



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_45286
Title of the Manuscript:	Ad Hoc Error Correction vs. Removal of Error's root
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Absent	
Minor REVISION comments	Phrases such as 'symptoms of illness' or 'cure an illness' do not appear appropriate for a physics journal. The following text corrections can be done: Page-2: Introduction, line-5 'This kind of activity ... symptoms of illness.' to be replaced by 'This kind of activity is similar to explaining contradiction.' Page-2: Introduction, line-8 'This kind of activity ... cure an illness.' to be replaced by 'This kind of activity is similar to removing contradiction.'	
Optional/General comments	The paper can be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snehadri B Ota
Department, University & Country	Institute of Physics, India