SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_40648
Title of the Manuscript:	An Experimental Study to Examine the Curved Spacetime Using Magnetic Fields
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Dear Author,	
You answers: "In my preliminary pilot experiments, I have attempted	
the same experiment with different types of magnets with various	
sensor positions." or "The selection of permanent magnet and	
positioning of sensor in this study is based on the preliminary pilot	
experiments" indicate that You are not interesting in science or	
exploration of nature and I doubt, if this data exist at the moment. You	
have one other goals. Ok, that's You right, but a scientific article	
should be written so that reader would believe in new knowledge which	
was obtained in Your investigation and described in Your scientific	
article. In opposite, You don't made any changes, which could approve	
You hypothesis. There aren't in You investigations any data of	
measured gravity field, because space-time curvature and gravity field	
in GR are the same. So, my previous suggestions was remove all about	
GR, if You want publish data. You hadn't done so. Furthermore, You	
don't made any additional experiments in other geographical places,	
because neither geographic coordinates nor measured values of You	
device there are in the text. Finally, You do not repeated You	
experiments without permanent magnets to try explore earth magnetic	
field without perturbations or influence of Your added permanent	
magnet. You tried to visualise magnetic field in curved space-time	
(Fig-3), but still it is unclear which one projection is shown x, y, z or x,	
y, t. The same should be seed about Fig-4. It is not clear why it is need in article or which one explanation in toyt this picture visualize. If You	
in article or which one explanation in text this picture visualise. If You want to gite something. You should use reference of criginal author no	
want to cite something, You should use reference of original author nor Wikipedia links. Your shortest should dealers results but not Your good	
Wikipedia links. Your abstract should declare results but not Your good wishes. So, my decision is that You article in that form and with that	
contens isn't prepared for publication in scientific journal.	
contens isn't prepared for publication in scientific journal.	

Name:	Algirdas Maknickas
Department, University & Country	Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)