SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Physical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_PSIJ_43533**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Formal reduction of the electrodynamics of superconductors to those for conductors with the incorporation of spatial dispersion**
Type of the Article	**Original research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Physical Science International Journal** Manuscript Number: Ms_PSIJ_43533 Title: Formal reduction of the electrodynamics of superconductors to those for conductors with the incorporation of spatial dispersion Reply: The author's claim that they derive general frequency dependencies of the surface impedance modulus for conductors without the dc dissipation, i. e. for superconductors or perfect conductors. The frequency dependent surface impedance was applied for the solutions corresponding to the spatially dispersive eigenvalues of the permittivity operator for conductors. They claim that appropriately taken into account effects of the spatial dispersion can give the general frequency dependence of the surface impedance for the obtained solutions including that for superconductor. It is shown that an incorporation of the spatial dispersion leads to an appearance of the Meissner effect in perfect conductors in the same manner as in superconductors. The article is a very good work, well written and explains with clarity the main purpose of the investigation. Moreover, the results presented are good enough as to provide relevant information that deserves to be accepted for publication at PSIJ.	OK.
Minor REVISION comments		

Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	