



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_45156
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS AND HEAVY METALS IN SARDINE FISH FROM KIVUKONI, KUNDUCHI AND BAGAMOYO FISH MARKETS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>agree with the reviewer</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments Nil	The paper requires no modification. It is very adequate and makes original contribution. The abstract, introduction/ literature review, analytical processes, line of argument and conclusion is adequate. However, author should check references to avoid inconsistency.	Thank you so much for the comments. I have checked the consistency of the references both in the text and the list of references.
Minor REVISION comments	Check the references	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>agreewith reviewer</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>