<u>Original Research Article</u> Morphometric studies of pebbles from Ewen area, Calabar Flank, Southeastern Nigeria: implications for paleoenvironmental reconstruction.

6

5

1

2

3

4

7 ABSTRACT

Morphometric parameters of unbroken quartz pebbles recovered from the basal section of Awi Formation exposed around Ewen area, southeastern Nigeria were studied for paleoenvironmental reconstruction. The study involved the determination of the roundness and measurement of the three orthogonal axes (long, short and intermediate) for about 200 pebbles. The pebbles were selected from 20 points across four exposed sections of the Awi Formation around Ewen village. The roundness was determined using the standard roundness chart. The results show that the pebbles are sub-rounded to sub-angular and predominantly compact-bladed. The mean values for the following morphometric parameters: flatness index, elongation ratio, maximum projection sphericity index and oblate-prolate (OP) index are 0.57, 0.78, 0.74 and 15.65 respectively. These values are in agreement with those of modern fluvial pebbles. This result was integrated with the deductions from bivariate plots of roundness against elongation ratio and sphericity against OP index and they all inferred the deposition of the conglomeratic sandstones in a fluvial setting with subordinate transitional setting.

8 9

10

11

Keywords: [Morphometric parameters, bivariate, conglomerates, paleoenvironmental reconstruction, fluvial setting, elongation ratio}

12 1. INTRODUCTION

13

The Awi Formation consists conglomerates, sandstones and mudrocks belonging to the basal section of the sedimentary succession of the Calabar Flank, southeastern Nigeria. The textural characteristics of sediments are an invaluable tool for characterizing their depositional processes and environment of deposition [1-3].

18 Morphometric characteristics of sedimentary grains depend on the initial shape as the particles were 19 liberated from their parent rock and the antecedent properties of the depositing medium. Hence, they 20 yield invaluable information about the energy conditions and the environment of deposition [4-8]. The character (form and roundness) of the pebbles, depends on their physical strength as well as the 21 22 effective distance of travel from their source (parent rock). Pebble morphometry have been utilized 23 with good success in the discrimination between modern (known environments) beach and river 24 gravels [9-14]. This makes the morphometric parameters (size and shape) of the pebbles significant in 25 reconstructing ancient sedimentary environment. Shape indices as paleoenvironmental indicators of 26 quartzite rich rocks have been the subject of considerable discussions among experts [15-20]), and 27 the result have greatly aided interpretations from basin analysis to identification of valuable placer 28 deposits.

Initial studies on the lithostratigraphy of the Awi Formation were carried out by [Reyment²¹ and Adeleye and Fayose²²]. Much studies on the provenance and depositional environment have also been carried out by various workers [23-26] and their studies have centred on sand size distributions as well as geochemistry of the sediments. Heretofore, not much exist in the literature on the detailed lithofacies description and sequence stratigraphy of the Awi Formation except for the few studies by Boboye and Okon²⁷ Itam et al²⁸; Essien et al²⁹. Their studies focussed on the sedimentological characteristics of some road cuts exposed along Calabar - Ikom highway and those of Abbiati area in the far eastern part of the Calabar Flank near Mfamosing Village. This study focuses on the conglomeratic facies of the Awi Formation exposed across 4 locations around Ewen village (Fig. 1), southeastern Nigeria; an area that has previously never been described.





Figure 1: Geological map of Ewen and environs showing the sample locations

41 42

2 2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

43 The Calabar Flank is a NW-SE trending basin in the southeastern Nigeria located in the southern part 44 of the Oban Massif. It is delimited to the west by the Ikpe platform and to the east by the Cameroon 45 Volcanic Line. To the south, the Calabar hinge line separates it from the north-eastern portion of the 46 Niger Delta (Fig. 2). Its origin is closely associated with the breakup and subsequent separation of 47 Africa and South America about 120-130Ma ago [30-31]. Suggestions about the tectonic model that 48 led to the break-up of the Gondwanaland is supported in the literatures as "the mantle - plume 49 concept" [31]. This process was summarized by [Onuoha and Ofoegbu³³] as resulting from crustal 50 stretching and upwelling of mantle materials, rifting and subsidence due to isostatic compensation, 51 injection of mantle materials, formation of oceanic crust and finally, deposition of continental and 52 marine sediments with further subsidence. The basin architecture of the Calabar Flank is 53 characterized by horst and graben structures which are believed to have ultimately controlled 54 sedimentation in the Basin [30,32,34].

