



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_43109
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTIFICATION OF TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATE (TSP) AND ITS ELEMENTAL CONTENT IN DIFFERENT MICRO ENVIRONMENTS OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA IN LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) need to understand the concept of "significant figures" and correctly apply it throughout their manuscript. For example, the range "111.11-1944.45" cannot be justified within the precision of measurements. It should be 111-1940 or 110-1900 depending on the precision of measurements. The terms "rotated space" "rotated factor loading" should be described so that a reader unfamiliar with those terms will understand their usage.	
Minor REVISION comments	Make sure that abbreviations are identified at their first occurrence in the text, not considering occurrence in the abstract. There are a few places where a comma is used but not needed, for example, line 41.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Marvin Herndon
Department, University & Country	USA