# Solutions of Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon Equations with Hulthen Plus Inversely Quadratic Exponential Mie-Type Potential

Ituen B. Okon<sup>1\*</sup>, Oyebola Popoola<sup>2</sup>, Cecilia N. Isonguyo<sup>1</sup> and Akaninyene D Antia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Physics Group, Department of Physics, University of Uyo, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Theoretical Physics Group, Department of Physics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

**Original Research Article** 

#### ABSTRACT

We proposed a novel potential called Hulthen plus Inversely Quadratic Exponential Mie-Type potential (HIQEMP). We use parametric Nikiforov-Uvarov method to study approximate solutions of Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations with the novel potential. We obtain bound state energies and the normalized wave function expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomial. The proposed potential is applicable in the field of vibrational and rotational spectroscopy. To ascertain the accuracy of our results, we apply the nonrelativistic limit to the Klein-Gordon equation to obtain the energy equation which is exactly the same as nonrelativistic Schrodinger energy equation. This is a proof that relativistic equation can be converted to nonrelativistic equation using a nonrelativistic limit with the help of Greene-Aldrich approximation to the centrifugal term. The wave functions were normalized

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: ituenokon@uniuyo.edu.ng;

analytically using two infinite series of confluent hypergeometric functions. We implement MATLAB algorithm to obtain the numerical bound state energy eigen-values for both Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations. Our potential reduce to many existing potentials and the result is in agreement with existing literature. The energy spectral diagrams were plotted using origin software. The bound state energy from Schrödinger equation decreases with increase in quantum state while that of Klein-Gordon equation increases with increase in quantum state.

Keywords: Schrodinger equation; klein-gordon equation; nikiforov-uvarov method; novel potential (HIQEMP).

PACS Nos.: 03.65.Ge; 03.65.-w; 03.65.Ca

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The molecular, vibrational and rotational spectroscopy is one of the most recent research field that has practical applications in physical sciences especially in studying diatomic molecular interactions [1-7]. Bound state solutions of relativistic and non-relativistic wave equation arouse a lot of interest for decades. Schrodinger wave equations constitute a nonrelativistic wave equation while Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations constitute the relativistic wave equations [8-12]. Hulthen potential is one of the significant exponential potentials which behave like Coulomb potential [13]. This potential has a lot of applications in many branches of Physics specifically in atomic, solid state, chemical and nuclear Physics [14-17]. Mie-Type potential which belongs to a class of multi -parameter exponential potential has application in vibrational and rotational spectroscopy in physical sciences because its interaction model comprises of both repulsive and attractive terms for short and large intermolecular distances respectively for some diatomic molecules [18]. The Klein-Gordon equation is the relativistic version of Schrodinger equation which describes spinless particles. This equation has attracted much attention in investigating the interaction of solitons in a collisionless plasma [19-20]. The proposed novel potential is use in studying bound state energies of both Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations. Other potentials have

been used to obtain bound state solutions such as : Multi-parameter exponential type potential, quantum interaction potential, Hulthen, Poschl-Teller, Eckart, Coulomb, Hyllearraas, Pseudoharmonic, Scarf II potentials and many others [21-28]. These potentials have been studied and investigated with some specific methods and techniques such as: Asymptotic iteration method, Nikiforov-Uvarov method, Supersymmetric quantum mechanics approach, formular method, exact guantisation and many more [29-40]. This article is divided into six sections. Section 1 is the introduction, section 2 is a review of parametric Nikiforov-Uvarov method. In section 3, we present the radial solution to Schrodinger wave equation using the proposed potential and obtained both the energy eigen-value and their corresponding normalized wave function. In section 4, we present the solution to one dimensional Klein-Gordon equation for equal scalar and vector potential using the proposed potential and also present some deductions from the proposed potential thereby comparing the result to that of existing literature. In section 5, we present analytical solution on normalization of the wave function using confluent hypergeometric functions. Results and discussion of this work are presented in section 6. Section 7 gives the general conclusions of the article.

The proposed potential is given as

$$V(r) = -\left(V_0 + \frac{V_1 \chi_1}{\chi_2}\right) \frac{e^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})} + \frac{A}{r^2} + \frac{(B - \eta)e^{-\alpha r}}{r} + C$$
(1)

Equation (1) for the sake of clarity can be expressed as

$$V(r) = -\frac{V_0 e^{-2\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)} - \frac{V_1}{\chi_2} \left(\frac{\chi_1 e^{-2\alpha r}}{1 - e^{-2\alpha r}}\right) + \frac{A}{r^2} + \frac{(B - \eta)e^{-\alpha r}}{r} + C$$
(2)

where  $V_0$  is the potential depth,  $\alpha$  is the adjustable parameter known as the screening parameter which is measured in electron volt (eV).  $V_1, \chi_1, \chi_2$  A, B C and  $\eta$  are all real

constants. The variations of the HIQEMP with small and large values of alpha  $\alpha$  (screening parameter) are presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively.



Fig. 1. HIQEMP versus small values of  $\alpha$  (screening parameter)



Fig. 2. HIQEMP versus large values  $\alpha$  (screening parameter)

# 2. NIKIFOROV-UVAROV METHOD: PARAMETRIC FORMULATION

The NU method is based on reducing second order linear differential equation to a generalized equation of hyper-geometric type [31-32] .This method provides exact solutions in terms of special orthogonal functions as well as corresponding energy eigen values. The NU method is applicable to both relativistic and non-relativistic equations. With appropriate coordinate transformation S = S(x) the equation can be written as

$$\psi''(s) + \frac{\tilde{\tau}(s)}{\sigma(s)}\psi'(s) + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma^2(s)}\psi(s) = 0 \quad (3)$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}(s)$  is a polynomial of degree one while  $\sigma(s)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}(s)$  are polynomials of at most degree two.

The parametric formalization of NU is applicable and valid for both central and noncentral potential. Here the hypergeometric differential equation is given by

$$\Psi''(s) + \frac{c_1 - c_2 s}{s(1 - c_3 s)} \Psi'(s) + \frac{1}{s^2 (1 - c_3 s)^2} \Big[ -\xi_1 s^2 + \xi_2 s - \xi_3 \Big] \Psi(s) = o$$
(4)

Comparing equation (4) to (3) the following parametric polynomials can be obtain

$$\tilde{\tau}(s) = (c_1 - c_2 s) \tag{5}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}(s) = -\xi_1 s^2 + \xi_2 s - \xi_3$$
(6)

$$\sigma(s) = s(1 - c_3 s) \tag{7}$$

Equation of the function  $\pi(s)$  is given as

$$\pi(s) = c_4 - c_5 s \pm \sqrt{\left[\left(c_6 - c_3 k_{\pm}\right) s^2 \left(c_7 + k_{\pm}\right) s + c_8\right]}$$
(8)

Where 
$$\begin{bmatrix} c_4 = \frac{1}{2}(1-c_1), \\ c_5 = \frac{1}{2}(c_2 - 2c_3) \\ c_6 = c_5^2 + \xi_1 \\ c_7 = 2c_4c_5 - \xi_2 \\ c_8 = -c_4^2 + \xi_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (9)

From the condition that the function under the square root should be the square of polynomial, that is the discriminant  $(b^2 - 4ac) = o$  then the parametric becomes

$$k_{\pm} = -(c_7 + 2c_3c_8) \pm 2\sqrt{c_8c_9} \tag{10}$$

where

$$c_9 = c_3 c_7 + c_3^2 c_8 + c_6 \tag{11}$$

The negative value of the parametric is obtained as

$$k_{-} = -(c_7 + 2c_3c_8) - 2\sqrt{c_8c_9}$$
<sup>(12)</sup>

Then, the polynomial becomes

$$\tau(s) = c_1 + 2c_4 - (c_2 - 2c_5)s - 2\left[\left(\sqrt{c_9} + c_3\sqrt{c_8}\right)s - \sqrt{c_8}\right]$$
(13)

