



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_41391
Title of the Manuscript:	(Toy-model) A simple “digital” vacuum composed of space voxels with quantized energetic states
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, the following points should be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - References should be made according to the journal template; - Page 9: not create a cartel from the same authors and shorten the references (Seshavatharam and Lakshminarayana S. , 2010, 2012, 2015 [9,10,11]; Perng, 1978 [12]; Fisenko et al., 2006, 2008, 2010 [13,14,15]; Recami et al., 1994, 1995, 1997-2001, 2005 [16,17,18]; Fedosin, 1999, 2009, 2012, 2014 [19,20,21]; Tennakone, 1974 [22]; Stone, 2010 [23]; Oldershaw, 2007, 2010 [24,25]; Mongan, 2007-2011[26]; Sivaram and Sinha, 1977 [27]; Dufour, 2007 [28]) to a maximum of 2-3; And in page 2 : [Fürth, 1929; Eddington, 1937; Dirac, 1937; Teller, 1948; Salam, 1970; Sirag, 1980, 1983] [1,2,3,4] - You need to revise and reduce the number of equations. 	<p>I have corrected the reference section (I've cancelled the underlining of the authors' names and used hyperlinks with names such as URL1, URL2, URL3..., which can be easily accessed from the online pdf, with one click): However, my Word 2003 doesn't allow to format the reference section (based on endnotes) in two columns (maybe the newest Word allows this special format feature).</p> <p>I have significantly shortened the references from page 9, but also tried to cover the spectrum of values proposed for the hypothetical strong gravity constant.</p> <p>I have also shortened the references given in page 2, as requested.</p> <p>I have revised all the equations from this paper and tried to reduce their total number, although another reviewer asked me for a specific proof that needed some additional equations.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Typographical error :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In page 4, $(= BB[bits] \text{ coupling})$. please check and correct. - In page 17, « 3. A Unification Pattern Of The Four Fundamental Forces/Fields Proposed By DVTM » change to « 4. A Unification Pattern Of The Four Fundamental Forces/Fields Proposed By DVTM ». please check and correct. 	<p>I have corrected the minor typographical error from page 4 and page 17</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an excellent report, and I approve of its publication. It is comprehensive because in this paper proposes a relatively simple "digital" vacuum toy model (DVTM) based on a quantized 3D space composed of space voxels with quantized energetic states. DVTM can be regarded as a patch of M-theory, leading to a specific "volumic"/voxel (V) branes theory ("V-Theory") and explaining the main principles of SRT, GRT and movement based on a "digital" space vacuum composed of SVs with quantized energetic states.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please note that the reference list and the whole manuscript must conform strictly to the Guide for Authors. 	<p>I am glad that the reviewer appreciates the simplicity and concision on DVTM together with its potential in explaining the main principles of SRT, GRT,., movement and its potential as a patch of M-Theory.</p>