- 1
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

. 5

6 Abstract

In communication systems engineering, designers tend to optimize the channel capacity of 7 8 radio links through frequency re-use by deploying dual independent orthogonally polarized channels in the same frequency band. Such frequency re-use techniques via linear or circular 9 polarization are severely impaired by the interference of cross-polarized signals, because the 10 11 energy from one polarization is transferred to the other orthogonal region. Depolarization 12 effects on satellite links are described in terms of cross polar discrimination (XPD). The 13 parameters mainly responsible for depolarization due to scattering by oblate spheroid 14 raindrops at Ku-band can be estimated from satellite beacon footprint data. In this paper, data 15 obtained from Ku-band, EUTELSALAT (W4/W7) at a frequency of 12.245 GHz and elevation angle of 036° E over Jos, Nigeria (9.9565° N, 8.8583° E, 1258 m) were analyzed. 16 One minute rain rate obtained from rapid response rain gauge was also analyzed alongside 17 the beacon measurements. Consequently, the aforementioned data were applied to the ITU-R 18 19 procedure in recommendations 618-12 to estimate XPD due to rain on earth satellite path 20 over the study location. The results show positive values of XPD, the threshold of rain rate of 27 mm/h, while the threshold for co-polar attenuation was found to be 5.6 dB. Also, negative 21 22 XPD values of about -120 dB obtained indicated that very high incidences of interference and cross-talks could occur in the region; and this could inhibit frequency re-use in the Guinea 23 Savanna region of Nigeria. The overall results obtained provided useful models and 24 thresholds values for radio communication planning in the region. 25

Investigation of Depolarization and Cross Polarization over Ku-

band Satellite Links in a Guinea Savanna Location, Nigeria

Original Research Article

Keywords: Satellite link, Ku-frequency band, Depolarization, Cross polarization
 discrimination (XPD).

28 1. INTRODUCTION

Signal depolarization inhibits the re-use of the frequency of systems with two orthogonal 29 channels for radio communication. Depolarization of satellite signal are caused by the 30 anisotropy of the propagation medium due to the oblateness of raindrops and the melting 31 layer along the earth space propagation path. It is due to the non-spherical symmetry of the 32 raindrops (the top and bottom are flattened), along with their tendency to have a preferred 33 orientation. Depolarization results in cross-talk between two orthogonal polarized channels, 34 transmitted on the same path and frequency band [1]. Signal depolarization inhibits the re-use 35 36 of the frequency of systems with two orthogonal channels for radio communication. Cross polarization discrimination (XPD) indicates the isolation between the two communication 37 channels with orthogonal polarization (Barclay, 2003) and may be used to measure the effect 38 39 of depolarisation interference. A high value of XPD implies less interference, while low 40 values of XPD signify high occurrences of interference [2]. The attenuating effects of rain on 41 radio wave propagation may be traced to the microphysical characteristics of rain, such as

42 rain intensity [3], velocity [4], size [5, 6], shape [7] and canting angle [8, 9] among others. Thus, the amount of rain depolarisation depends on rain rate, signal frequency, size, shape 43 and the relative orientation of the raindrops [10]. 44

45 1.1 Rain Shape

46 In [2], Garg and Navar demonstrated that the shape of the rain-drop varies from spherical to oblate spheroid as it drops from the sky and increases in size. The Raindrop changes shape as 47 it falls from the sky in the presence of drafts and aerodynamic forces. This change in shape is 48 49 responsible for the depolarisation effect of rain on radio waves as they propagate through the atmosphere. Raindrops less than 1 mm in size are not severely distorted and are therefore 50 modelled as spheres. Oguchi in [11] described the deformation from sphericity with the 51 empirical linear expression: 52

53

55 56

57

58

where, a and b are the semi-minor and semi-major axes of the raindrop, and a_0 is the equivolumic radius in mm. Equation (1) was used by [12] to compute various phase shifts, scattering and attenuation parameters of tropical rainfall for frequencies between 1 and 100