55 Sedimentation began in the Calabar Flank with the deposition of fluviatile-deltaic sandstones, 56 mudrocks and grits/conglomerates of the Awi Formation in Neocomian to Albian times. This was 57 succeeded by the first marine incursion into the southern Nigeria during the Mid-Albian times 58 represented by the Mfamosing Limestones deposited in a wide variety of environments including 59 beaches, shallow shelf, tidal creeks, bays and lagoons [35]. Further deepening and influx of the 60 siliciclastic sediments gave rise to the Ekenkpon Shale in the Cenomanian-Turonian times. The New-Netim marls Formation consisting of marls and calcareous shales of Coniacian age [32] is separated 61 from the Late Campanian- Maastrichtian Nkporo Shales by the Santonian deformational episode (Fig. 62 63 3). These structures favoured vertical movements, and subsequent eustatic sea level changes 64 governed the distribution of sedimentary successions in the basin [30.32].



- Fig. 2: Map of southern Nigeria showing the tectonic elements and geographic location of the Calabar
 Flank with respect to the Benue Trough (modified from Nyong and Ramanathan³²)
- 69

70 3. METHODOLOGY

The Awi Formation is exposed along new road cut sections and constitutes a significant nonconformity between the basement rocks of the Oban Massif and the sedimentary succession of the Calabar Flank. Four different locations around Ewen and its environs (Figure 1) were visited, properly logged and described (Fig. 4). At each location 50 unbroken quartz pebbles were collected in 5 batches of 10 each. The analysis was carried out with the mean form of at least 10 pebbles taken from each sampling station. In each case 5 sets per sample location representing 50 pebbles for the four locations visited.

During the process, imbrications were analysed and their back azimuth were used here to 78 approximate the paleocurrent direction. While sampling, freshly broken pebbles and those with 79 lithologic in-homogeneities were discarded. The selected pebbles were washed and numbered 80 appropriately according to their group identity. They were then subjected to axial measurement of the 81 long, short and intermediate axes using the Vernier calliper and their values tabulated. The record 82 83 was used to determine the various morphometric parameters including: maximum projection 84 sphericity index (MPSI), elongation ratio (ER), flatness index (FI) and oblate-prolate index (OPI). The form of the pebbles was also determined using the ternary method of Sneed and Folk³⁷]. Roundness of the pebbles were estimated using the Power²¹ roundness chart and its accuracy was ensured with 85 86 direct measurement of the roundness of randomly selected pebbles using the well-established roundness equation as outlined in Wadell³⁹, Krumbein⁴⁰, and Cheel⁴¹. Table 1 outlines the formulae 87 88 89 used for the calculation of the morphometric indices.

Table 1: Formulae used in computation of pebble morphometric parameters

S/No	Formula	Reference
1	Maximum Projection Sphericity Index (MPSI) = {S²/LI}	Sneed and Folk, 1958
2	Elongation Ratio, (ER) = I/L	Lutig, 1962; Sames, 1966
3	Flatness Ratio FR = S/L	Lutig, 1962
4	Flatness Index = (L – I + S) / L	Illenberger 1992
5	Oblate – Prolate Index, OFI =	Dobkins and Folk 1970
6	Roundness = $[(\sum r)/nR]$	Wadell 1932



94 Figure 3: Stratigraphic chart for the Calabar Flank (Okon et al⁴²)

95 96 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

97

98 The result for the mean of the 20 batches of pebble morphometric parameters is presented in Table 2. The pebbles are notably massive and crudely bedded held together by sandy matrix (matrix 99 supported), the clast diameter range from 2.63 - 3.40 cm (Fig. 5a), the sorting is poor and pebble 100 101 grains are weakly imbricated. In some studied sections, the effect of post depositional tectonics was observed with brecciated ferruginized layer admixed with sub-rounded pebbles (Fig. 5b). These 102 features suggest lad deposits and conform to Miall⁴³ facies classification "Gm". Regarding the clast 103 sphericity, roundness and "Oblate - Prolate" Indexes, the parametric values of an average of 10 104 pebbles [13] was used in the analysis. The formula proposed by Sneed and Folk³⁷ was adopted 105 106 because it was established comparing the volume of the particle with its maximum projection area 107 which naturally opposes the direction of motion.

108 This according to them is more behaviouristic of the equidimensionality of the pebbles with its 109 experimental error of ±0.021 sphericity units.

