



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_41102
Title of the Manuscript:	Identity that Connects Thermal Expansion and Isothermal Compressibility is Not an Identity for Condensed Phases
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Enhance the abstract & Introduction areas;</p> <p>Experimental view is not incorporated;</p> <p>No new methodology was used;</p> <p>Old references are coded in this paper;</p>	<p>I enhanced them.</p> <p>I am a theoretician and use theoretical methods to process experimental data obtained by others. All details of the experiment can be found in the cited papers.</p> <p>The old methodology is sufficient to obtain the results.</p> <p>The references reporting physical properties of substances are scarce. I included all available data.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Enhances the references;	I found everything what exists in the literature (see above).
Optional/General comments		