(1)


 $\frac{a}{b} = 1 - \frac{0.41}{45}a_0$

59 GHz in Nigeria.

1.2 60 **Rain Canting Angle**

The canting angle of the raindrop is defined as the angle between the major axis of the drop 61 and the local horizontal, denoted as ξ , in Figure 1. It is important for the determination of 62 depolarisation characteristics of rain. Due to aerodynamic forces around them, non-spherical 63 raindrops wobble, change orientation, and cant away from the vertical and remain on axial 64 65 orientations different from the vertical, as shown in Figure 2(c). The canting angle for each raindrop is different and constantly changing as it drops to the ground, varying from -15° to 66 $+15^{\circ}$ with a mean canting angle of $+7^{\circ}$. The distribution in channels is usually modelled as a 67 deterministic or a stochastic distribution with a mean and standard deviation. 68

69



70 71

72 Figure 1: Canting effect of deformed raindrop [10]: Vector relationship for a depolarizing medium. (a) 73 Co- and cross-polarized waves for linear transmission and (b) Classical model for a canted oblate spherical rain drop.

- 74
- 75

The aerodynamic forces are more severe in convective types of rain and so they experience greater depolarisation effects. For modelling rain depolarisation, a canting angle distribution is obtained and the cross-polarization discrimination (XPD) is computed using the mean value of the canting angle.

80

81 2 DEPOLARIZATION DUE TO RAIN

82 The orientation of the line of electric flux in an electromagnetic field is referred to as wave polarization; while a change in the orientation of the electric field of the satellite signal 83 is termed depolarization. Depolarization is induced by rain and multipath propagation. While 84 multipath induced depolarization is limited to terrestrial links, depolarization on satellite 85 paths is caused by rain and ice. The wave while passing through the anisotropic medium 86 experiences attenuation and phase shift that alters its polarization state. Ajewole (1997) 87 88 computed various phase shifts, scattering and attenuation parameters of tropical rainfall for frequencies between 1 and 100 GHz in Nigeria. 89

90 During rainfall, as rain gets more intense the size shape and orientation of the 91 raindrop varies as shown in Figure 2. Consequently, raindrop size distribution (DSD) plays major role in determining satellite signal depolarization [13]. When a radio wave propagates 92 through a non-spherical hydrometeor, the raindrop changes the polarization of the radio 93 94 wave. Rain depolarisation refers to the deformity experienced by the radio wave signal 95 passing through falling raindrops. Small raindrops are spherical in shape, but as the raindrops grow larger, they become oblate spheroids (flattened underneath by air-resistance opposing 96 97 the downward movement of the drops). Also, the axis of symmetry of symmetry of the drop 98 is vertical, for vertically falling raindrops, but aerodynamic forces cause some canting and 99 tilting of the drops in a randomized manner as shown in figure 2.(a - c).

100







Figure 2: Variation in raindrop size, shape and angle during rain events [6]


103 104

105

2.1 Cross-polarization due to rain

106 Some communication systems use orthogonal polarization to isolate between channels. 107 Heavy rainfall will alter the polarization of the transmitted wave by generating an orthogonal 108 component and introduce a cross-polarized component, which may disrupt system 109 performance. Figure 2(b) and 2(c) show large raindrops that are oblate-spherical in shape, 110 flattened at the bottom, falling with their major axis almost horizontal at various canting





133 Figure 3: Depolarization of signal E₁, through an oblate shaped raindrop [8].

134

As two orthogonal vectors E_1 and E_2 propagate the rain filled medium in figure 4, the cross polarisation of each vector towards the other component occurs. As such, power is transferred from desired polarization state to the undesired orthogonal polarization state, resulting in



140

141

142


143

144



147





En

148 Figure 4: Cross-polarization of radio-waves due to depolarization through a rain region

interference and crosstalk. Depolarisation degradations such as crosstalk and interference are
 most common with horizontal and circular polarization, because of the differential
 attenuation and differential phase shift experienced with non-spherical raindrops along the
 radio path.

