

The coherent states involving Lucas numbers

Aeran Kim*

A Private mathematics academy,
23, Maebong 5-gil, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju-si, Jeollabuk-do, 54921, Republic of Korea.

Received: 28th June

2016

Accepted: XX May 2016

Published: XX May 2016

Original Research Article

Abstract

In this paper we consider the coherent states which play an important role in quantum optics, especially in laser physics and much work in this field. Here we connect the coherent states with the Lucas numbers and Fibonacci numbers.

Keywords: Coherent state, Lucas number.

1 INTRODUCTION

identity

The term coherent state, also called Glauber state, has been introduced by Roy J. Glauber [1] in 1963 year. It is not strongly related to the classical term coherence, and refers to a special sort of pure quantum mechanical state of the light field corresponding to a single resonator mode.

We describe a dynamical system in terms of a pair of complex operators a and a^\dagger , which we call them as the annihilation and creation operators. These operators, which obey the following commutation relation

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1,$$

play a fundamental role in descriptions of systems of harmonic oscillators and quantized fields. It is obvious from the algebraic properties of the operators a and a^\dagger that we may construct a sequence of states for the harmonic oscillator system. These states labeled by $|n\rangle$ satisfy the

$$\begin{aligned} a|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle, \\ a^\dagger|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle, \\ a^\dagger a|n\rangle &= n|n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for an nonnegative integer n . They are generated from the state $|0\rangle$ by the rule

$$|n\rangle = \frac{(a^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

Let us now define for each complex number α the displacement operator

$$D(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a^\dagger - \bar{\alpha} a), \quad (1.3)$$

which is unitary and obeys the relation

$$D^\dagger(\alpha) = D^{-1}(\alpha) = D(-\alpha).$$

When a and b commute with their commutator $c := [a, b]$ we have the well-known Kermack-McCrae identity

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ae_ran_kim@hotmail.com

$$\exp(a+b) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}c) \exp(a) \exp(b), & \text{if ab-ordered,} \\ \exp(\frac{1}{2}c) \exp(b) \exp(a), & \text{if ba-ordered,} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5}) = -1, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\alpha^2 = 1 + \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 = 1 + \beta. \quad (1.10)$$

We can set the Lucas numbers [3] by

$$L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$D(\alpha) = \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \exp(\alpha a^\dagger) \exp(-\bar{\alpha} a). \quad (1.4)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5}). \quad (1.12)$$

For each complex number α the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ is defined by

$$|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle. \quad (1.5)$$

We note that the state $|\alpha\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the operator a with eigenvalue α ,

$$a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\alpha|a^\dagger = \langle\alpha|\bar{\alpha}. \quad (1.6)$$

By using Eqs. (1.2), (1.4), (1.5), and the fact $a|0\rangle = 0$, we may relate the coherent states to the states $|n\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha\rangle &= D(\alpha)|0\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \exp(\alpha a^\dagger) \exp(-\bar{\alpha} a)|0\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \exp(\alpha a^\dagger)|0\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

(see ([2], (2.23))).

In this paper we consider the coherent states as the special states, that is, the eigenvalues α and β of the eigenstates $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ respectively, satisfy the coefficients conditions appearing in Lucas numbers as follows:

$$\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5}) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{5}) = 1, \quad (1.8) \quad \text{where } F_n := \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \text{ is the Fibonacci number.}$$

Depending on the above properties we obtain:

Lemma 1.1. Let α and β be in (1.12). And let the operator a apply to the coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$. Then

$$(a) \quad \langle\beta|\alpha\rangle = \exp(-\frac{5}{2}),$$

$$(b) \quad \langle\beta| \exp(\frac{5}{2}a^\dagger) \exp(\frac{5}{2}a) |\alpha\rangle = 1.$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\langle\beta| (a^n + (a^\dagger)^n) |\alpha\rangle = L_n \exp(-\frac{5}{2}).$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^n \langle\alpha| (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) |\beta\rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle\beta| (a^m + (a^\dagger)^m) |\alpha\rangle \\ &= e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

