



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_39921
Title of the Manuscript:	DISASTER PARASITOLOGY: BIRTH OF A NOVEL CONCEPT IN DISASTER MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Lines 222-225 appear to fit in better in the latter end of the introduction to the article. The reason is that the message portrayed here is that of the rationale for the treatise. The title should be recast to accommodate the fact that it is not the disaster that is being managed. Rather, it is the outcome of disaster (health implication) that Disaster Parasitology seeks to manage. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Comment agreed. Correction is highlighted in the manuscript with yellow. Good. Disaster management as a concept includes all the multidisciplinary activities and partnerships involved in the management of events during disaster. The use of disaster management in the title is in this context, hence appropriate. However, the title has been slightly adjusted.
Optional/General comments	The article is of immense interest. It has addresses issues not commonly taken into consideration in disease management. Consequently, it will benefit the readership of this journal.	Observation agreed. No correction is required.