



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_39801
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS IN ABEOKUTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 7. This study <b>examined</b> 7. Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA) 10. biochemical <b>characterization</b> 12. of 16.8% <b>for</b> aspirate 13. no significant disparity found in relation to the <b>study sites</b> 14. study <b>indicates</b> e.g. 95, 97, 101, 104, 37oC 111. <b>Bauer</b> <i>et al.</i> (1996) 112. <b>Clinical laboratory Standard (NCCLS)</b> 166. Names of the isolates in Figure 1 173. <b>Table 1</b> 110, 222. <b>determining the antibiotic resistance indices of MRSA from different clinical specimens</b>	All these observations have been effected in the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments e.g. 36, 43. Reference <b>citation</b> 39. Methicillin resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA) 76-85. <b>Gram Staining Technique</b> 108. an <b>acids</b> 109, 117. <b>media</b> 87-109. <b>Biochemical Identification</b> 120. <b>media</b> 122. <b>plate was</b> 144, 172. <b>Study sites</b> 145, 146. <b>Recast</b> 239.	All these observations have been effected in the manuscript!  Thanks so much!
<b>Optional/General</b> comments Tables 2 &4 Tables 5 & 6  <b>Ethics committee approval should be obtained from the hospitals where the work was done.</b>	Yes....Ethical approval was obtained

- Comment [f1]:** Examines should be changed to examined
- Comment [f2]:** MRSA should be added as an acronym
- Comment [f3]:** Change to identification
- Comment [f4]:** Add for
- Comment [f5]:**
- Comment [f6]:** Should be specific, state no significant in terms of gender or/and sample types
- Comment [f7]:** Change to indicated
- Comment [f8]:** Degree should be superscribed
- Comment [f9]:** Should be corrected to Kirby-Bauer
- Comment [f10]:** Incorrect; NCCLS is National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. However, it is currently Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).
- Comment [f11]:** Should be italicized
- Comment [f12]:** Should also indicate the percentage of MRSA in each sample type and check significant level.
- Comment [f13]:** There is NO RESULT to indicate that it was done in this study. NO RESULT FOR ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PROFILES AND INDICES BUT THE STUDY GAVE AN IMPRESSION THAT IT WAS DONE!!!
- Comment [f14]:** Should be after a sentence and not within.
- Comment [f15]:** MRSA should be added as an acronym before been used in place of its meaning
- Comment [f16]:** A common Microbiology technique, should be deleted to conserve space.
- Comment [f17]:** Change to singular, not plural
- Comment [f18]:** Change to medium
- Comment [f19]:** Common Microbiology techniques, should be deleted to conserve space.
- Comment [f20]:** Change to agar plates
- Comment [f21]:** Change to plates were
- Comment [f22]:** Change to sample type
- Comment [f23]:** ...81 (50.3%) were observed from males while 80 (49.7%) from females...
- Comment [f24]:** Table 3 should be added in bracket.
- Comment [f25]:** Similar and not relevant because can get information from other tables. So, I suggest that they should be deleted to avoid repetition.
- Comment [f26]:** Similar, so one should be deleted; either Table 5 or 6