For bound state condition to be satisfied, then the derivative of equation (13) will be negative. That is

$$\tau'(s) = -2c_3 - 2\left[\left(\sqrt{c_9} + c_3\sqrt{c_8}\right)\right] < 0 \tag{14}$$

The energy equation is given by

$$c_{2}n - (2n+1)c_{5} + (2n+1)\left(\sqrt{c_{9}} + c_{3}\sqrt{c_{8}}\right) + n(n-1)c_{3} + c_{7} + 2c_{3}c_{8} + 2\sqrt{c_{8}c_{9}} = 0$$
(15)

The weight function is obtained as

$$\rho(s) = s^{c_{10}} (1 - c_3 s)^{c_{11}} \tag{16}$$

with Rodrigue relation , one part of the wave function can be obtain as

$$\chi_n(s) = P_n^{(c_{10},c_{11})} (1 - 2c_3 s)$$
(17)

where

$$c_{9} = c_{3}c_{7} + c_{3}^{2}c_{8} + c_{6}$$

$$c_{10} = c_{1} + 2c_{4} + 2\sqrt{c_{8}}$$

$$c_{11} = c_{2} - 2c_{5} + 2(\sqrt{c_{9}} + c_{3}\sqrt{c_{8}})$$
(18)

And  $P_n^{(c_{10},c_{11})}$  is the Jacobi polynomial which in most cases reduces to Lagguerre polynomial for  $c_3 = 0$ .

The other part of the wave function is given as

$$\phi(s) = s^{c_{12}} (1 - c_3 s)^{c_{13}}$$
(19)

Where

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{12} = c_4 + \sqrt{c_8} \\ c_{13} = c_5 - \left(\sqrt{c_9} + c_3\sqrt{c_8}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

The total wave function is the given by

$$\Psi(s) = \phi(s)\chi_n(s) = N_n s^{c_{12}} (1 - c_3 s)^{c_{13}} P_n^{(c_{10}, c_{11})} (1 - 2c_3 s)$$
(21)

#### 3. RADIAL SOLUTIONS OF SCHRODINGER EQUATION

One dimensional radial Schrodinger wave equation is given as

$$\frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left[ E - V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2\mu r^2} \right] \psi(r) = 0$$
(22)

Substituting equation (2) into (22) gives

\_

$$\frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \left[ E + \frac{V_0 e^{-2\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)} + \frac{V_1}{\chi_2} \left( \frac{\chi_1 e^{-2\alpha r}}{1 - e^{-2\alpha r}} \right) - \frac{A}{r^2} - \frac{\left(B - \eta\right)e^{-\alpha r}}{r} - C - \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2\mu r^2} \right] \psi(r) = 0 \quad (23)$$

Equation (23) can only be solved analytically to obtain exact solution if the angular orbital momentum number l = 0. However, for l > 0 equation (23) can only be solve by using some approximations to the centrifugal term. Greene Aldrich approximation is best suitable to equation (23). Let's define Greene Aldrich approximation as in reference [39]

$$\frac{1}{r^{2}} = \frac{4\alpha^{2}e^{-2\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)^{2}} \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{r} = \frac{2\alpha e^{-\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)}$$
(24)

Substituting equation (24) into equation (23) with the transformation  $s = e^{-2\alpha r}$  gives

$$\frac{d^{2}\psi(s)}{ds^{2}} + \frac{(1-s)}{s(1-s)}\frac{d\psi(s)}{ds} + \frac{1}{s^{2}(1-s)^{2}}\left[\frac{2\mu E}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}(1-s)^{2} + \frac{2\mu V_{0}}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}(s-s^{2}) + \frac{2\mu V_{1}\sigma_{1}}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}s(1-s) - \frac{2\mu s}{\hbar^{2}}\right]\psi(s) = 0$$

$$\left[-\frac{\mu(\beta-\eta)s}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + \frac{\mu(\beta-\eta)s^{2}}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} - \frac{2\mu c}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}(1-s)^{2} - \delta l(l+1)\right]\psi(s) = 0$$
(25)

Equation (25) can further be reduced to

$$\frac{d^{2}\psi(s)}{ds^{2}} + \frac{(1-s)}{s(1-s)}\frac{d\psi(s)}{ds} + \frac{1}{s^{2}(1-s)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -(\varepsilon^{2} + \delta^{2} + \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} - \gamma_{4} + \gamma_{5})s^{2} \\ +(2\varepsilon^{2} + \delta^{2} + \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} - \gamma_{2} - \gamma_{3} + 2\gamma_{5} - l(l+1))s \\ -(\varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}) \end{bmatrix} \psi(s) = 0 \quad (26)$$

Where

$$\varepsilon^{2} = -\frac{2\mu E}{4\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}, \ \delta^{2} = \frac{2\mu V_{0}}{4\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}, \ \sigma_{1} = \frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}},$$
  
$$\gamma_{1} = \frac{2\mu v_{1}}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}, \ \gamma_{2} = \frac{2A\mu}{\hbar^{2}}, \ \gamma_{3} = \gamma_{4} = \frac{\mu(\beta - \eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}}, \ \gamma_{5} = \frac{2\mu c}{4\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}, \ \sigma_{3} = \frac{\mu c}{2\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}$$
(27)

Comparing equation (27) to the parametric NU equation (4) then gives

$$\xi_{1} = \varepsilon^{2} + \delta^{2} + \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} - \gamma_{4} + \gamma_{5}$$
  

$$\xi_{2} = 2\varepsilon^{2} + \delta^{2} + \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} - \gamma_{2} - \gamma_{3} + 2\gamma_{5} - l(l+1)$$
  

$$\xi_{3} = \varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}$$
(28)

The parametric coefficient can be obtain as follows

$$c_{1} = c_{2} = c_{3} = 1$$

$$c_{4} = 0, c_{5} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{6} = \frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon^{2} + \delta^{2} + \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} - \gamma_{4} + \gamma_{5}$$

$$c_{7} = -2\varepsilon^{2} - \delta^{2} - \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} + \gamma_{2} + \gamma_{3} - 2\gamma_{5} + l(l+1), \quad c_{8} = \varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}$$

$$c_{9} = \frac{1}{4} + \gamma_{2} + l(l+1), \quad c_{10} = 1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}}$$

$$c_{11} = 2 + \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_{2} + 4l(l+1)} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}}, \quad c_{12} = \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} + \gamma_{5}}$$

$$c_{13} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_{2} + 4l(l+1)}$$
(29)

Using equation (15), the energy eigen-value equation can be obtained analytically with simple mathematical algebraic simplification as

$$\varepsilon^{2} = \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_{2} + 4l(l+1)} + \gamma_{2} + \gamma_{3} - \delta^{2} - \gamma_{1}\sigma_{1} + l(l+1)}{(2n+1) + \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_{2} + 4l(l+1)}} \right\}^{2} - \gamma_{5} \quad (30)$$

Substituting parameters of equation (27) into (30) gives the energy eigen-value equation as

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8A\mu}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} + \frac{2A\mu}{\hbar^{2}} + \frac{\mu(B-\eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}}}{\left(2n^{2}\hbar^{2} - \frac{\mu V_{1}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}\frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} + l(l+1)}{\left(2n+1\right) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8A\mu}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)}} \right\}^{2} + c \quad (31)$$

The wave function expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomial is obtained using equation (21). Hence substituting the parameters of equation (29) into (21) gives

$$\Psi(s) = \phi(s)\chi_n(s) = N_n s^{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}} \left(1 - s\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_2 + 4l(l+1)}} P_n^{\left[\left(1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}\right) \cdot \left(2 + 2\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_2 + 4l(l+1)} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}\right)\right]} (1 - 2s)$$
(32)

