



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_36631
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the pollution level and its Variation: A Case Study of some selected sites within Kano State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article presents an interesting topic, which is the presence of heavy metals in the water. Nevertheless, in the methodology, the authors report that they determined the presence of these metals in two plants, but they do not explain why. Why not measure directly from the water? If the authors wanted to analyze the accumulation of these metals in plants, then this should be further explored.</p> <p>The manuscript presents several problems of formatting, English language and nomenclature of scientific names. The methodology is poorly described and the study time was only three months, insufficient to draw robust conclusions.</p> <p>The authors do not present the discussion of the results, appearing to be only an observation report in the field.</p>	Please find the corrected paper with respect to the observations made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		