



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_34637
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature extremes over selected stations:Ikeja, Osogbo and Maiduguri
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract Line 22-23: The sentence "However, 22 neither of these efforts was able to provide information for the whole of Africa" is repeating in next line. Results & Discussion: Line 50-51: How did you determine day and night time warming as there is only annual analysis given. Line 64: please explain how did you determine the rate References: References should be written according to journal's guidelines Methodology is not clear.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are too less thus author should include more references to support the study	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Era Upadhyay</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Amity University Rajasthan, India</i>