#### 4. RADIAL SOLUTIONS OF KLEIN-GORDON EQUATIONS

One dimensional Klein-Gordon equation for equal scalar and vector potential [41,42] is given as

$$\frac{d^2 R(r)}{dr^2} + \left[ E_R^2 - m^2(r) - 2\left(E_R + m\right)V(r) - \frac{\lambda}{r^2} \right] R(r) = 0$$
(33)

Substituting equation (2) into (33) gives

$$\frac{d^{2}R(r)}{dr^{2}} + \begin{bmatrix} E_{R}^{2} - m^{2}(r) - \frac{1}{2} \left( E_{R} + m \right) \left( -\frac{V_{0}e^{-2\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)} - \frac{V_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \left( \frac{\chi_{1}e^{-2\alpha r}}{1 - e^{-2\alpha r}} \right) + \frac{A}{r^{2}} + \frac{(B - \eta)e^{-\alpha r}}{r} + C - \frac{\lambda}{r^{2}} \end{bmatrix} R(r) = 0 \quad (34)$$

Substituting the approximation to the centrifugal term of equation (24) into (34) gives

$$\frac{d^{2}R(r)}{dr^{2}} + \begin{bmatrix} E_{R}^{2} - m^{2}(r) \\ -2(E_{R} + m) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{V_{0}e^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})} - \frac{V_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1}e^{-2\alpha r} \\ 1 - e^{-2\alpha r} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{4\alpha^{2}Ae^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})^{2}} \\ + \frac{2\alpha(B - \eta)e^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})} + C \end{pmatrix} - \frac{4\alpha^{2}\lambda e^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})^{2}} \end{bmatrix} R(r) = 0 \quad (35)$$

However, from the transformation  $s = e^{-2\alpha r}$  then

$$\frac{d^{2}R}{dr^{2}} = 4\alpha^{2}e^{-4\alpha r}\frac{d^{2}R}{ds^{2}} + 4\alpha^{2}e^{-2\alpha r}\frac{dR}{ds} = 4\alpha^{2}s^{2}\frac{d^{2}R}{ds^{2}} + 4\alpha^{2}s\frac{dR}{ds}$$
(36)

Substituting equation (36) into (35) then gives

$$\frac{d^{2}R}{ds^{2}} + \frac{1}{s}\frac{dR}{ds} + \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}s^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} E_{R}^{2} - m^{2}(r) \\ -2\left(E_{R} + m\right) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{V_{0}s}{(1-s)} - \frac{V_{1}}{\chi_{2}}\left(\frac{\chi_{1}s}{1-s}\right) + \frac{4\alpha^{2}As}{(1-s)^{2}} \\ +\frac{2\alpha\left(B - \eta\right)s}{(1-s)} + C \end{pmatrix} - \frac{4\alpha^{2}\lambda s}{(1-s)^{2}} \end{bmatrix} R(s) = 0 \quad (37)$$

Assuming that  $E_R^2 - m^2(r) = \tilde{E}_{nl}$  then, with simple mathematical algebraic simplification, equation (37) can be written as

$$\frac{d^{2}R}{ds^{2}} + \frac{(1-s)}{s(1-s)}\frac{dR}{ds} + \frac{1}{s^{2}(1-s)^{2}} \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R}+m)V_{0} - \tilde{E}_{nl} + 2(E_{R}+m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \\ -4\alpha(E_{R}+m)(B-\eta) + 2c(E_{R}+m) \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} s^{2} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R}+m)V_{0} - 2\tilde{E}_{nl} + 2(E_{R}+m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \\ -8A\alpha^{2}(E_{R}+m) - \\ 4\alpha(E_{R}+m)(B-\eta) + 4c(E_{R}+m) - 4\alpha^{2}\lambda \end{bmatrix} \end{cases} R(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(38)

Comparing equation (38) to parametric NU equation (4) then the following parametric constants are obtained.

$$c_{1} = c_{2} = c_{3} = 1$$

$$\xi_{1} = \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R} + m)V_{0} - \tilde{E}_{nl} + 2(E_{R} + m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \\ -4\alpha(E_{R} + m)(B - \eta) + 2c(E_{R} + m) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xi_{2} = \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R} + m)V_{0} - 2\tilde{E}_{nl} + 2(E_{R} + m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \\ -8A\alpha^{2}(E_{R} + m) - \\ 4\alpha(E_{R} + m)(B - \eta) + 4c(E_{R} + m) - 4\alpha^{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
(39)
$$(40)$$

$$\xi_3 = \frac{1}{4\alpha^2} \left( 2c \left( E_R + m \right) - \tilde{E}_{nl} \right)$$

$$c_4 = 0$$
(41)

$$c_4 = 0$$
  
 $c_5 = -\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$c_{6} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R} + m)V_{0} - \tilde{E}_{nl} + 2(E_{R} + m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \\ -4\alpha(E_{R} + m)(B - \eta) + 2c(E_{R} + m) \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$(42)$$

$$\left( 2(E_{R} + m)V_{0} - 2\tilde{E}_{R} + 2(E_{R} + m)\frac{V_{1}\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} \right)$$

$$c_{7} = -\frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(E_{R} + m)V_{0} - 2E_{nl} + 2(E_{R} + m) - \chi_{2} \\ -8A\alpha^{2}(E_{R} + m) - 4\alpha^{2}(E_{R} + m) - 4\alpha^{2}\lambda \\ 4\alpha(E_{R} + m)(B - \eta) + 4c(E_{R} + m) - 4\alpha^{2}\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
(43)

$$c_{8} = \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}} \left( 2c \left( E_{R} + m \right) - \tilde{E}_{nl} \right)$$
(44)

$$c_9 = \frac{1}{4} + 2(E_R + m)A + \lambda \tag{45}$$

$$c_{10} = 1 + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^2} + 2(E_R + m)A + \lambda}$$
(46)

$$c_{11} = 2 + 2\left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2(E_R + m)A + \lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^2}(2c(E_R + m) - \tilde{E}_{nl})}\right]$$
(47)

$$c_{12} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^2} \left( 2c \left( E_R + m \right) - \tilde{E}_{nl} \right)}$$

$$(48)$$

$$c_{13} = -\frac{1}{2} - \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2(E_R + m)A + \lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^2} (2c(E_R + m) - \tilde{E}_{nl})} \right]$$
(49)

Energy- eigen equation of Klein-Gordon equation can be calculated using equation (15) bearing in mind that for equal scalar and vector potential,  $V_s = 2V$  which then transform  $2(E_R + m) \rightarrow \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}$ . Substituting the parametric constants to equation (15) gives

$$\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left((2n+1)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)}\right) + (2n+1)\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}}\left(\frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}} - \tilde{E}_{nl}\right)} - \frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}}\left[\frac{2\mu V_{0}}{\hbar^{2}} - 2\tilde{E}_{nl} + \frac{2\mu V_{1}}{\hbar^{2}}\frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}} - \frac{4\mu A\alpha^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} - \frac{4\alpha\mu}{\hbar^{2}}\left(B - \eta\right) + \frac{4\mu c}{\hbar^{2}} - 4\alpha^{2}\lambda\right] + \frac{2}{4\alpha^{2}}\left[\frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}} - \tilde{E}_{nl}\right] + 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}}\left(\frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}} - \tilde{E}_{nl}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{2\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)\right)} = 0$$
(50)

Equation (50) can be reduce to

$$\frac{1}{4\alpha^{2}}\left(\frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}}-\tilde{E}_{nl}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(n^{2}+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1+\frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}}+4l(l+1)}-\frac{\mu V_{0}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}-\frac{\mu V_{1}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}\frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}}\right)^{2} \\ +\frac{\mu\left(B-\eta\right)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}}+l(l+1) \\ (2n+1)+\sqrt{1+\frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}}+4l(l+1)} \end{cases}$$
(51)

Equation (51) can further be reduce to

$$\tilde{E}_{nl} = -4\alpha^{2} \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} - \frac{\mu V_{0}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}} - \frac{\mu V_{1}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}\frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}}}{\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}} + \frac{\mu(B-\eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)} \left(2n+1\right) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)}} \right\}^{2} + \frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}} \left(52\right)$$

Recall that  $\tilde{E}_{nl} = E_R^2 - m^2 = (E_R - m)(E_R + m)$  equation (52) finally reduces to

$$E_{R}^{2} - m^{2} = -4\alpha^{2} \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} - \frac{\mu V_{0}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}} - \frac{\mu V_{1}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}} \frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}}}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + \frac{\mu(B-\eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)} \left(\frac{2n+1}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)}\right) \right\} + \frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^{2}}$$
(53)

Equation (53) is the energy eigen equation for Klein-Gordon equation.