Equations describing cross polarization discrimination (XPD) are derived from the power ratio of the wanted (co-polar component, E_{11}) to the unwanted (cross-polarized component, E_{12}). This is defined mathematically as:

156
$$XPD = 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{E_{co}}{E_{cross}} \right| = 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{E_{11}}{E_{12}} \right|$$
(2)

157

With respect to the co-planar attenuation, the cross-polarization discrimination may be expressed in terms of the radio-path dependent parameters U and V:

(3)

(8)

160
$$XPD = U - V \log A$$

where U and V are dependent on frequency, f, elevation angle, θ , and canting angle, ξ as indicated in Figure 3. XPD is evaluated for both horizontal and vertical polarizations.

163
$$XPD_{H} = 20 \log \left[\frac{(1-G)\tan\vartheta}{G+\tan^{2}\vartheta} \right]$$
(4)

164
$$XPD_V = 20 \log \left[\frac{(1+G)\tan\vartheta}{1+G+\tan^2\vartheta} \right]$$

where ϑ is the canting angle and *G* is the differential propagation factor for terrestrial and satellite links are defined as:

167
$$G = \begin{cases} exp[-(\Delta A + j\Delta \varphi)L \times \cos^2 \theta]; & terrestrial \\ exp[-(\Delta A + j\Delta \varphi)L \times \frac{1}{2} \times (e^{-2\sigma'^2} \cos 2\theta)e^{-2\sigma^2}]; & satellite \end{cases}$$
(6)

where θ is the elevation angle of the signal, which is 0° for terrestrial; σ' is the standard deviation of the angles; and σ is the standard deviation of the canting angles, which is 0° for terrestrial and 10° for satellite paths. The symbols ΔA and $\Delta \varphi$ are differential attenuation and phase shift and defined by [12] as:

$$\Delta A = A_H - A_V \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta \varphi = \varphi_H - \varphi_V$$

Radio propagation equipment required for empirical determination of these phase shifts, scattering and attenuation parameters are not readily available. However, [2] derived equations to compute cross polarization discrimination (XPD) from rain attenuation, which was expressed as:

178
$$XPD = U - Vlog_{10}(A)dB$$
(9)

179 where,

180
$$U = 30 \log(f) - 40 \log(\cos\theta) - 10 \log \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos(4T)) e_m^{-k^2}$$
(10)

and θ is the satellite elevation angle, *T* is the local polarization tilt angle, k_m^2 relates to the variance, σ of the canting angle distribution ($k_m^2 = 0.0024 \sigma_m^2$). Equations (2 to 10) are similar to the procedure prescribed by ITU-R [14] for computing rain XPD for circular, vertical and horizontal polarizations of transmitted waves. The ITU-R [14] procedure was therefore used to compute the rain XPD in the region.

186

3. EXPERIMENTAL SITE AND METHODOLOGY

187

The measurement taken at experimental sites are described in [3]. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the experimental site and the parameters for the Ku-band satellite receiver at the location. The experimental set up was used to concurrently measure and record rain-rate, rain attenuation, signal loss, at the location. The rainfall rates were used to formulate models that relate the distribution of rainfall intensities to the impairments caused by rain depolarisation on line of sight (LOS) satellite links in Jos, a Guinea Savanna location in Nigeria.

