



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_42866
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT ACT AND GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-There is the need for the author to review the dependent variable which government performance and the independent variables which are efficiency, value for money and accountability to make the research more robust.</p> <p>-There is also the need for the researcher to indicate his sample area, i.e, from which part of the country did he pick the 120 respondents.</p> <p>-What is the authors recommendation on the lack of structures and facilities observed in the research</p> <p>-Finally, the interpretation of the regression table only shows the significant influence of efficiency and value for money but it is silent on accountability.</p>	All the suggestions have been incorporated,. However, reviewer should note that we did not silent on the interpretation of accountability (see table 3 and line 354).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		