The nonrelativistic limit usually abbreviated as NR limit, convert relativistic equation to nonrelativistic equation.

Here 
$$m + E_R = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2}$$
 and  $m - E_R = -E_{nl} \Longrightarrow E_R - m = E_{nl}$ , Hence  
 $E_R^2 - m^2 = \frac{2\mu E_{nl}}{\hbar^2}$ 
(54)

Substituting equation (54) into (53) gives

$$\frac{2\mu E_{nl}}{\hbar^2} = -4\alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{\left\{ \left(n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^2} + 4l(l+1)} - \frac{\mu V_0}{2\alpha^2 \hbar^2} - \frac{\mu V_1}{2\alpha^2 \hbar^2} \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_2} \right\}^2 + \frac{\mu (B - \eta)}{\alpha \hbar^2} + l(l+1)}{(2n+1) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^2} + 4l(l+1)}} \right\}^2 + \frac{2\mu c}{\hbar^2}$$
(55)

Equation (55) finally reduces to

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} - \frac{\mu V_{0}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}} - \frac{\mu V_{1}}{2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}}\frac{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}}}{(2\alpha^{2}\hbar^{2}) + l(l+1)} + \frac{\mu(B-\eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} \right\}^{2} + c$$
(56)

It can be observe with high level of analytical mathematical accuracy that equation (56) is exactly the same as equation (31). This affirms that the relativistic equation (Klein-Gordon) can be converted to nonrelativistic equation (Schrödinger) with application of nonrelativistic limit.

# 5. NORMALISING THE WAVE FUNCTION OF THE NOVEL POTENTIAL USING CONFLUENT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

The wave function for this system is given in equation (32). Basically to normalize a wave function, then integral of the wave function and its complex conjugate equals to unity. That is

$$\int_0^\infty \Psi(r)\Psi^*(r)dr = 1 \tag{57}$$

In a situation where  $\Psi(r)$  and its complex conjugate are real function, then equation (57) can be expressed as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |\Psi(r)|^{2} dr = 1$$
(58)

Considering the fact that  $s = e^{-2\alpha r}$  then when r = 0, s = 1 and when  $r = \infty, s = 0$ ,

Hence the wave function will be physically valid for  $s \in [0,1]$  and  $r \in (0,\infty)$ 

However from equation (32) let

$$\kappa_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5} \text{ and } \kappa_2 = \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_2 + 4l(l+1)}$$
(59)

Equation (32) can then be expressed as

$$\Psi_{n}(s) = N_{n}(s)(s)^{\kappa_{1}} \left[1 - s\right]^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2}\right)} \times P_{n}^{\left[\left(1 + 2\kappa_{1}\right), \left(2 + \kappa_{2} + 2\kappa_{1}\right)\right]}(1 - 2s)$$
(60)

Substituting equation (60) into equation (58) gives

$$-\frac{N_n^2}{2\alpha}\int_0^1 (s)^{2\kappa_1-1} \left[1-s\right]^{-(1+\kappa_2)} \times \left|P_n^{\left[(1+2\kappa_1),(2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1)\right]}(1-2s)\right|^2 ds = 1$$
(61)

Jacobi polynomial  $P_n^{(\rho,\nu)}(\upsilon_1)$  can be expressed in two different hypergeometric functions by

$$P_{n}^{(\rho,\nu)}(\nu_{1}) = 2^{-n} \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(-1\right)^{n-p} {\binom{n+\rho}{p}} {\binom{n+\nu}{p}} \left(1-\nu_{1}\right)^{n-p} \left(1+\nu_{1}\right)^{p}$$
(62)

$$P_n^{(\rho,\nu)}(\nu_1) = \frac{\Gamma(n+\rho+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\rho+\nu+1)} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{\Gamma(n+\rho+\nu+r+1)}{\Gamma(r+\rho+1)} \left(\frac{\nu_1-1}{2}\right)^r$$
(63)

where

$$\binom{n}{r} = {}^{n}c_{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-r+1)\Gamma(r+1)}$$
(64)

Equations (62) and (63) are used simultaneously in evaluating the Jacobi polynomial.

Considering the Jacobi polynomial of equation (61)

$$P_n^{[(1+2\kappa_1),(2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1)]}(1-2s) \Longrightarrow \rho = (1+2\kappa_1), \nu = (2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1), \nu_1 = (1-2s)$$

Using equation (62) then the Jacobi polynomial become

$$P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s) = 2^{-n}\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(-1\right)^{n-p} {\binom{n+1+2\kappa_{1}}{p}} {\binom{n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}}{n-p}} \left(1-1+2s\right)^{n-p} \left(1+1-2s\right)^{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s) = 2^{-n}\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(-1\right)^{n-p} {\binom{n+1+2\kappa_{1}}{p}} {\binom{n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}}{n-p}} \left(2s\right)^{n-p} \left(-2s\right)^{p}$$
(65)

The summation sign in equation (65) can be evaluated simultaneously for p=0 and p=0, n as a partial sum.

Evaluating it for p=0

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-p} {\binom{n+1+2\kappa_{1}}{p}} {\binom{n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}}{p}} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{n} {\binom{n+1+2\kappa_{1}}{0}} {\binom{n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}}{n}}$$

$$= (-1)^{n} \frac{(n+1+2\kappa_{1})!}{\left[(n+1+2\kappa_{1})-0\right]!0!} \frac{(n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})!}{\left[(n+2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]!n!} = (-1)^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})}$$
(66)

For p=0, n

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-p} {n+1+2\kappa_1 \choose p} {n+2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1 \choose n-p} =$$

$$\left(-1\right)^p \frac{(n+2\kappa_1+1)!}{\left[(n+2\kappa_1+1-p)\right]!p!} \frac{(n+2+\kappa_1+2\kappa_1)!}{\left[(n+2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1)-(n-p)\right]!(n-p)!} = (-1)^p \frac{(n+2\kappa_1+1)!}{p!(n-p)!\left[(n+2\kappa_1+1-p)\right]!} \frac{(n+2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1)!}{\left[(n+2+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1+p)\right]!}$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1)^p \frac{\Gamma(n+2\kappa_1+2)}{p!(n-p)!\Gamma\left[(n+2\kappa_1+2-p)\right]} \frac{\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1+p)}{\Gamma\left[(n+3+\kappa_2+2\kappa_1+p)\right]}$$
(67)

Substituting (66) and (67) into (65) gives

$$P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s) = \left(-1\right)^{n} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma\left(n+3+\xi_{2}+2\xi_{1}\right)} \times$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(-1\right)^{p} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2\kappa_{1}+2\right)}{p!(n-p)!\Gamma\left[(n+2\kappa_{1}+2-p)\right]} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}\right)2^{-n}\left(2s\right)^{n-p}\left(-2s\right)^{p}}{\Gamma\left[(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}+p)\right]}$$
(68)