Table 2: Result for the mean values of 20 batches of pebble morphometric parameters for Awi

Formation

т	S	T	ял	Elongation (I/L)	L-I	L-S	LI	S2	IdO	SdW	FI	Roundness	Form Name
2.80	1.63	2.31	0.58	0.83	0.49	1.17	6.47	2.66	0.74	0.74	76.04	0.38	CB
2.67	1.44	2.26	0.54	0.85	0.41	1.23	6.03	2.06		0.70	69.22		CB
3.04	1.82	2.30	0.60	0.76	0.74	1.22	6.97	3.29		0.78	84.39		CB
3.17	1.71	2.47	0.54	0.78	0.70	1.46	7.83	2.92		0.72	75.74		CB
2.63	1.39	2.02	0.53	0.77	0.61	1.24	5.29	1.93		0.71	76.28		CB
2.82	1.60	2.12	0.57	0.75	0.70	1.23	5.98	2.54		0.75	81.54		CB
2.68	1.69	2.04	0.63	0.76	0.64	0.99	5.46	2.86		0.81	87.77		CB
3.40	1.63	2.43	0.48	0.71	0.97	1.77	8.23	2.64		0.68	75.99		CB
2.68	1.58	2.26	0.59	0.84	0.43	1.11	6.04	2.48		0.74	77.55		CB
2.63	1.66	2.04	0.63	0.78	0.59	0.97	5.34	2.76		0.80	85.88		CB
2.69	1.57	2.00	0.58	0.74	0.69	1.12	5.38	2.46		0.77	82.54		CB
2.97	1.52	2.06	0.51	0.69	0.92	1.46	6.10	2.30		0.72	80.89		CB
2.75	1.45	2.23	0.53	0.81	0.52	1.31	6.13	2.09		0.70	71.91		CB
2.76	1.63	2.19	0.59	0.79	0.57	1.13	6.02	2.66		0.76	80.43		CB
2.97	1.53	2.32	0.52	0.78	0.65	1.44	6.89	2.34		0.70	72.98		CB
2.38	1.31	1.85	0.55	0.78	0.53	1.07	4.40	1.72		0.73	76.95		CB
2.49	1.45	1.97	0.58	0.79	0.52	1.04	4.91	2.10		0.75	79.66		CB
2.49	1.47	1.88	0.59	0.76	0.61	1.02	4.68	2.16		0.77	83.62		CB
2.55	1.56	2.05	0.61	0.80	0.50	0.99	5.23	2.43	0.64	0.78	82.88		CB
2.55	1.48	1.90	0.58	0.75	0.65	1.07	4.85	2.19		0.77	84.30		CB
2.75	1.55	2.13	0.57	0.78	0.62	1.20	5.91	2.43	-0.74	0.74	76.04	0.42	-
	2.67 3.04 3.17 3.63 3.82 2.68 3.40 3.68 3.40 3.68 3.63 3.69 3.97 3.75 3.76 3.97 3.38 3.49 3.49 3.49 3.55 5.55	80 1.63 67 1.44 04 1.82 17 1.71 63 1.39 82 1.60 63 1.63 63 1.63 63 1.63 64 1.63 65 1.66 69 1.57 97 1.52 75 1.45 76 1.63 97 1.52 76 1.63 97 1.52 76 1.63 97 1.53 38 1.31 49 1.45 49 1.47 55 1.56 55 1.48	.80 1.63 2.31 2.67 1.44 2.26 3.04 1.82 2.30 3.17 1.71 2.47 2.63 1.39 2.02 2.63 1.69 2.04 3.63 1.69 2.04 3.64 1.69 2.04 3.63 1.69 2.04 3.40 1.63 2.43 3.68 1.58 2.26 3.63 1.66 2.04 3.69 1.57 2.00 3.97 1.52 2.06 3.75 1.45 2.23 3.76 1.63 2.19 3.97 1.53 2.32 3.38 1.31 1.85 3.49 1.45 1.97 3.49 1.47 1.88 3.55 1.56 2.05	2.80 1.63 2.31 0.58 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 3.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 2.68 1.58 2.26 0.59 2.63 1.66 2.04 0.63 2.69 1.57 2.00 0.58 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51 2.76 1.63 2.19 0.59 2.97 1.53 2.32 0.52 2.38 1.31 1.85 0.55 2.49 1.47 1.88 0.59 2.55 1.56 2.05 0.61 2.55 1.48 1.90 0.58	L S I S/L $P/2$ $.80$ 1.63 2.31 0.58 0.83 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 3.067 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 0.76 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 0.78 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 0.77 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 0.75 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 2.43 0.48 0.71 0.68 0.75 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 2.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 0.71 2.68 1.57 2.00 0.58 0.74 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51 0.69 2.75 1.45 2.23 0.53 <	L S I S/L $I = C$ I 2.80 1.63 2.31 0.58 0.83 0.49 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 0.41 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 0.76 0.74 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 0.78 0.70 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 0.77 0.61 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 0.75 0.70 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 0.64 2.