



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_42936
Title of the Manuscript:	Cryptococcus neoformans – New science for discovering melanin modifiers
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I did not find the right title !!!</p> <p>What does YRG mean?</p> <p>I would like to know what was the criterion adopted by the authors for the choice of <i>C. neoformans</i> sample?</p> <p>The sample chosen was an ATCC, i.e., well characterized? Or it was an isolated sample from a patient and kept in the laboratory?</p> <p>Do all isolates of the species behave in the same way as melanin production? Can melanin production be influenced by the type /quality of the culture medium used?</p> <p>What steps are required for the proposed methodology to be validated for future use as a screening test?</p>	<p>Full form of YRG has been mentioned in the text</p> <p>We appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We tried to improve the quality of the manuscript based on the valuable comments.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Attention throughout the text <i>C. neoformans</i> does not appear in the italic!!!	Scientific names have been italicized throughout the text
Optional/General comments		