Using the second expression for the Jacobi polynomial that is equation (63) then

$$P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s) = \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2\kappa_{1}+2\right)}{\Gamma\left(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3\right)} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{r} \Gamma\left(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)}{r!(n-r)!(r+1+2\kappa_{1})} s^{r}$$
(69)

Then the square of the Jacobi polynomial in equation (61) then become

$$\left[P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s)\right]^{2} = \text{Equation} \quad (68) \text{ multiplied by (69)}$$

$$\left[P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s)\right]^{2} = \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2\kappa_{1}+2\right)}{\Gamma\left(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3\right)} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{r} \Gamma\left(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)}{r!(n-r)!(r+1+2\xi_{1})} s^{r} \times (-1)^{n} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma\left(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}\right)} \times \sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{p} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+2\kappa_{1}+2\right)}{p!(n-p)!\Gamma\left[(n+2\kappa_{1}+2-p)\right]} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}\right)2^{-n}\left(2s\right)^{n-p}\left(-2s\right)^{p}}{\Gamma\left[(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}+p)\right]}$$
(70)

Equation (70) can be further simplified to

$$\left[P_{n}^{\left[(1+2\kappa_{1}),(2+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\right]}(1-2s)\right]^{2} = \frac{(-1)^{n+2p+r}2^{-2n}s^{p+r}\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\Gamma(n+2\kappa_{1}+2)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\Gamma(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3)} \\
\sum_{p=0}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{n}\frac{\Gamma(n+2+2\kappa_{1})\Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})\Gamma(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})}{p!(n-p)!r!(n-r)!(r+1+2\kappa_{1})\Gamma(P+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})}$$
(71)

Substituting equation (71) into (61) gives

$$\frac{N_{n}^{2}}{2\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{n+2p+r} 2^{-2n} s^{p+r} \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+2\kappa_{1}+2)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3)}$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+2+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})}{p!(n-p)! r!(n-r)!(r+1+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(P+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})} \int_{0}^{1} (s)^{2\kappa_{1}-1} [1-s]^{-(1+\kappa_{2})} ds = 1$$
(72)

Confluent hypergeometric function can be define as follows:

$${}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha_{0},\beta_{0}:\alpha_{0}+1;1) = \alpha_{0}\int_{0}^{1} (s)^{\alpha_{0}-1} [1-s]^{-\beta_{0}} ds = 1$$
(73)

Assuming that

$$\gamma_{0} = \alpha_{0} + 1 \text{, then } {}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}; \gamma_{0}; 1) = \alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{1} (s)^{\alpha_{0} - 1} [1 - s]^{-\beta_{0}} ds = 1$$
(74)

However,

$${}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha_{0},\beta_{0}:\gamma_{0};1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{0})\Gamma(\gamma_{0}-\alpha_{0}-\beta_{0})}{\Gamma(\gamma_{0}-\alpha_{0})\Gamma(\gamma_{0}-\beta_{0})}$$
(75)

Considering

$$\int_{0}^{1} (s)^{2\kappa_{1}-1} [1-s]^{-(1+\kappa_{2})} ds \qquad , \qquad \alpha_{0} = 2\kappa_{1}, \qquad \beta_{0} = (1+\kappa_{2}), \qquad \gamma_{0} = \alpha_{0} + 1$$
(76)

Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{1} (s)^{2\kappa_{1}-1} [1-s]^{-(1+\kappa_{2})} ds = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{0}+1)\Gamma(\alpha_{0}+1-\alpha_{0}-\beta_{0})}{\alpha_{0}\Gamma(\gamma_{0}-\alpha_{0})\Gamma(\gamma_{0}-\beta_{0})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} (s)^{2\kappa_{1}-1} [1-s]^{-(1+\kappa_{2})} ds = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_{0}+1)\Gamma(1-\beta_{0})}{\alpha_{0}\Gamma(\alpha_{0}+1-\alpha_{0})\Gamma(\alpha_{0}+1-\beta_{0})} = \frac{\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}+1)\Gamma(1-(1+\kappa_{2}))}{\alpha_{0}\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}-(1+\kappa_{2}))}$$
(77)
$$\Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} (s)^{2\kappa_{1}-1} [1-s]^{-(1+\kappa_{2})} ds = \frac{\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}+1)\Gamma(-\kappa_{2})}{\alpha_{0}\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}-1)}$$

Substituting equation (77) into (72) gives

$$\frac{N_{n}^{2}}{2\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{n+2p+r} 2^{-2n} s^{p+r} \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+2\kappa_{1}+2)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3)} \\
\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+2+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})}{p!(n-p)! r!(n-r)! (r+1+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(P+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})} \frac{\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}+1) \Gamma(-\kappa_{2})}{\alpha_{0} \Gamma(2\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}-1)} = 1$$
(78)

Let

$$M_{1} = \frac{(-1)^{n+2p+r} 2^{-2n} s^{p+r} \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+2\kappa_{1}+2)}{2\alpha \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}+3)}$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+2+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(n+4+4\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2})}{p!(n-p)! r!(n-r)!(r+1+2\kappa_{1}) \Gamma(P+3+\kappa_{2}+2\kappa_{1})} \frac{\Gamma(2\kappa_{1}+1) \Gamma(-\kappa_{2})}{\alpha_{0} \Gamma(2\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}-1)}$$
(79)

However,

$$N_n^2 M_1 = 1 \Longrightarrow N_n(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_1}}$$
(80)

Hence, the normalized wave function then become

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_1}} s^{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}} (1-s)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_2 + 4l(l+1)}} P_n^{\left[ (1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}), (2 + 2\sqrt{1 + 4\gamma_2 + 4l(l+1)} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_5}) \right]} (1-2s)$$
(81)

Equation (81) is the normalized wave function for the proposed potential.

### 6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section the numerical computation of energy eigen-values of Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations are presented. Using equation (31) we implemented MATLAB algorithm to obtain the numerical bound state energies of Schrodinger equation with the proposed potential using some real constants. In tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, the numerical bound state energies for Schrodinger equation are otained for  $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5.

These tables show negative energies which satisfies bound state condition. However, the numerical bound state energies decreases with an increase in quantum state.

The energy eigen-values for the Klein-Gordon particles for  $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5 are discussed and presented in tables 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 respectively. The bound state energies in this case increases with an increase in quantum state with respect to orbital angular quantum number.

$$\chi_1 = 0.1, \quad \chi_2 = 0.2, \quad V_0 = 0.01, \quad V_1 = 0.02, \quad A = \hbar = \mu = 1.0$$
  
 $\eta = 0.03, \quad B = 2.0, \quad 0.1 \le \alpha \le 0.5$ 

#### Table 1. Numerical bound state energy for Schrodinger Equation for $\alpha = 0.1$

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	-1.64465136	0	1	-1.46103113	0	2	-1.31165417	0	3	-1.22436776
1	0	-1.43664026	1	1	-1.37624632	1	2	-1.31051024	1	3	-1.26269160
2	0	-1.38809186	2	1	-1.36818112	2	2	-1.33954161	2	3	-1.31527438
3	0	-1.39422175	3	1	-1.39398112	3	2	-1.38722481	3	3	-1.37995459
4	0	-1.42825952	4	1	-1.44039760	4	2	-1.44922720	4	3	-1.45578639
5	0	-1.48085112	5	1	-1.50224603	5	2	-1.52362416	5	3	-1.54230271
6	0	-1.54803903	6	1	-1.57715975	6	2	-1.60945488	6	3	-1.63925131
7	0	-1.62791544	7	1	-1.66393353	7	2	-1.70619621	7	3	-1.74648628
8	0	-1.71946872	8	1	-1.76190112	8	2	-1.81354355	8	3	-1.86391847