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 0.71 0.97 2.68 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 2.63 1.66 2.04 0.63 0.78 0.59 2.69 1.57 2.00 0.58 0.74 0.69 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51	LsIs/L -2 -2 -2 2.80 1.63 2.31 0.58 0.83 0.49 1.17 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 0.41 1.23 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 0.76 0.74 1.22 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 0.78 0.70 1.46 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 0.77 0.61 1.24 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 0.75 0.70 1.23 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 0.64 0.99 3.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 0.71 0.97 1.77 2.68 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 1.11 2.63 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 1.11 2.63 1.57 2.00 0.58 0.74 0.69 1.12 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51 0.69 0.92 1.46 2.75 1.45 2.23 0.53 0.81 0.52 1.31 2.76 1.63 2.19 0.59 0.79 0.57 1.13 2.97 1.53 2.32 0.55 0.78 0.53 1.07 2.49 1.45 1.97 0.58 0.79 0.52 1.04 2.49 1.47 1.88 0.59 0.76 0.61 1.02	LSI S/L I C III 2.80 1.63 2.31 0.58 0.83 0.49 1.17 6.47 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 0.41 1.23 6.03 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 0.76 0.74 1.22 6.97 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 0.78 0.70 1.46 7.83 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 0.77 0.61 1.24 5.29 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 0.75 0.70 1.23 5.98 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 0.64 0.99 5.46 2.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 0.71 0.97 1.77 8.23 2.68 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 1.11 6.04 2.63 1.57 2.00 0.58 0.74 0.69 1.12 5.38 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51 0.69 0.92 1.46 6.10 2.75 1.45 2.23 0.53 0.81 0.52 1.31 6.13 2.76 1.63 2.19 0.59 0.79 0.57 1.13 6.02 2.97 1.53 2.32 0.55 0.78 0.53 1.07 4.40 2.49 1.45 1.97 0.58 0.79 0.52 1.04 4.91 </th <th>LsIS/LICIIIII$2.80$$1.63$$2.31$$0.58$$0.83$$0.49$$1.17$$6.47$$2.66$$2.67$$1.44$$2.26$$0.54$$0.85$$0.41$$1.23$$6.03$$2.06$$3.04$$1.82$$2.30$$0.60$$0.76$$0.74$$1.22$$6.97$$3.29$$3.17$$1.71$$2.47$$0.54$$0.78$$0.70$$1.46$$7.83$$2.92$$2.63$$1.39$$2.02$$0.53$$0.77$$0.61$$1.24$$5.29$$1.93$$2.82$$1.60$$2.12$$0.57$$0.75$$0.70$$1.23$$5.98$$2.54$$2.68$$1.69$$2.04$$0.63$$0.76$$0.64$$0.99$$5.46$$2.86$$2.40$$1.63$$2.43$$0.48$$0.71$$0.97$$1.77$$8.23$$2.64$$2.68$$1.58$$2.26$$0.59$$0.84$$0.43$$1.11$$6.04$$2.48$$2.63$$1.58$$2.26$$0.59$$0.84$$0.43$$1.11$$6.04$$2.48$$2.64$$1.63$$2.04$$0.63$$0.78$$0.59$$0.97$$5.34$$2.76$$2.69$$1.57$$2.00$$0.58$$0.74$$0.69$$1.12$$5.38$$2.46$$2.97$$1.52$$2.06$$0.51$$0.69$$0.92$$1.46$$6.10$$2.30$$2.75$$1.45$$2$</th> <th>LsIs/LIII<</th> <th>LsIs/LIII<</th> <th>Ls1$s_{AL}$$l$</th> <th>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</th>	LsI S/L I C IIIII 2.80 1.63 2.31 0.58 0.83 0.49 1.17 6.47 2.66 2.67 1.44 2.26 0.54 0.85 0.41 1.23 6.03 2.06 3.04 1.82 2.30 0.60 0.76 0.74 1.22 6.97 3.29 3.17 1.71 2.47 0.54 0.78 0.70 1.46 7.83 2.92 2.63 1.39 2.02 0.53 0.77 0.61 1.24 5.29 1.93 2.82 1.60 2.12 0.57 0.75 0.70 1.23 5.98 2.54 2.68 1.69 2.04 0.63 0.76 0.64 0.99 5.46 2.86 2.40 1.63 2.43 0.48 0.71 0.97 1.77 8.23 2.64 2.68 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 1.11 6.04 2.48 2.63 1.58 2.26 0.59 0.84 0.43 1.11 6.04 2.48 2.64 1.63 2.04 0.63 0.78 0.59 0.97 5.34 2.76 2.69 1.57 2.00 0.58 0.74 0.69 1.12 5.38 2.46 2.97 1.52 2.06 0.51 0.69 0.92 1.46 6.10 2.30 2.75 1.45 2	LsIs/LIII<	LsIs/LIII<	Ls1 s_{AL} l	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$





