



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_39940
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Depolarization and Cross Polarization over Ku-band Satellite Links in a Guinea Savanna Location, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper is fairly written and organized. It reports experimental results over a very long time interval. Although theory is well established and no advancements are reported in the paper in this field, the experiment is well described and conducted, and results interesting.	
Minor REVISION comments	In (2) simply write E_11 and E_12 (as in (3)) and explain in the text what these quantities are. Text in formulas (as in (2)) make reading awkward. Why are you using the empty set symbol (\emptyset) in place of the greek letter phi (ϕ) (eq. (7) onwards) Fig. 5 have x-axis label overlapping with x-axis values, and is in general poorly typesetted Keywords reports "Guinea Savanna Region" which I do not believe it is a standard keyword and do not report "Satellite radio link"	
Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefano Selleri
Department, University & Country	University of Florence, Italy