benefit Tk / decimal (1 x 2) | 1495 |
| B. Variable Input cost Tk /decimal | |
| 1. Seed | 86.359 |
| 2. Tillage | 80.896 |
| 3. Irrigation | 64.181 |
| 4. Labour | 176.743 |
| 5. Pesticide | 51.091 |
| 6. Fertilizer | 79.484 |
| 7. Total Variable Cost | 538.757 |
| C. Gross margin Tk/decimal (A-B) | 956.243 |

Source: Data analysis, 2016

From Table 2 the farmers recorded an average yield of watermelon was 13 pieces per decimal. Gross return from watermelon production was Tk 1495. The total variable cost (TVC) amounted to Tk 538.757. This indicates that watermelon production is profitable in the study area with a gross margin of Tk 956.243 per decimal.

3.3 Factor Productivity in watermelon production

The result of the Cobb Douglas production function used in evaluating the input factor productivity in water melon production in the study area is as presented in Table 3.

161 **Table 3: Summary of Regression Results.**

| Factor inputs | Coefficients | t – values |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Seed | 0.137 | 1.960** |
| Tillage | 0.227 | 3.239*** |
| Irrigation | 0.304 | 4.352*** |
| Labour | 0.156 | 2.765*** |
| Pesticide | 0.305 | 3.737*** |
| Fertilizer | 0.064 | 1.291 |
| Return to scale | 1.19 | |
| R ² | .818 | |
| F- value | 129.635*** | |
| Number of observation | 180 | |

162 Source: Data analysis, 2016, *** Significant at 1%, **Significant at 5%

163 From Table 3 the coefficients of cost of seed, cost of tillage, cost of irrigation, cost of labour,
 164 and cost of pesticide are all positive indicating that any increase in the cost of these factors
 165 through employment of more of these resources will increase the gross revenue in
 166 watermelon production in the study area. However, it was the coefficients of cost of irrigation
 167 and cost of pesticide values of 0.304 and 0.305 respectively that were significant at 1%. This
 168 indicates that if the cost of irrigation is increased by 1%, as indication of more irrigation, the
 169 gross revenue in watermelon production will be increased by about 0.30% and if the cost of
 170 pesticide is increased by 1% by use of more pesticide to control pest and diseases the gross
 171 revenue in watermelon production will increase by about 0.30%.

172 The sum of factor coefficients was 1.19. This is above unity, which implied that there was
 173 increasing return to scale in water melon production in the study area. Therefore, the
 174 farmers can still continue to employ more of the productive resources, especially irrigation
 175 and pesticide which have significant positive relationship with the gross margin. The
 176 coefficient of multiple determinations (R²) was 0.818. This implies that the explanatory
 177 variables used in the model specification accounted for about 82% of variation in gross
 178 margin of the watermelon farmers. The F-value was observed to be 129.635 and highly
 179 significant at 1%. All these points to the fitness of the model used.

180 3.4 Constraints to water melon production

181 Constraints to watermelon production in the study area are as shown in Table 4.

182 Table 4: Distribution of the Respondents by the Problems Encounter during
 183 Production.

| Problems encountered | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Lack of Credit facility | 45 | 25.00 |
| Lack of Irrigation facility | 52 | 28.89 |
| Cost of inputs | 41 | 22.78 |
| Damage by disease, pest, insecticides | 42 | 23.33 |
| Total | 180 | |

190 Source: Field Survey, 2016.

192 All the farmers sampled had the primary objective of profit maximization. This is as a result
 193 of the fact that melon is mainly not consumed but serves also as soil protector. Table 4
 194 explains the limiting factors of water melon production in the study area. From Table, lack of

195 irrigation facility ranked first among the constraints. The result shows that credit is one of the
196 constraints to melon production. Since the respondents are small-scale farmers, they have
197 low capital base and therefore cannot afford the high cost of inputs. According to the
198 respondents, formal institution do not normally give credit to melon farmers may be because
199 watermelon is considered not to be popularly grown and given adequate recognition. The
200 problem of inaccessibility of the farmers to the modern inputs such as fertilizer, improved
201 seeds, and machineries because of high costing, hence they made use of the traditional
202 tools which limit their output and farm size. Damage by disease, pest, and insecticides was
203 also another cause of limiting their output Source: Field survey, 2016