Figure 5: (a) Photograph of matrix-supported conglomerate showing clast imbrication, red arrow showing the prevailing current direction. (b). Admixture of brecciated rock units with sub-rounded pebbles.

124 The form is used to examine the three-dimensional characteristics of the particle as is reflected by the 125 various parameters that shaped it during transportation to the point of deposition. According to [37] 126 their end points are responsible for limiting the system of dimensional variation of the parameters; 127 whether they are prolate-spheroid (one long axis, two short axes), oblate-spheroid (two long axes, 128 one short one) or sphere (all axes equal). The sphericity - form diagram (Fig. 6) of [Sneed and 129 Folk³, was used to determine the form for the pebbles. The result show that the pebbles are 130 predominantly compact - bladed and range from sub-angular to sub-rounded with high sphericity. 131 This points to the fact that there is little variation in the shape of the grains across the stratigraphic 132 sections sampled and thus possibly similar depositional process was responsible to shappen the 133 clasts. Fluvial transported clasts tend to be compact - compact bladded than beach clasts. Dobkins 134 and Folk¹³ noted this in their study of the Tahiti beach sediments, where they pointed out that the 135 back and forth motion of wave action and the wave swash was responsible for flattening the pebbles. 136 The maximum projection sphericity index (MPSI) together with disc-like and rod-like geometrical 137 pebbles was the approach used to determine the degree to which the pebbles approach the shape of 138 a sphere. In this study, the sphericity ranges from 0.68 to 0.81, with a mean value of 0.74. High 139 values of sphericity indicate that the degree to which the grains intercept (hydraulic behaviour of the 140 sediments) each other during transportation in the fluid was high.

141

The formula proposed by Sneed and Folk³⁷ was adopted for sphericity determination because it was initially established for comparing the volume of particles with their maximum projection area which naturally opposes their directions of motion. Since projection sphericity is an indicator of the hydraulic behaviour of the particle during transport, it approximates based on the geometry of the particles in a medium with characteristics volume and /or density peculiarities of the settling rate of the particles.

147

148 The plot of MPSI versus OPI and that of FI versus MPSI (Fig. 7, 8) have been used also to distinguish 149 beaches from river processes [13]. Sames²⁵ also pointed out the rare significance and suitability of 150 quartz pebbles (compared with cherts and other rock types) having high resistance to wear for 151 morphometric research amongst all sedimentary rocks. His studies successfully pointed out that most 152 fluvial pebbles tend to be more elongated than their littoral counterparts. In this study elongation ration 153 range from 0.69 - 0.85 with an average of 0.78. Although this is an indication of fluvial process of 154 transportation, the environmental determination plot (Fig. 9) of Sames²⁵ was further utilized to confirm 155 it. Oblate - Prolate index, defined as the measure of the closeness of the intermediate (I) axis to the 156 long (L) axis was computed and values for OPI in this study range from -1.95 to 2.21 with an average 157 of 0.14 (Table 1). OPI presents a useful parameter that distinguishes the various forms/shapes of 158 pebbles [13,19].