2 Proofs of Lemma 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. Then we define the Lucas numbers, L_n with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$L_0 = 2, \quad L_1 = 1,$$

and

$$L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n.$$

The very general functions studied by Lucas and generalized by Bell [4], [5], are essentially the L_n defined by (1.11) with α, β being the roots of the quadratic equation $x^2 = Px - Q$ so that $\alpha + \beta = P$ and $\alpha\beta = Q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle &= \langle m | \exp(-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\beta}^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \\ &\quad \times \exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^n}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m | n \rangle \\ &= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta + 1 + \alpha + 1)) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^n}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \delta_{m,n} \\ &= \exp(-\frac{3}{2}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha\beta)^n}{n!} \\ &= \exp(-\frac{3}{2}) \exp(-1) \\ &= \exp(-\frac{5}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) By (1.6) and Lemma 1.1 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | \exp(\frac{5}{2}a^\dagger) \exp(\frac{5}{2}a) | \alpha \rangle &= \exp(\frac{5}{2}\bar{\beta}) \exp(\frac{5}{2}\alpha) \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \exp(\frac{5}{2}(\beta + \alpha)) \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \exp(\frac{5}{2}) \exp(-\frac{5}{2}) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 1.1. (a) First from the definition of α and β in (1.12) we note that

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\beta} = \beta.$$

Then by (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) we have

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (1.6) and Lemma 1.1 (a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | (a^n + (a^\dagger)^n) | \alpha \rangle &= \langle \beta | a^n | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | (a^\dagger)^n | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \alpha^n \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle + \bar{\beta}^n \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle \\ &= (\alpha^n + \beta^n) \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle \\ &= L_n \exp(-\frac{5}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

In Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 we depict

$$\langle \beta | (a^n + (a^\dagger)^n) | \alpha \rangle = L_n \exp(-\frac{5}{2})$$

in Theorem 1.2. Here we can know that as n approaches to a large positive integer, the value $\langle \beta | (a^n + (a^\dagger)^n) | \alpha \rangle$ is bigger. And a transition from the $|\alpha\rangle$ state to $|\beta\rangle$ state behaves like a step function. If $\langle \beta | (a^n + (a^\dagger)^n) | \alpha \rangle$ stands for the probability then physically we should restrict $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ since the probability is greater or equal to 0 and less than or equal to 1.




Figure 1: $L_n e^{-5/2}$ versus n ($0 \leq n \leq 5$)




Figure 2: $L_n e^{-5/2}$ versus n ($5 \leq n \leq 10$)




Figure 3: $L_n e^{-5/2}$ versus n ($10 \leq n \leq 15$)

To obtain the sums of the coherent states

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \alpha | (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) | \beta \rangle \\ & \times \langle \beta | (a^m + (a^\dagger)^m) | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in Theorem 1.3 we request the following identity :

$$\sum_{m=0}^n L_m L_{n-m} = (n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1} \quad (2.1)$$

(see [6]).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Theorem 1.2 and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^n \langle \alpha | (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) | \beta \rangle \\ & \times \langle \beta | (a^m + (a^\dagger)^m) | \alpha \rangle \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^n \langle \alpha | (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) | \beta \rangle \\ & \times L_m \exp(-\frac{5}{2}) \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^n L_{n-m} \exp(-\frac{5}{2}) \cdot L_m \exp(-\frac{5}{2}) \\ & = e^{-10} \sum_{m=0}^n L_m L_{n-m} \\ & = e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

In Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7 we draw

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^n \langle \alpha | (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) | \beta \rangle \\ & \times \langle \beta | (a^m + (a^\dagger)^m) | \alpha \rangle \\ & = e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

in Theorem 1.3. In a similar manner to Figure 1, they are bigger as n is larger and the pictures jump abruptly at integer position but they grow linearly at non-integer spot. And if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^n \langle \alpha | (a^{n-m} + (a^\dagger)^{n-m}) | \beta \rangle \\ & \times \langle \beta | (a^m + (a^\dagger)^m) | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

implies the sum of transition probabilities then physically we should choose $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 14$ because the sum of probabilities is greater or equal to 0 and less than or equal to 1. Furthermore if the number of transition occurs many times then the probability variation becomes smoothly compared to Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3.




