



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_38559
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY EVALUATION AND QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF BIOGAS PRODUCED FROM CO-DIGESTING KITCHEN WASTE AND COW DUNG
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	54-56: sentence needs to be rewritten. References are not formatted in one style	- 54 – 56 formatting done - References formatted
Minor REVISION comments	79-93 : equipments and tools not necessary (not clear enough) 270- 275: should be in introduction and not in results	- 79 – 93 removed - 270 – 275 moved to introduction
Optional/General comments	Good work with cost effective equipment but need to present in a better language especially grammatical errors	- Done corrections in the whole manuscript and grammatical errors corrected.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>