



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b>Physical Science International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_37789</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dynamics of low energy gamma rays near ground level during July to September 2017, in São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper deals with an up-to-date topic presenting interesting results regarding the radioactivity measurements. In my opinion, to have a standard academic structure of the paper, there is a need to revise several parts of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I propose to remove the conditions of measurements from the Abstract and, on the contrary, to add here some findings.</li> <li>2. Please remove a date from the title.</li> <li>3. The objectives of the work should be done at the end of Introduction.</li> <li>4. All specific information about the measurement should be given in Material and Methods not in Abstract or Results. Please specify the measurement in more detail.</li> <li>5. I suggest the results of measurement to be given in Bq or another radiological unit.</li> <li>6. I miss a discussion regarding the other authors or other results.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 – It is necessary to show the temperature and the rain conditions.</li> <li>2 – It is necessary to declare were made the measurements proposed for that publication.</li> <li>3 – No, the objectives it is all before introduction.</li> <li>4 – We changed according to instructions</li> <li>5 – No because we do not have absolute local measurements. It was only time relative measurements in gamma counts/min.</li> <li>6 – Sorry, we have no such type of measurements in Brazil about that.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		