161 Figure 6: Spehericity – Form diagram for particle shapes after [37].

162 Each point represents the mean of 10 pebbles that form a batch. (the letters in upper case defined by

163 the bold lines are used to represent the 10 classes: C=Compact; CP=Compact-Platy; CB=Compact-

164 Bladed; CE=Compact-Elongate; P=Platy; B=Bladed; E=Elongate; VP=Very Platy; VB=Very Bladed;

- 165 VE=Very Elongate).
- 166



Figure 7: A plot of MPS versus OPI (fields after Dobkins and Folk, [13])

169 170



 Maximum Projection Sphericity Index (MPSI)

 172
 Fig. 8: Plot of Flatness Index (FI) against Maximum Projection Sphericity Index (MPSI) (Fields after



[14])

174



175

176 Figure 9: Environmental determination chart showing distinction between strongly fluvial processes

177 and littoral process (modified after Sames 25).

- 178
- 179

180 The outlined morphometric parameters that arise from the effect of transportation dynamics contribute 181 largely to the final shape of the pebble and since these operate in different depositional settings, their 182 signature as visualized from the shape of the grain can aid in paleoenvironmental diagnosis. Among 183 these include the initial inherited morphology which depends on the rock type, whether the rock 184 cleaves or fracture when subjected to applied stress and the climatic setting of the source area.

185

186 Also, the intensity of the energy of the depositing agent during transport may result in abrasion and 187 fracturing of the grains as they collide with one another or as they are dragged on the bed during 188 tractive motion. Fluvial transport has been noted to have little effect on the shape and/or sphericity of 189 grain when compared with the effects of beach process leaving the grains more or less equant in 190 terms of their form (sphericity < 0.65, [44]). The distance to which a grain travels also impacts on its 191 degree of roundness. It has been noted that the most rapid change in grain morphology occurs within 192 the first 10km [45], but the medium through which the grain is transported and the mode of transportation is critical in shaping the grains. Ward⁴⁶ noted that shape modifying processes in surf 193 194 zone (beach environment) tend to be complex but statistically regular to the production of more 195 flattened ovoid forms. The pebbles studied showed some crude imbrications and the direction of 196 imbrication (Fig. 4, Fig. 5a) presents a useful insight to the unidirectional nature of the depositing 197 agent, since clast imbrication originates when discoid gravel clasts become oriented in strong flows 198 until they become stable with one of its longer axes dipping upstream. The back-azimuth gives the 199 direction of flow of the depositing agent.

200 201

202

5. CONCLUSION

Pebble morphometric analysis has aided the determination paleoenvironment during the deposition of 203 204 Awi Formation. The depositional processes (abrasion conditions) responsible for shaping the pebbles 205 and the environment that prevailed during past geological times was characterized from the study of 206 the clast morphology. Fluviatile process with some overlapping littoral influence has been shown to be 207 responsible for the variation in clast morphology of the paraconglomerates (matrix-supported) of Awi 208 Formation. Calibrating this with the fining upwards successions of the section studied and the 209 unidirectional nature of the crudely imbricate pebbles further suggests a typical fluvial setting. It is 210 possible that the jointing, faulting, sheeting and/or exfoliation of the rocks of the Oban Massif, which is 211 believed to be the principal source of the sediments, also accounts for the abundance of vein quartz 212 in the area which was eventually adapted for this study. Within sedimentary settings as this one with 213 paraconglomerates associated with high energy flux during deposition and other typical channel lag 214 deposits are locations of good economic deposits (placer deposits) and in some cases hydrocarbon 215 accumulation. Therefore, besides the significance for pebble morphometry in deciphering 216 paleoenvironments, it also gives clues for potential sites of ore bodies and/or characteristics of some 217 targets for hydrocarbon pools. There are obviously several methods for paleoenvironmental 218 reconstruction using sediments, grain morphology is one. However, care must be taken when 219 reconstructing paleoenvironment because the shape of grains is a result of so many other factors and 220 for effective utilization, a careful study and integration of all other parameters is advised.

