



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_44985
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of reverberation time and sound pressure level of selected lecture halls in University of Agriculture, Makurdi-Benue State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I bear this is not a research article but only a calculation exercise using a well-known standardized method. To enhance its quality and its interest for an academic reader, measurements of the reverberation time could be done, reported and compared with the computed values.</p> <p>Mentions about B-weighting should be eliminated, as the B-weighting is not more considered by IEC 61672 since 2013 edition. This Standard also substitutes the "Linear SPL" by dBZ.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some English expressions are not clear and could be improved (e.g. in page 2 "is independent of ear of listener" or "The human ear cannot perceive low-and-high frequency sounds")	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The reported values of sound pressure levels are much more concerning than those of reverberation time. Moreover, such high SPL values make incongruous any reference to the reverberation time. A comprehensive discussion about the acoustic quality of the University's lecture halls is highly encouraged.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<i>here in details)</i>	
--	-------------------------	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alice Elizabeth González</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad de la República, Uruguay.</i>