Table 1: Characteristics of the experimental site and specification of parameter for the Ku-band link

| Measurement site | Gold and Base, Jos, Plateau state (9.9565° N, 8.8583° E; 1258 meters) |
|---|---|
| Climate region of the site | Guinea Savanna |
| Max / Ave / Min Temperatures | 29.8°C / 22.8°C / 07°C |
| Satellite Name/ Number | Eutelsalat; W4/W7 (DSTV Multi-choice) |
| Satellite signal frequency / Polarization | 12.245GHz / Horizontal |
| Symbol rate | 27, 509bps |
| satellite elevation (orbital) | 036E |
| Satellite Geo-station Lookup | 056.5E |
| Antenna diameter | 90cm |
| Rain Equipment / Integration time | Davis Vantage Vue Integrated Sensor Suite (ISS) weather station and Weather Link |

197 **3.1** *Procedure for XPD Calculation[14]*

- Parameters needed to calculate long-term statistics of depolarization from rain attenuationstatistics include:-
- 200 A_p : rain attenuation (dB) exceeded the required percentage of the time, p, for the path 201 in question, commonly called co-polar attenuation (CPA)
- 202 τ : tilt angle of the linearly polarized electric field vector with respect to the horizontal 203 (for horizontal, vertical and circular polarizations use $\tau = 0^{\circ}$, 90° and 45°, 204 respectively)
- 205 f: frequency (GHz)
- 206 θ : path elevation angle (degrees).
- 207

| 208 209 210 | ITU-R in [14] used five basic components to be computed to arrive at the value of XPD due to rain as expressed by equation (11). These include frequency-dependent factor C_{f} , attenuation factor C_{4} , polarization factor C_{τ} , elevation factor C_{θ} and canting angle factor C_{τ} . |
|-------------------|---|
| 211 | Rain XPD not exceeded for $p\%$ of the time is given as: |
| 212 | $XPD_{rain} = C_f - C_A + C_{\tau} + C_{\theta} + C_{\sigma} \qquad \text{dB} \qquad (11)$ |
| 213 | where, the frequency-dependent term is: |
| 214 | $C_f = 26 \log f + 4.1$ for $9 \le f \le 36 \text{ GHz}$ (12) |
| 215 | The rain attenuation dependent term is: |
| 216 | $C_A = V(f) \log A_p \tag{13}$ |
| 217 218 | where, $V(f) = 12.8 f^{0.19}$, for $9 \le f \le 20$ GHz Polarization improvement factor is: |
| 219 | $C_{\tau} = -10 \log \left[1 - 0.484 \left(1 + \cos 4\tau \right) \right] \tag{14}$ |
| 220 | Where, $C_{\tau} = 0$ for circular polarization and reaches a maximum value of 15 dB for horizontal |
| 221 | and vertical polarizations respectively. The elevation angle-dependent term is: |
| 222 | $C_{\theta} = -40 \log (\cos \theta) \qquad \text{for } \theta \le 60^{\circ}$ (15) |
| 223 | The canting angle dependent term is: |
| 224 | $C_{\sigma} = 0.0052 \ \sigma^2 \tag{16}$ |
| 225 226 | Where σ is the effective standard deviation of the raindrop canting angle distribution, expressed in degrees; σ takes the value 0° , 5° , 10° and 15° for 1%, 0.1%, 0.01% and 0.001% |

227 of the time, respectively.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 5 presents the cumulative distribution of one-minute rain rate over Jos (September 2013 – September 2017). It can clearly be seen that the higher rainfall intensities occur between for 0.01 and 0.001% and it is during such times that maximum attenuation due to rainfall can be best estimated. Also Figure 5 indicates that the distribution of rainfall intensities, R_p at a specified percentage of the time, p% could be adequately modelled with a logarithm expression in equation (17) having an agreement factor of 98%:

235
$$R_p = -22.3 \ln(p) - 7.75$$
(17)

On the other hand, modelling rainfall intensities with the power law model produced a loweragreement factor of about 91%.

238






Fig.5. Distribution of rainfall intensities in Jos

Figure 6 shows the variation of the XPD with rain attenuation exceeded for the required period of time, often called the co-polar attenuation over the elevation angle at 12.245 GHz. The cross polarization discrimination degrades with increasing co-polar attenuation. The logarithm model in Figure 6 clearly shows that the signal degradation as a result of XPD is more enhanced by CPA for given fade as seen in the negative slope (or coefficient = -123) of degradation.