Table 2. Numerical bound state energy for Schrodinger Equation for  $\alpha = 0.2$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	-1.88975995	0	1	-1.69219460	0	2	-1.50811426	0	3	-1.39348029
1	0	-1.76400003	1	1	-1.71576175	1	2	-1.64352235	1	3	-1.58568452
2	0	-1.82462372	2	1	-1.83758627	2	2	-1.82954958	2	3	-1.81793731
3	0	-1.96900525	3	1	-2.02024911	3	2	-2.06013064	3	3	-2.09021402
4	0	-2.17092576	4	1	-2.25218191	4	2	-2.33298508	4	3	-2.40250386
5	0	-2.42122386	5	1	-2.52885771	5	2	-2.64709988	5	3	-2.75480151
6	0	-2.71602359	6	1	-2.84821002	6	2	-3.00196934	6	3	-3.14710408
7	0	-3.05345644	7	1	-3.20918647	7	2	-3.39731808	7	3	-3.57940992
8	0	-3.43253160	8	1	-3.61120532	8	2	-3.83298579	8	3	-4.05171801

Table 3. Numerical bound state energy for Schrodinger Equation for  $\alpha = 0.3$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	-2.16930505	0	1	-1.96658237	0	2	-1.74992797	0	3	-1.60805107
1	0	-2.17896947	1	1	-2.16067328	1	2	-2.09428411	1	3	-2.03462651
2	0	-2.42094736	2	1	-2.49470577	2	2	-2.53266378	2	3	-2.55388015
3	0	-2.79546643	3	1	-2.93660208	3	2	-3.06276886	3	3	-3.16445273
4	0	-3.27703681	4	1	-3.47644512	4	2	-3.68373531	4	3	-3.86574948
5	0	-3.85675185	5	1	-4.11035415	5	2	-4.39517931	5	3	-4.65747668
6	0	-4.53084335	6	1	-4.83655763	6	2	-5.19690924	6	3	-5.53947571
7	0	-5.29749476	7	1	-5.65415342	7	2	-6.08882077	7	3	-6.51165483
8	0	-6.15574282	8	1	-6.56264281	8	2	-7.07085315	8	3	-7.57395797

Table 4. Numerical bound state energy for Schrodinger Equation for  $\alpha = 0.4$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	-2.48761162	0	1	-2.28714049	0	2	-2.03898155	0	3	-1.86936584
1	0	-2.68405529	1	1	-2.71299165	1	2	-2.66431826	1	3	-2.61069940
2	0	-3.17891352	2	1	-3.34115303	2	2	-3.45022542	2	3	-3.52422616
3	0	-3.87514745	3	1	-4.14444851	3	2	-4.39637721	3	3	-4.60375779
4	0	-4.74796553	4	1	-5.11447643	4	2	-5.50265113	4	3	-5.84658638
5	0	-5.78870515	5	1	-6.24794902	5	2	-6.76899275	5	3	-7.25137470
6	0	-6.99370132	6	1	-7.54336546	6	2	-8.19537492	6	3	-8.81740064
7	0	-8.36118727	7	1	-8.99996145	7	2	-9.78178283	7	3	-10.5442465
8	0	-9.89022613	8	1	-10.6173145	8	2	-11.5282079	8	3	-12.4316569

Table 5. Numerical bound state energy for Schrodinger Equation for  $\alpha = 0.5$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	-2.84442445	0	1	-2.65370233	0	2	-2.37517286	0	3	-2.17735777
1	0	-3.27912704	1	1	-3.37261728	1	2	-3.35355331	1	3	-3.31385034
2	0	-4.09843675	2	1	-4.37685692	2	2	-4.58217834	2	3	-4.72893041
3	0	-5.20798403	3	1	-5.64373199	3	2	-6.06090826	3	3	-6.40808912
4	0	-6.58365927	4	1	-7.16622796	4	2	-7.78969054	4	3	-8.34497772
5	0	-8.21703815	5	1	-8.94159987	5	2	-9.76850184	5	3	-10.5364610
6	0	-10.1045565	6	1	-10.9685947	6	2	-11.9973305	6	3	-12.9808459
7	0	-12.2444961	7	1	-13.2465743	7	2	-14.4761703	7	3	-15.6771531
8	0	-14.6359459	8	1	-15.7751860	8	2	-17.2050173	8	3	-18.6247840

Table 6. Numerical bound state energy for Klein-Gordon Equation for  $\alpha = 0.1$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	1.56078821	0	1	1.44326494	0	2	1.33976433	0	3	1.27530075
1	0	1.42800751	1	1	1.38689113	1	2	1.34046127	1	3	1.30557436
2	0	1.39607455	2	1	1.38260427	2	2	1.36270848	2	3	1.34555806
3	0	1.40161936	3	1	1.40192383	3	2	1.39768515	3	3	1.39298392
4	0	1.42632300	4	1	1.43509648	4	2	1.44161928	4	3	1.44651644
5	0	1.46310089	5	1	1.47783895	5	2	1.49249815	5	3	1.50521768
6	0	1.50855790	6	1	1.52786770	6	2	1.54904970	6	3	1.56835886
7	0	1.56076465	7	1	1.58376477	7	2	1.61036844	7	3	1.63534336
8	0	1.61846900	8	1	1.64454446	8	2	1.67575880	8	3	1.70567016

Table 7. Numerical bound state energy for Klein-Gordon Equation for  $\alpha = 0.2$ 

п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	1.71580710	0	1	1.59890838	0	2	1.48137321	0	3	1.40312221
1	0	1.64377856	1	1	1.61503846	1	2	1.57060386	1	3	1.53402539
2	0	1.68127778	2	1	1.68937796	2	2	1.68511330	2	3	1.67862470
3	0	1.76552428	3	1	1.79453470	3	2	1.81692043	3	3	1.83367388
4	0	1.87664722	4	1	1.91958870	4	2	1.96142228	4	3	1.99673647
5	0	2.00572680	5	1	2.05877449	5	2	2.11555801	5	3	2.16600175
6	0	2.14776420	6	1	2.20850914	6	2	2.27715820	6	3	2.34012334
7	0	2.29956491	7	1	2.36635705	7	2	2.44463445	7	3	2.51809358
8	0	2.45892715	8	1	2.53057604	8	2	2.61679975	8	3	2.69915104

Table 8. Numerical bound state energy for Klein-Gordon Equation for  $\,\alpha=0.3$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	n	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	1.87458509	0	1	1.76427509	0	2	1.63791262	0	3	1.54952699
1	0	1.88097630	1	1	1.87163444	1	2	1.83628236	1	3	1.80385290
2	0	2.00591970	2	1	2.04254769	2	2	2.06129168	2	3	2.07176828
3	0	2.18484443	3	1	2.24861478	3	2	2.30418430	3	3	2.34803420
4	0	2.39522883	4	1	2.47714169	4	2	2.55954690	4	3	2.62978880
5	0	2.62617888	5	1	2.72107037	5	2	2.82386668	5	3	2.91533798
6	0	2.87144056	6	1	2.97602900	6	2	3.09478934	6	3	3.20361654
7	0	3.12707408	7	1	3.23913926	7	2	3.37069308	7	3	3.49392204
8	0	3.39045330	8	1	3.50842736	8	2	3.65043249	8	3	3.78577306

Table 9. Numerical bound state energy for Klein-Gordon Equation for  $\alpha = 0.4$ 

n	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$	п	l	$E_n(eV)$
0	0	2.03895248	0	1	1.93867203	0	2	1.80663818	0	3	1.71050135
1	0	2.13364352	1	1	2.14735009	1	2	2.12478201	1	3	2.09956567
2	0	2.35434749	2	1	2.42236317	2	2	2.46709439	2	3	2.49700964
3	0	2.63359008	3	1	2.73397967	3	2	2.82469151	3	3	2.89724245
4	0	2.94646222	4	1	3.06835839	4	2	3.19240423	4	3	3.29842395
5	0	3.28073839	5	1	3.41787156	5	2	3.56709096	5	3	3.69988218
6	0	3.62950666	6	1	3.77792682	6	2	3.94675932	6	3	4.10135887
7	0	3.98852690	7	1	4.14559523	7	2	4.33009584	7	3	4.50275580
8	0	4.35505052	8	1	4.51892607	8	2	4.71620439	8	3	4.90404021