221 222 223

REFERENCES

- Mason, CC. and Folk, RL. Differentiation between beach, dune and aeolian flat environments by size analysis. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology. 1958; 28: 211 – 226.
- Okon EE. and Essien NU. Depositional processes and provenance determination using grainsize analysis and heavy mineral assemblages of surficial sediment and stream sediment samples from lwere-lle and its environs. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. 2015; 6(11): 1238-1246.
- Essien, NU., Ilori, AO., Okon EE. and Njoku, SN. Textural Characteristics and Depositional Processes of Sediments from a 47 km Transect in the Niger Delta, Southern Nigeria. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International. 2016; 7(1): 1-11.
- Waddell, H. Shape discrimination of large sedimentary rock fragments. Pan American Geology.
 1934; 61: 187 220

235 236	5.	Nwajide, CS. and Hoque, M. Pebble Morphometry as an aid in environmental diagnosis: an example from the Middle Benue Trough, Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology, 1982;19 (1): 114
237	~	- 120.
238	6.	Odumodu, PN. and Odumodu, CF. Pebble Morphology of the Conglomeritic Arkosic Sandstone
239		Unit of the Ogoja Sandstone, Ogoja, South Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Basic Physical Research.
240	-	2012; 3: 40-50.
241	7.	Odumodu, CF. Pebble form indices as signatures of the depositional environments of the Benin
242		Formation along Atamiri river, Uli southeast Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific and
243	_	Technological Research. 2014; 3(1): 23 – 32.
244	8.	Odumodu, CF and Ephraim, BE. Pebble morphology as as indicator of the depositional
245		environment of the Ajali Sandstone. Natural and Applied Science Journal. 2007;8(2): 132 – 143.
246	<mark>9</mark> .	Cailleux, A. Distinction des galets marins et fluviatiles. Bulletin Societe geologue du France.,1945;
247		13, 125-138.
248	<mark>10.</mark>	Blatt, H. Effect of size and genetic quartz type on sphericity and form of beach sediments, northern
249		New Jersey. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 1959; 29, 197-206.
250	<mark>11</mark> .	Luttig, G. The shapes of pebbles in continental, fluviatile and marine facies. International
251		Association of Geology and Geophysics. International Association of Hydrogeologists. 1962; 59,
252		<u>253-258.</u>
253	12.	Sames, CW. Morphometric data of some recent pebble associations and their application to
254		ancient deposits. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology. 1966; 36: 126 – 142
255	13.	Dobkins, JE and Folk, RL. Shape development of Tahiti- Nui: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology,
256		1970;40: 1167 – 1203.
257	<mark>14</mark> .	Stratten, T. Notes on the application of shape parameters to differentiate between beach and river
258		deposits in southern Africa. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa. 1974; 77, 59-
259		64
260	15.	Els, BG. Pebble Morphology of an ancient conglomerate: The Middelvlei Gold Placer,
261		Witwatersrand, South Africa. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology. 1988;58 (5): 894 – 901.
262		Lorang, MS and Komar, PD. Pebble shape. Nature.1990: 347: 433 – 434.
263	<mark>17</mark> .	Illenberger, WK. Pebble shape (and size!). Journal of Sedimentary Petrology. 1991;61(5): 756 -
264	4.0	767.
265	<mark>18.</mark>	Howard, JL. An evaluation of shape indices as paleoenvironmental indicators using quartzites and
266		metavolcanics clasts in Upper Cretaceous to Paleogene beach, river and submarine fan
267	40	conglomerates. Sedimentology. 1992; 39:471 – 486.
268	<u>19.</u>	Illenberger, WK and Reddering, JSV. An evaluation of shape indices as paleoenvironmental
269		indicators using quartzites and metavolcanics clasts in Upper Cretaceous to Paleogene beach,
270	20	river and submarine fan conglomerates (Discussion). Sedimentology. 1993; 40:1019 – 1020.
271	<mark>20.</mark>	Howard, JL. An evaluation of shape indices as paleoenvironmental indicators using quartzites and
272		metavolcanics clasts in Upper Cretaceous to Paleogene beach, river and submarine fan
273 274	04	conglomerates (Reply). Sedimentology. 1993; 40:1020 – 1021.
274		Reyment, RA. Aspects of the Geology of Nigeria, University of Ibadan Press, Ibadan. 1965
275 276	22.	Adeleye, DR and Fayose, EA. Stratigraphy of the type section of Awi Formation, Odukpani area
270	22	south-eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology. 1978; 15: 33 – 37. Nton, ME. Sedimentology and depositional environment of Awi Formation, Calabar Flank,
	23.	
	<u> </u>	Southeastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology. 1999; 33 (1): 23 - 36.
278	·) /	Eccion NIL Uknabia EL Nyang EE and Iba KA Braliminany argania googhamical appraisal of
279	24.	Essien, NU. Ukpabio EJ, Nyong, EE and Ibe KA. Preliminary organic geochemical appraisal of
279 280	24.	Cretaceous rock units in the Calabar Flank, south-eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and
279 280 281		Cretaceous rock units in the Calabar Flank, south-eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology. 2005; 41 (2): 185 - 191.
279 280 281 282		Cretaceous rock units in the Calabar Flank, south-eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology. 2005; 41 (2): 185 - 191. Okon EE. Sedimentology and Geochemical characterization of the Cretaceous sediments of the
279 280 281	25.	Cretaceous rock units in the Calabar Flank, south-eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology. 2005; 41 (2): 185 - 191.