Figure 4: $e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1})$ versus n ($0 \leq n \leq 5$)




Figure 5: $e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1})$ versus n ($5 \leq n \leq 10$)




Figure 6: $e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1})$ versus n ($10 \leq n \leq 15$)




Figure 7: $e^{-10} ((n+1)L_n + 2F_{n+1})$ versus n ($15 \leq n \leq 20$)

Next we analogize the coherent state $|\alpha^2\rangle$ and estimate the occupation number in Lemma 2.1. In advance by referring to (1.7) we note that

$$|\alpha^2\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha^2|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \quad (2.2)$$

is adequate since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha^2 | \alpha^2 \rangle &= \langle m | \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha^2|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\alpha}^{2m}}{\sqrt{m!}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha^2|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\alpha^4) \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2m} \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \delta_{m,n} \\ &= \exp(-\alpha^4) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{4n}}{n!} \\ &= \exp(-\alpha^4 + \alpha^4) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(a)

$$\langle \beta | a | \alpha^2 \rangle = \alpha^2 \exp(-2\alpha - 2),$$

(b)

$$\langle \beta | a^\dagger a | \alpha^2 \rangle = -\alpha \exp(-2\alpha - 2).$$

Proof. (a) By (1.1) and (2.2) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | a | \alpha^2 \rangle &= \langle m | \exp\left(-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\beta}^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \cdot a \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha^2|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m | a | n \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m | \sqrt{n} | n-1 \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \sqrt{n} \delta_{m,n-1} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{n-1} \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{(n-1)!n!}} \sqrt{n} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \alpha^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta \alpha^2)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \alpha^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2} + \beta \alpha^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

then by (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and the fact

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^4 &= (\alpha^2)^2 \\ &= (\alpha + 1)^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 \\ &= 3\alpha + 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

the above equation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta | a | \alpha^2 \rangle &= \alpha^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\beta + 1 + 3\alpha + 2}{2} - \alpha\right) \\ &= \alpha^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\beta + 5\alpha + 3}{2}\right) \\ &= \alpha^2 \exp(-2\alpha - 2). \end{aligned}$$

(b) In a similar style, by (1.1), (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), (2.2), and (2.3) we interpret

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \beta | a^\dagger a | \alpha^2 \rangle \\
 &= \langle m | \exp\left(-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\beta}^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \cdot a^\dagger a \\
 & \quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2}\right) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m | a^\dagger a | n \rangle \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^4}{2}\right) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m | n | n \rangle \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^m \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \cdot n \delta_{m,n} \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^n \alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{n!n!}} \cdot n \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) \beta \alpha^2 \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta \alpha^2)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
 &= -\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 + \alpha^4}{2}\right) + \beta \alpha^2 \\
 &= -\alpha \exp(-2\alpha - 2).
 \end{aligned}$$

3 Conclusion

A product of quantum fields, or equivalently their creation and annihilation operators, is usually said to be normal ordered, also called Wick order, when all creation operators(= a^\dagger) are to the left of all annihilation operators(= a) in the product. On the other hand, if the annihilation operators are placed to the left of the creation operators then we define antinormal order. Even though the Wick order and Lucas numbers are recursively, they are strictly different. Wick order gives operators a sequence but Lucas numbers do not provide an order, instead they present an eigenvalue, an expectation value, etc., as a sort of scalar quantity.

References

- [1] Glauber, Roy. J., (1963). Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field. Phys. Rev., 131, 2766–2788.
- [2] Cahill, K. E. and Glauber, R. J., (1969). Ordered expansions in boson amplitude operators. Phys. Rev., (5) 177, 1857–1881.
- [3] Gould, H. W., (1963). Generating Functions for Products of Powers of Fibonacci Numbers. Fibonacci Quarterly, (1) 2, 1–16.
- [4] Bell, E. T., (1935). A revision of the algebra of Lucas functions. Annals of Math., (2) 36, 733–742.
- [5] Bell, E. T., (1935). Arithmetical theorems on Lucas functions and Tchebycheff polynomials. Amer. J. Math., 57, 781–788.
- [6] Kim, A., (2016). Generalization of convolution sums with Fibonacci numbers and Lucas numbers. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, (1) 1, 1–10.

□