Table 10. Numerical bound state energy for Klein-Gordon Equation for  $\alpha = 0.5$ 

п	l	$E_n(eV)$									
0	0	2.20816652	0	1	2.12020339	0	2	1.98465271	0	3	1.88244936
1	0	2.39710542	1	1	2.43585243	1	2	2.42808346	1	3	2.41173156
2	0	2.71753379	2	1	2.81815139	2	2	2.89012636	2	3	2.94049721
3	0	3.09906517	3	1	3.23663248	3	2	3.36307673	3	3	3.46479270
4	0	3.51505640	4	1	3.67706650	4	2	3.84289558	4	3	3.98478741
5	0	3.95252064	5	1	4.13177744	5	2	4.32729326	5	3	4.50127459
6	0	4.40425729	6	1	4.59625826	6	2	4.81488555	6	3	5.01500002
7	0	4.86594077	7	1	5.06769771	7	2	5.30479040	7	3	5.52655647
8	0	5.33481885	8	1	5.54425752	8	2	5.79642084	8	3	6.03639613



Fig. 3. Energy spectral diagram of Schrodinger equation for  $\alpha = 0.1$ 

With the help of origin software, we obtain numerical bound state energy diagrams plots for both Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations using their respective numerical bound state energy values. Fig.s 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 show the variation of energy eigen values with quantum state (n) with various orbital ber (*I*) for  $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5 respectively for Schrodinger particles. These graph show unique quantization of the energy levels with respect to quantum state. Also, the same plots are carried out for the Klein-

Gordon particles and are discussed in Fig.s 8, 9,10, 11 and 12 for  $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  and 0.5 respectively.

It can be observed that this graph is direct opposite to that obtained from Schrodinger

equation. This implies that while the negatives energies from Schrodinger equations describe the particle constituting the state of the system, that of the Klein-Gordon equation described both spinless particle and anti-particle state of the system.



Fig. 4. Energy spectral diagram of Schrodinger equation for  $\alpha = 0.2$ 



Fig. 5. Energy spectral diagram of Schrodinger equation for  $\alpha = 0.3$ 



Fig. 6. Energy spectral diagram of Schrodinger equation for  $\alpha = 0.4$ 



Fig. 7. Energy spectral diagram of Schrodinger equation for  $\alpha = 0.5$ 



Fig. 8. Energy spectral diagram of Klein-Gordon equation for  $\alpha = 0.1$ 



Fig. 9. Energy spectral diagram of Klein-Gordon equation for  $\alpha = 0.2$ 



Fig. 10. Energy spectral diagram of Klein-Gordon equation for  $\alpha = 0.3$ 



Fig. 11. Energy spectral diagram of Klein-Gordon equation for  $\alpha = 0.4$ 



Fig. 12. Energy spectral diagram of Klein-Gordon equation for  $\alpha = 0.5$ 

Furthermore our novel potential could be deduced to some well known potentials by adjusting some potential parameters.

#### (i) Hulthen potential

Setting  $A = B = c = \eta = V_1 = 0$  in equation (2) result to Hulthen potential given as

$$V(r) = \frac{-V_0 e^{-2\alpha r}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}\right)}$$
(82)

The energy of this potential is given as

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{\mu} \left[ \frac{\left(-\frac{\mu V_0}{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2} + l(l+1)\right) + (n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2}) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)}}{\left(1 + 2n + \sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)}\right)} \right]^2$$
(83)

However  $\sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)} = 2l+1$  , then equation (83) becomes

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{\mu} \left[ \frac{\left( -\frac{\mu V_0}{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2} \right) + (n+l)(n+l+2) + 1}{2(n+l+1)} \right]^2$$
(84)

Equation (84) is in agreement to that obtained by Okon et.al, 2017

#### (ii) Yukawa potential

Setting  $V_0 = V_1 = B = C = 0$  in equation (2) then the potential reduced to Yukawa potential.

$$V(r) = -\frac{\eta e^{-\alpha r}}{r}$$
(85)

By substituting those constants to energy eigen value equation (31), then, the corresponding energy equation for Yukawa potential is given as

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{\mu} \left[ \frac{(n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2}) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)} - \frac{\mu\eta}{\hbar^2 \alpha} + l(l+1)}{\left(1 + 2n + \sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)}\right)} \right]^2$$
(86)

However, Okon et. al, 2017 obtain the energy-eigen value equation for Yukawa potential as

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{\mu} \left[ \frac{\left( -\frac{\mu A}{\hbar^2 \alpha} + l(l+1) \right) + (n^2 + n + \frac{1}{2}) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)}}{\left( 1 + 2n + \sqrt{(4l(l+1)+1)} \right)} \right]^2$$
(87)

It can be observe that equation (86) is exactly the same as equation (87) which shows that the result agrees to that of existing literature.

#### (iii) Exponential Mie-type potential

Setting  $V_0 = V_1 = 0$  in equation (2) then the potential reduced to exponential Mie-type potential.

$$V(r) = \frac{A}{r^2} + \frac{(B - \eta)e^{-\alpha r}}{r} + C$$
(88)

Substituting the same constants to equation (31) gives the energy eigen equation for exponential Mie-Type potential as

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{2\hbar^{2}\alpha^{2}}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\left(n^{2} + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)} + \frac{\mu(B-\eta)}{\alpha\hbar^{2}} + l(l+1)}{\left(2n+1\right) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\mu A}{\hbar^{2}} + 4l(l+1)}} \right\}^{2} + c \quad (89)$$

# 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have obtained an approximate analytical solutions of Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations with a new proposed potential model called Hulthen plus inversely quadratic exponential Mie-Type potential (HIQEMP) via parametric Nikiforov-Uvarov method . We obtained numerical solutions by implementing MATLAB algorithm to obtain bound state energies for both Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations. Numerical bound state energies increases with an increase in quantum state with respect to the adjustable parameter. With application of nonrelativistic limit, the energy eigen equation of Klein-Gordon equation is converted to that of Schrodinger equation. The proposed potential reduces to three potentials namely: Hulthen, Yukawa and exponential Mie-Type potential. The results for some of the deduced potential are in agreement to that of existing literature. The bound state energy spectral diagrams for both cases show quantization of distinct energy levels. The negative energies in Schrodinger equation ascertain bound state condition describing the particle states (negative energy) of the system while the bound state energies from Klein-Gordon equation described anti-particles (positive energy).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very grateful to Dr. A. N. Ikot of the Theoretical Physics Group, Department of Physics, University of Port Harcourt for scrutinizing the manuscript, and providing useful suggestions which have significantly help in improving on the quality of the article. The authors also grateful to reviewers for their useful comments and suggestion which adds to quality of the article.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

 Okon IB, Popoola OO, Isonguyo CN. Approximate Solution to Schrodinger Equation with Some Diatomic Molecular Interaction Using Nikiforov-Uvarov Method. Advances in High Energy Physics Hindawi, 2017Volume 2017, Article ID 9671816, 24 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9671816..

2. Ikhdair SM, Sever R. A perturbative treatment for the bound states of the Hellmann potential. Journal of Molecular Structure. 2007; 809:103-113.

