

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_44985
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of reverberation time and sound pressure level of selected lecture halls in University of Agriculture, Maku
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Authors have presented acoustic analysis of lecture hall and done some experimental work to check compliance of the hall with reference to international standards. The presented work needs major revisions to ensure a quality publication in context to the following: Mathematical/theoretical formulation is missing in the paper. There should be a mathematical relation in sound level, reverbation time, room dimension and wall properties. Detailed mathematical treatment is expected to be disclosed to reveal effect of these parameters. Authors should suggest optimum and required room dimensions, wall properties and other factors based on the mathematical formulation. Detailed and exhaustive literature survey is required. There should be uniform style for references Major revision in grammar, sentence formation to make it a technical document. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Pls refer as given above	
Optional/General comments	Pls refer as given above	

kurdi-Benue State, Nigeria.

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitin Satpute
Department, University & Country	Vishwakarma University, India