



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_43109
Title of the Manuscript:	QUANTIFICATION OF TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATE (TSP) AND ITS ELEMENTAL CONTENT IN DIFFERENT MICRO ENVIRONMENTS OF A RESIDENTIAL AREA IN LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) need to understand the concept of "significant figures" and correctly apply it throughout their manuscript. For example, the range "111.11-1944.45" cannot be justified within the precision of measurements. It should be 111-1940 or 110-1900 depending on the precision of measurements.</p> <p>The terms "rotated space" "rotated factor loading" should be described so that a reader unfamiliar with those terms will understand their usage.</p>	<p>Correction noted. The range is actually 1111.11-1944.45</p> <p>The terms have been described as briefly as possible</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Make sure that abbreviations are identified at their first occurrence in the text, not considering occurrence in the abstract. There are a few places where a comma is used but not needed, for example, line 41.</p>	<p>Corrections noted</p>
Optional/General comments		