247
$$XPD_{rain} = -123 \ln(A_p) + 213$$
 (18)
248 When $XPD_{rain} = 0$, $A_p = e^{1.73} = 5.6 dB$ (19)

Since the relationship between XPD_{rain} and CPA has a perfect correlation of $R^2 = 1$, equation (18) could be used as a perfect model for deriving rain induced XPD at any given level of attenuation in the region.

Equation (19) indicates that XPD_{rain} will be completely degraded to zero, when the co-polar attenuation reaches 5.6 dB in the location. This means that the amount unwanted cross polarized signals equals the wanted signal and the signal is completely overshadowed by interfering signals and crosstalk within the orthogonal frequency band. This scenario creates undesirable degradation in the channel that demand for the development of mitigation techniques.






Fig. 6: Variation of Cross Polarization Discrimination (XPD) with rain attenuation (C_A)

Also, Figure 6 shows negative values of rain-induced XPD for attenuation values above 5.6 dB. This implies that the values of unwanted cross-polarized signals, (interference and crosstalk) are higher than the level of the desired signal. At such instances, only the crosstalk and interferences are received at the receiver station of the satellite link. It is desirable to develop mitigation techniques to arrest such degradation.

Figure 7 shows the variation of XPD with rain rate at 12.245 GHz. As rain rate increases, XPD decreases. This results in very high interference level in the orthogonal channels. A relation was observed between the XPD and rain rate, which showed an almost perfect fit of 99%, as seen in logarithm expression in equation (20):

269
$$XPD_{rain} = -75 \ln(R_p) + 246$$
, $R^2 = 0.99$ (20)

270 When $XPD_{rain} = 0$, $R_p = e^{3.28} = 26.6 \text{ mm/h}$

The implication of equation (21) is that when rainfall intensities of about 27 mm/h, interferences and crosstalk become prevalent at the receiver end of the Ku-link and communication is completely impaired. Mitigation techniques must therefore be implemented to cater to cross-polarization defects and improve throughputs of the link.

(21)

275






Fig. 7. Variation of Cross polarization discrimination (XPD) with rain rate

278 Considering the temporal distribution of cross-polarization over Jos, Figure 8 shows that all percentages of time above 0.1% experience positive cross polarization, while all finer 279 280 percentages of time below 0.1 % experience negative cross-polarization. As explained earlier, a negative value of XPD means that unwanted interference and crosstalk are prevalence in the 281 region. The operations of most satellite links are significant at finer time percentages between 282 283 0.05 and 0.001 for acceptable quality of service, but this is the period when degradation (crosstalk and interference) is most prevalent. Thus, in the Guinea Savanna region of Nigeria, 284 285 it is difficult to optimize the channel capacity of radio links through frequency re-use by 286 deploying dual independent orthogonally polarized channels in the same frequency band.

287






Fig. 8: Temporal distribution of Cross Polarization Discrimination (XPD) over Jos

290

291 5. CONCLUSION

This paper presents some features of propagation phenomena observed with a Ku-292 band signal over Jos – a Guinea Savannah location in Nigeria. These data were applied to the 293 ITU-R procedures in recommendation 618-12 [14] to estimate the cross polarization 294 295 discrimination due to rain on earth satellite path. From the results, simple logarithm equations were derived to relate XPD to rain rates, rain attenuation and percentages of time. Threshold 296 297 of rain rate for positive values of XPD was 37 mm/h, while the threshold for co-polar 298 attenuation was found to be 6.7 dB. These values are useful for radio communication 299 planning in the region. Finally, results obtained show that negative values of XPD value of 300 about -120 dB imply very high incidences and cross talks are prevalent in the region. As such 301 frequency re-use is difficult in Guinea Savanna region of Nigeria. However, the findings and 302 simple logarithmic models in this study provide useful considerations to guide 303 telecommunication operators in infrastructure planning and design. Service providers may 304 use the models to formulate infrastructure and investments policies to optimize the current 305 scenario of frequency re-use.

