

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_41742
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of crab-eating influence on Paragonimiasis infection in six communities of Abayong from Cross River Stat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 In some parts of the manuscript, the authors refer to the parasite as <i>Paragonimus uterobilateralis</i>, and in other parts solely as <i>Paragonimus</i>. Why the difference in the nomenclature?. What reliable techniques were performed in order to be able to refer to it as <i>P. uterobilateralis</i>? Fig.2. Please do not repeat data on the Figure that has been explained already on the manuscript. Either you explain data with the Figure or on the manuscript. Conclusion: The authors name <i>Sudanautes africanus</i> for the first time in the conclusion. It should have been named before, anywhere in the text. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript contains valuable information to be published, after the review comments have been made.	

ate Nigeria

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudia Irene Menghi
Department, University & Country	Department of Clinical Parasitology, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina