



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_46208
Title of the Manuscript:	Geospatial Statistical Analysis of the Seismic Points to Evaluate the Geotectonic Hazards along the Mediterranean Coastal Zone of Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I have only two comments/suggestions to the authors: 1. It must always be a space between the numerical value and unit symbol except the plane angle and percent (line 71, 122, 123) 2. There is no reference in the text to the table 2.	1. All the comments are accepted. I've done all the comments and the similar ones. 2. There is a reference of the table 2 in the text. But, I added the reference of table 1 in the text where it wasn't. 3. All the revised comments were highlighted in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	Reference to tables and drawings could be consistent with academic practice. Each table or figure should be titled and captioned like this "Figure 1. Area of study of the..." NOT "Figure (1) Area of study of the..." and "Table 1. Statistics ..." NOT "Table (1) Statistics...". Number all Tables and Figures in the order they first appear in the text. Refer to them in the text by their number. For example: "As shown in Table 2 ..." OR "As illustrated in Figure 3 ..." NOT " , figure (2)." OR " table (2)."	I revised all the figures and tables format in their titles and captions. Also, All the revised comments were highlighted in the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is NO ethical issue in the manuscript.