



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_45156
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS AND HEAVY METALS IN SARDINE FISH FROM KIVUKONI, KUNDUCHI AND BAGAMOYO FISH MARKETS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>I agreed with the reviewer's useful comments</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Work on your reference: 15, 16, 38, 39 and 40 check for it 42 to 47 are in the reference list but not in the write-ups 49 is also in the write-up but not in the reference	Ref 15 is in line 63 Ref 16 is in line 60 Ref 38 is in line 366 Ref 39 is in line 361,364 Ref 42 and 43 is in line 376-377 table 7 Ref 44 and 45 is in line 411,415 table 8 line 404-405 Ref 47 is in line 458 Ref 49 is in line 464 in the text and in the reference list line 608.
Minor REVISION comments	Some sentence needs to be re-constructed as stated in "uppercase" in parenthesis in the main manuscript	Some of the sentences mentioned are restructured. Note that some line numbers above may be displaced by 2 because of including corrections from all reviewers.
Optional/General comments	Good manuscript that can contribute to scientific knowledge	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>