



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_40600
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Viscosity Measuring Device using Vibrational Technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reference numbers are in wrong order. It should be corrected.</p> <p>There is no actual picture of the system.</p> <p>Reference styles are not uniform sometimes [numbers in bracket], sometimes (names, year). It should be corrected.</p> <p>Introduction is too long.</p> <p>Formula's number 1.0 and 1.1 can not be read</p> <p>Vibrational viscometers have been using for decades. Where is the novelty in this research? It can not be understood. Is this homemade? Is this cheap?</p> <p>It is believed that the author has very limited information about the vibro viscometers and it's already wide applications</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>More academic style of writing is necessary.</p> <p>The schematic illustrations are weak</p> <p>Several pictures and drawings of the designed system is a must.</p> <p>Better and wider references are necessary.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Akpek
Department, University & Country	Bioengineering Department, Gebze Technical University, Turkey