



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_37470
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Hospital Waste on the Physico-chemical Properties of Soil from Medical Waste Dumpsite in Oghara, Delta State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I will suggest that the topic should be change,	<p>The old title was Impact of Hospital Waste on the Physico-chemical Properties of Soil from Medical Waste Dumpsite in Oghara, Delta State Nigeria.</p> <p>The reviewers propose “Assessment of Impact of leachate on Physicochemical Properties of Soil, within the Vicinity of Oghara Medical Dumpsite, Delta State. Nigeria”.</p> <p>I will go with the reviewer , however I will remove “Assessment of”</p> <p>The new title now reads ‘Impact of leachate on Physicochemical Properties of Soil, within the Vicinity of Oghara Medical Dumpsite, Delta State. Nigeria’</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should go through paper areas that need correction is painted with Yellow Ink	<p>I have gone through the paper. I quit agree with the reviewer in some aspect. However some part he pointed out does not actually require any review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ In abstract for example giving a range from 0-15 cm or 0 to 15 cm is accepted.➤ Ca^+ and Mg^+ as against Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} as been corrected.➤ The detailed geological information will be looked into in future research by collaborating with a geologist.➤ The reason i just reference all the methods is to reduce the volume of the work. The detailed of those methods are found in the referenced journal. However i have describe some of the methods just to buttress my point.➤ Fig. 3 is well presented➤ Equation one is properly placed. It is not a method and thus can not be placed in that section
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		