



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_43815
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the presence of Plasmodium falciparum Chloroquine resistant transporter (Pfcr1) drug-resistance alleles in some Northern Nigerian states
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b> Red crossed statements, words, should be removed.</p> <p><u>Abstract</u> i) Red crossed statements should be removed ii) Remove statements on line 7 to line 11. It is not necessary. ii) On Line 12,16, unitalize Pfcrt, unitalize all italicized Pfcrt. Line 19, use % sign to denote numbers of prevalent values in bracket e.g 68(16.5%). Do this for all prevalent values in bracket.</p> <p><u>Introduction</u> i) Please cite the statement made on line 39. ii) Please use <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> when initially mentioned, then use <i>P.falciparum</i> when mentioned several times. But use <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> when beginning a new starting blocked line</p> <p><u>Materials and Method</u> i) On line 96, remove the word "some". ii) Line 152, add the word "Results" as a sub heading before stating your explanatory results about the prevalent nos of Pfcrt, mutant alleles according to states, gender and age  ii) Line 154, use % sign for bracket prevalent value.</p> <p><u>Discussion</u> i) Re-write your discussion. Discuss your results and compare it with other previous result study, if there are differences in results, give reasons why. ii) Please follow the correct referencing style of Science Domain.</p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)ne</p>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Still needs to be worked upon	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Re-write the discussion. Discuss the results and compare with previous study, give reason if there are similarities or dis-similarities	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chijioke Patrick Amadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Uyo, Nigeria</b>