



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_38354
Title of the Manuscript:	Coal-fired Power Plants and their impact on Ecosystems Health
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	English writing can be improved. For example, don't use the first person, e.g., we, in academic writing. Try to use "the authors" to replace "we". The in-text citation can be improved. For example, for figure 1, the citation format should be improved. There is a significant difference between figure2(a) and figure2(b), showing the status of the plant. How can the authors make sure that this difference is not resulted by other parameters, for example, the sunshine or the humidity. There are only a few samples. I recommend that the authors should increase the number of test samples.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jianhang Chen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mining Engineering, China University of Mining and Technology, China</b>