



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_42936
Title of the Manuscript:	Cryptococcus neoformans – New science for discovering melanin modifiers
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents interesting results on melanin. It was a pleasure to review this work. Additionally, the English language is clear and the results were described according to the expected. In order to increase the quality of the manuscript, see below some suggestions:</p> <p>In the line 55 insert the numbers in each chemical formula as subscript.</p> <p>Please, instead "L-dopa", the correct form is "L-DOPA" (lines 56, 70, 92).</p> <p>Please, provide the chemical structure for niacinamide.</p> <p>Please, change "invitro" for "<i>in vitro</i>" (lines 101, 104 and 117).</p> <p>Please, provide the Conclusion section.</p> <p>In order to increase the discussion, I suggest that the authors explore the results by theoretical calculations, such as molecular docking (see the main target of the tested molecule).</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Otávio Augusto Chaves
Department, University & Country	SENAI Institute of Innovation in Green Chemistry, Portuguese