 Chun-Feng H, Zhong-Xiang Z, Yan LI. Bound states of the klein-gordon equation with vector and scalar wood-saxon potentials. Acta Physica Sinica. 1999; 8: 561–564

- 4. Seto JY, Roy JL. Direct potential fit analysis of the  $X^1 \sum_{g}^+$  state of Rb<sub>2</sub>. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 2000;8:3067–3076.
- 5. Fl<sup>°</sup>ugge S. Practical Quantum Mechanics. vol. I, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1994.
- Dong SH, Lemus R, Frank A. Ladder operators for the Morse potential. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry. 2002;86(5): 433–439.
- Morse PM. Diatomic molecules according to the wave mechanics. II. Vibrational levels. Physical Review. 1929;34(1):57-64.
- Okon IB, Popoola OO, Ituen EE. Bound state solution to Schrodinger equation with Hulthen plus exponential Coulombic potential with centrifugal potential barrier using parametric Nikiforov-Uvarov method. International Journal of Recent Advances in Physics. 2016;5(2).Doi:10.14810/ijrap.2016.5101
- Chen G. Bound states for Dirac equation with Wood-Saxon potential. Acta Physica Sinica. 2004;53(3):680-683.
- Sever R, Tezcan C, Yesiltas O, Bucurgat M. Exact solution of effective mass Schrodinger equation for the hulthen potential. International Journal of Theoretical Physics. 2008;47(9): 2243– 2248.
- Okon IB, Ituen EE, Popoola OO, Antia AD. Analytical solutions of Schrodinger equation with Mie-type potential using factorisation method. International Journal of Recent Advances in Physics. 2013;2(2)1-7.
- Villalba VM, Rojas C. Bound states of the Klein-Gordon equation in the presence of short range potentials. International Journal of Modern Physics A. 2006; 21(2): 313–325.
- 13. Arda A, Aydogdu O, Sever R. Scattering and Bound solutions of the Asymmetric Hulthen potential. Phys. Scr. 2011; 84: 025004.
- 14. Tietz T. Negative Hydrogen ion J. Chem. Phys. 1961; 35: 1917-1961
- 15. Huithen L, Sugawara M, Flugge S. Handbuch der Physik (Springer-Verlag, Berlin). 1957
- 16. Berezin A. Two exactly solvable models for the collapse of the particle to the centre in a nonrelativistic quantum mechanics.

Letter al Nuovo cimento. 1971; 40: 145-146.

- 17. Pyykko P, Jokisaari J. Spectral density analysis of nuclear spin-spin coupling. I. A. Hulthen potential LCAO model for  $J_{X-H}$  in hydride  $XH_4$ .Chem. Phys.1975; 293:10.
- Quesne C. Higher-order Susy, Exactly Solvable potentials and exceptionally orthogonal polynominals. Modern Physics Letters A. 2011;26(25): 1843-1852.
- Ikot A , Yazarloo B, Antia, A , H. Hassanabadi H. Relativistic treatment of spinless particle subject to generalized Tiez-Wei oscillator. Indian J. Phys. 2013;5:12-14. Doi: 10.1007/s12648-013-0306-4.
- Hassanabadi H, Rahimov H, Zarrinkamar S. Cornell and Coulomb interactions for the D-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation. Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 2011;523: 566-575.
- Berkdemir C, Berkdemir A, Sever R. Systematical approach to the exact solution of the Dirac equation for a deformed form of the Woods-Saxon potential. Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and General. 2006; 39(43): 13455–13463.
- Arda A , Sever R. Approximate *l*-state solutions of a spin-0 particle for Woods-Saxon potential. International Journal of Modern Physics C. 2009;20(4): 651–665.
- Ikhdair SM, Sever R. An alternative simple solution of the sextic anharmonic oscillator and perturbed coulomb problems. International Journal of Modern Physics C.2007;18(10): 1571–1581.
- Badalov VH, Ahmadov HI, Badalov SV. Any I-state analytical solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation for the Woods-Saxon potential. International Journal of Modern Physics E. 2010;19(7): 1463– 1475.
- Chen G. Chen, Z.-D, . Lou Z.-M. Exact bound state solutions of the s-wave Klein-Gordon equation with the generalized Hulthen potential. Physics Letters.A. 2004; 331(6): 374–377.
- 26. Dong SH. Factorization Method in Quantum Mechanics. vol. 150 of Fundamental Theories of Physics, Springer, Berlin. Germany, 2007.
- 27. Hassanabadi H, Zarrinkama S, Rajabi A Exact solutions of D-dimensional Schr odinger equation for an energy dependent potential by NU method,"

Communications in Theoretical Physics. 2011; 55(4):541–544.

- Oyewumi KJ Akinpelu, FO, Agboola AD. Exactly complete solutions of the pseudoharmonic potential in Ndimensions. International Journal of Theoretical Physics. 2008; 47(4):1039-1057.
- 29. Hassanabadi H, Zarrinkamar , Hamzavi H, Rajabi A. Exact solution of D-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation with an energy dependent potential using Nikiforov-Uvarov method Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering. 2011; 37(1). Doi :10.1007/s13369-011-0168-z. 2011.
- 30. Esteve JG , Falceto F, Garacia C. Generalization of the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. Physics Letters A. 2010; 374(6): 819–822.
- Fakhri H, Sadeghi J. Supersymmetry approaches to the bound states of the generalized Woods-Saxon potential. Modern Physics Letters A. 2004; 19(8): 615–625.
- Khounfais K, Boudjeda T, Chetouani L .Scattering matrix for Feshbach-Villars equation for spin 0 and 1/2:Woods- Saxon potential. Czechoslovak Journal of Physics. 2004;54(7):697-710.
- Arda A, Sever R. Approximate analytical solutions of a two-term diatomic molecular potential with centrifugal barrier. Journal of Mathematical Chemistry. 2012; 50(7):1920-1930.
- Hamzavi M, Movahedi M, Thylwe KE, Rajabi AA .Approximate analytical solution of the yukawa potential with arbitrary angularmomenta. Chinese Physics Letters. 2012; 29(8), Article ID 080302.
- Okon IB, Popoola OO, Isonguyo CN. Exact bound state solution of q-deformed woods-saxon plus modified coulomb potential using conventional Nikiforov-Uvarov method. International Journal of Recent advances in Physics. 2014; 3(4):29–38.
- 36. Okon IB, Popoola OO. Bound state solution of Schrodinger equation with Hulthen plus generalised exponential Coulomb potential using Nikiforov-Uvarov method. International Journal of Recent Advances in Physics. 2015;4(3). Doi:10.14810/ijrap.2015.4301.
- 37. Isonguyo CN, Okon IB, Ikot AN. Semirelativistic treatment of Hellmann potential using Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics. Journal of the Nigerian

Association of Mathematical Physics. 2013; 25(2):121–126.

- Isonguyo CN, Okon IB, Ikot AN, Hassanabadi H. Solution of klein gordon equation for some diatomic molecules with new generalized morse-like potential using SUSYQM. Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society. 2014; 35(12):3443–3446.
- Greene RL, Aldrich C. Variational wave functions for a screened Coulomb potential. Physical Review A. 1976; 14(6) 2363–2366.
- 40. Bera PK. The exact solutions for the interaction  $V(r) = \alpha r^{2d-2} \beta r^{d-2}$  by

Nikiforov-Uvarov method. Pramana Journal of Physics. 2012; 78(5): 667–677.

- Akpan IO, Antia AD, Ikot AN. Bound State Solutions of the Klein-Gordon Equation with q-Deformed Equal Scalar and Vector Eckart Potential Using a Newly improved Approximation Scheme. ISRN. High Energy Physics. 2012:doi: 10.5402/2012/798209. Article ID 798203.
- 42. Ikot AN, Hassanabadi H, Obong HP, Umoren YE, Yarzarloo BH, Isonguyo CN. Approximate Solutions of Klein-Gordon equation with improved Manning-Rosen potential In D-dimension using SUSYQM. Chinese Physics B. 2014;23(12).