204 **4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

205
206 This study has shown that in the study area watermelon production is profitable. Also, the
207 study revealed the cost of seed; cost of tillage, cost of irrigation, cost of labour, and cost of
208 pesticide are all positive all have positive productivity coefficients indicating that any increase
209 in the use of these variable inputs will increase the gross revenue of the farmers. The overall
210 factor coefficient is 1.19 implying an increasing return to scale in water melon production in
211 the study area. Therefore the farmers could still employ more of the resources with positive
212 factor productivity to increase their gross margin. Watermelon production potential in terms
213 of yield and quality of the production has not been fully exploited. Consequently, there is still
214 deficit supply of watermelon in the country. This could be adduced to the inaccessibility of
215 the farmers to the appropriate modern technology (fertilizer, improved seeds), needed
216 machineries, lack of credit facilities, high cost of inputs and lack of irrigation facilities. It is
217 therefore imperative for individual, cooperative bodies, government and non-governmental
218 organization to assist the farmers in these areas of weakness, in order to boost watermelon
219 production in Bangladesh.

220 The broad focus areas to improve watermelon productivity are pointed out as below:

221 Based on the result from the findings, the following policy recommendations are suggested:

222 1. Micro credit has influential effect on the farmer's efficiency. Farmers who have
223 access to micro-credit can purchase inputs at right time and low price. In the present study,
224 a few farmers have received this facility. So, institutional credit should be made more flexible
225 for the watermelon producers as well as the interest rate for credit should reasonably be low.

226 2. Training has exerted a significant impact on increasing the farmer's technical
227 efficiency. A few farmers have participated in farming related training. In this aspect,
228 government can emphasize on the training programmes through the department of
229 agricultural extension (DAE) especially for watermelon growers. To develop interest among
230 the farmers to participate in training sessions, some incentives might be taken such as
231 quality input supply at cheap rate, short-term credit facility, etc. Both government and non-
232 government organizations may take initiatives to provide training to the farmers on the
233 different aspects of watermelon production;

234 3. Farmers should be encouraged to keep farm records of their activities through the
235 help of the extension services of DAE, which should try to extend its services to the majority
236

237 **REFERENCES**

238
239 1. Boyce, J. K. Agricultural growth in Bangladesh, 1949/50 to 1980/81; A Review of the
240 Evidence Economic and Political Weekly.1985; 20:13.

- 241 2. BBS. Statistical Pocketbook Bangladesh 2011. (Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of
242 Statistics. 2011.
- 243 3. Huh Y.C., I. Solmaz and N. Sari.: Morphological characterization of Korean and
244 Turkish watermelon germplasm. 1 Cucurbitaceae. 2008. Proceedings of the 9th
245 EUCARPIA meeting on genetics and breeding of Cucurbitaceae (Pitrat M. Ed.),
246 INRA, Avignon, France.2008; May 21-24.
- 247 4. Rabbany, M. G.,Rahman, A., Afrin, S., Hoque, and F. Islam, F. Cost of production of
248 Watermelon and Profitability at Gopalganj district in Bangladesh. European journal
249 of Banking and Finance. 2013; 10:236-241.
- 250 5. BBS. Statistical Pocketbook Bangladesh 2014. (Dhaka: Bangladesh Bureau of
251 Statistics, 2014
- 252 6. DAE. Department of Agricultural Extension, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.2015
- 253 7. Olayide, S.O. and Heady, E.O. Introduction to Agricultural Production Economics.
254 First Ed. Ibadan University Press, Ibadan, Nigeria.1982
- 255 8. Barman SC and M.A. Khan ChaudhuryFarm size and resource use efficiency in
256 wheat production: Evidence from a micro – level study. Bangladesh J.Agric.
257 Res.2000; 25 (2): 29-358.
- 258 9. Barman S C, R Islam and, M D I Hossain. Resource use efficiency at farm level
259 potato production in two selected areas of Bangladesh. Bangladesh. J. Agric. Res.
260 2002; 27(3): 485-495.
- 261 10. Onyenweaku CE, JC Nwaru. Application of a stochastic frontier production function
262 to the measurement of technical efficiency in food crop production in Imo state,
263 Nigeria. Nig. Agric. J. 2005; 36: 1-12.
- 264 11. Ogbonna M.C, H. N. Anyaegbunam, T. U. Madu and R. A. Ogbonna Income and
265 Factor Analysis of Sweet Potato Landrace Production in Ikom Agricultural Zone of
266 Cross River State, Nigeria. Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics.
267 2009; 1(6):132-136.
- 268 12. Mohammed, B. T.: Socio-economic analysis of melon production in Ifelodun Local
269 Government Area, Kwara State, Nigeria Journal of Development and Agricultural
270 Economics. 2011; 3(8):362-367.
- 271 13. Oladele Charles Ajewole, Income and Factor Analysis of Watermelon Production in
272 Ekiti State, Nigeria. Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development. 2015;
273 6(2):67-72.