306

307 **REFERENCES**

- [1] Kaustav, C. and Animesh, M.: Depolarization of Ku-band satellite signal in relation to
 rain attenuation for the tropical region; S.K Mitra Centre for Research in Space
 Environment, Institute of Radio Physics and Electronics, University of Calcutta,
 Kolkata 700009, India (2011).
- 312 [2] Muhammed, Z., Zaffar, H., Shahid, A.K. and Jamal, N: Atmospheric influences on
 313 satellite communications. COSATS, Institute of information technology, Islamabad
 314 Abbott Abad (4), (2011): 261-264.
- 315 [3] Durodola, O.M, Ojo, J. S and Ajewole, M. O. : Performance of Ku-band satellite signals
 316 received during rainy condition in two low-latitude tropical locations in Nigeria;

| 317 | Adamawa State University Journal of Scientific Research, Vol.5 (1) April (2017); |
|-----|---|
| 318 | http://www.adsujsr.com |
| 319 | [4] Oguchi, T.: Scattering properties of oblate raindrops and cross polarization of radio |
| 320 | waves due to rain, calculation at 19.3 and $34.8GH_Z$. Journal Radio Resources |
| 321 | Laboratory Japan. Vol. 20 (102), (1994): 79-118. |
| 322 | [5] Ajewole, M. O., Kolawole, L. B. and Ajayi, G. O., , Cross polarization on line-of-sight |
| 323 | links in a tropical location: effects of the variation in canting angle and raindrop size |
| 324 | distributions, Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on, 47(8), (1999), 1254- |
| 325 | 1259. |
| 326 | [6] Roddy, D: Satellite Communications, 4th Edition, (2006)McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. |
| 327 | New-York |
| 328 | [7] Garg, K., and Nayar, S. K., , Photometric model of a rain drop; Technical Report, |
| 329 | Columbia University (2003). |
| 330 | [8] Rytir, M,: Radiowave propagation at Ka-band (20/30 GHz) for satellite communication |
| 331 | in high-latitude regions; being Masters thesis in Electronics, submitted to the |
| 332 | Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, Norwegian Univ. of Science and |
| 333 | Tech. (NTNU) Trondheim, Norway; 2009. |
| 334 | [9] Animesh, M. and Arpita, A: Ku-band Signal Depolarization over Earth – Space Path in |
| 335 | Relation to Scattering of Raindrops at a Tropical Location. S.K Mitra Centre for |
| 336 | Research in Space Environment, Institute of Radio Physics and Electronics, |
| 337 | University of Calcutta, Kolkata 700009, India (2011). |
| 338 | [10] Appolito L J., (1981): Radio Propagation for Space Communication Systems, In |
| 339 | Proceedings of the IEEE, June, Vol.69 (6). |
| 340 | [11] Oguchi, T.: Attenuation and phase rotation of radio waves due to Rain: Calculation at |
| 341 | 19.3 and 34.8 GHz. <i>Radio Science</i> , 8(1), (1973), 31 – 38. |
| 342 | [12] Ajewole, M. O.: Scattering and attenuation of centimetre and millimetre radio signals |
| 343 | by tropical rainfall. Doctoral dissertation, Federal University of Technology, Akure, |
| 344 | Nigeria (1997), |
| 345 | [13] Senzo, J.M.: Determination of millimetric signal attenuation due to rain using rain rate |
| 346 | and raindrop size distribution models for southern Africa. PhD thesis (Electronics |
| 347 | Engineering) University of Kwa-Zulu-Natal (UKZN), Durban South Africa, (2014). |
| 348 | [14] ITU-R Recommendation P.618-12: Propagation data and prediction methods required |
| 349 | for the design of Earth-space telecommunication; Geneva, (2015). |
| 350 | |