285 Flank, SE Nigeria. Journal of Mining and Geology. 2015; 51(2): 121 – 138

- 27. Boboye, OA and Okon EE. Sedimentological and geochemical characterization of the Cretaceous
 strata of the Calabar Flank, southeastern Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Sciences 2014; 99; 427
 288 441.
- 289 28. Itam, A.E and Inyang D.O. Granulometry and Pebble Morphometry of Awi Sandstone, Calabar
 290 Flank Nigeria. International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences.2015; 6(4), 15-27
- 29. Essien, NU, Itam, AE; Oyama, AA and Obim, VN. Pebble morphometric analysis of the basal
 section of the Awi Formation, Calabar Flank, Southeast Nigeria. International Journal of recent
 trends in engineering and research. 2016; 208 218
- 30. Murat, RC. Stratigraphy and paleogeography of the Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary in southern
 Nigeria. In: Dessauvagie, T. F. J. and Whiteman, A. J. (Editors). African Geology. University of
 Ibadan Press, Ibadan Nigeria; 1972.
- 297 31. Olade, MA. Evolution of Nigeria's Benue Trough (aulacogen): a tectonic model: Geological
 298 Magazine, 1975; 112: 115 583
- 32. Nyong, EE and Ramanathan, RM. A record of oxygen deficient paleoenvironments in the
 Cretaceous of the Calabar Flank, southeast Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Science. 1985; 3(4):
 455 460.
- 302 33. Onuoha, KM and Ofoegbu, CO. Subsidence and thermal history of the Dahomey embayment:
 implications for petroleum exploration. Nigerian Association of Petroleum Explorationists Bulletin.
 304 1988; 3: 131 142.
- 34. Nyong, EE. Cretaceous sediments in the Calabar Flank. In: Ekwueme, B. N., Nyong, E. E. and
 Petters, S. W. (Editors). Geological Excursion Guidebook to Oban Massif, Calabar Flank and
 Mamfe Embayment, southeast Nigeria. Published in commemoration of the 31st Annual
 Conference of the Nigerian Mining and Geosciences Society, 1995
- 309 35. Essien, NU. Mfamosing Limestone: A Mid Albian Carbonate Platform in south-eastern Nigeria.
 310 University of Calabar. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. 1995
- 36. Zaborski, PM. A review of the Cretaceous system in Nigeria. African Geoscience Reviews. 1998;
 5(4): 385 483.
- 313 37. Sneed, ED. and Folk, RL. Pebbles in the lower Colorado river, Texas. A study in particle
 314 morphogenesis. Journal of Geology. 1958; 66: 114 150.
- 315 38. Powers, M. A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology.
 316 1953; 23: 117 119.
- 317 39. Wadel, H. Volume, shape, roundness of rock particles: Journal of Geology. 1932; 40: 443 451.
- 40. Krumbein, WC. Measurement and geological significance of shape and roundness of sedimentary
 particles. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology. 1941;11(2): 64 72.
- 41. Cheel, RJ. Introduction to Clastic Sedimentology. Lecture Notes on Clastic Sedimentology.139.
- 42. Okon, EE, Essien, NU and Adeyemi, GO. Geochemistry of sandstones of Awi Formation,
 southeastern Nigeria: Implications for weathering, provenance and tectonics settings. International
 Journal of Science and Technology. 2017; 6(4): 742 755.
- 43. Miall, AD. Lithofacies types and vertical profile models in braided river deposits: a summary. In:
 A.D. Miall (Editor), Fluvial Sedimentology. Canadian Society Petroleum Geologists Memoir,
 1978;5: 597 604.
- 327 44. Folk, RL. Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks: Hemphill Publishing Co., Austin, TX. 1980.
- 328 45. Lindholm, RC. A practical approach to sedimentology. Allen and Unwin, Inc. 1987.
- 329 46. Ward, QR. The form of pebbles. Nature. 1990. 345: 211.
- 330