# A GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION OF A SOLID WASTE LANDFILL USING VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDING METHOD IN ALUU COMMUNITY, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.

#### ABSTRACT

6 Vertical electrical sounding was conducted around a solid waste landfill in Aluu community for a 7 hydro-geophysical assessment of the contamination of soil and groundwater. The ABEM 8 Terrameter employing the Schlumberger array was used for direct current resistivity model. The 9 acquired data was processed and interpreted using IPI2win software to produce 'A' type curve as well as resistivity and the thickness of the layers with depth. From the result, five layers were 10 obtained and the first layer has a resistivity of 34.7  $\Omega$ m with a thickness of 1.84 m and was 11 interpreted as the top soil. Underlying the first layer is a second layer with a resistivity value of 12 13 114  $\Omega$ m with a depth of 4.29 m and thickness of 2.45 m was interpreted as lateritic sand. The third layer with resistivity value of 215  $\Omega$ m with depth of 11.1 m and thickness of 6.83 m was 14 15 interpreted as sand. There is a fourth layer with resistivity value of 605.0  $\Omega$ m with depth of 41.8 m and thickness of 30.6 m was interpreted as coarse sand and this could be the probable 16 17 aquiferous zone. The fifth layer with resistivity value of 165  $\Omega$ m with undetermined depth and thickness was interpreted as clay. The results revealed that the surrounding soil and groundwater 18 in these areas around the landfill have actually been contaminated to depth exceeding 11.1m 19 which is well within the groundwater aquifer system in the area. 20

21

5

Keywords: Aquiferous zones, resistivity imaging, solid waste landfill, leachate plume,
groundwater.

24

#### 25 Introduction

Groundwater as the main source of potable water supply for domestic, industrial and agricultural uses has been under intense pressure of degradation and contamination due to urbanization, industrial and agricultural related activities. Groundwater is said to be contaminated when it is unfit for the intended purpose and therefore constitute a nuisance to the user. The uncontrolled and indiscriminate dumping of waste materials on the land surface, landfill and water bodies has placed the groundwater at the risk of being contaminated. Various sources of groundwater contamination are indicated in figure 1 below.



35 Figure1: How waste disposal practices contaminate the ground water system.

36 Source: (Philip *et al.*, 2000).

37

34

Electrical and electromagnetic methods are widely used for the investigation of groundwater contamination problems because the dissolved solid content is directly related to electrical conductivity and resistivity (Mazac *et al.*, 1987; McNeill 1990; Benson *et al.*, 1997; Tezkan 1999).

The flow of groundwater in aquifer does not always mirror the flow of water on the surface. It is 42 therefore necessary to know the direction of groundwater flow since the awareness helps us to 43 map out the land area that recharges the public water supply, wells, streams, rivers, lakes, or 44 45 creeks and thereby supports steps to ensure that land use activities in the recharge area will not pose a threat to the quality of the groundwater. With this information, one could also predict how 46 contaminants move through the local groundwater system, since contaminants generally move in 47 the direction of groundwater flow. It is also important to know if the groundwater system is a 48 recharge or discharge system (Okengwu et al., 2015). 49

This study seeks to estimate the vertical extent of leachate contamination with little inference onthe lateral flow of these contaminations.

At the study area, the problem of inadequate safe water is further exacerbated by contamination from a myriad of sources including industrial discharges, oil and gas activities, sewage disposal, domestic and municipal solid waste disposal. The poor state of solid waste management in rural and urban centres of developing countries is now not only an environmental problem but also a social handicap. Most municipal waste which is usually dumped at open grounds is left to adorn the streets of residential areas and leachate produced from mechanical and chemical action by rain freely contaminates surface and ground water (Woke and Babatunde, 2015).

59

Nearly 90% of diarrhoea related cases and deaths have been attributed to unsafe and/or inadequate water supplies and sanitation conditions (Abogan, 2014). According to Okiongbo and Ogobiri (2011), in the Niger Delta, water resources are plentiful but lack the quality for human consumption. Increased population due to rapid growth in the oil and gas industry instigated an increase in the demand for usable water. The emphasis is placed on the exploitation of the groundwater resource.

66

The work of Ugwu and Nwosu (2009) on Effect of Waste Dumps on Groundwater in Choba using Geophysical Method, which is within the same study area for this work revealed that the first two layers at the dumpsite has resistivities of 59.91 and 20.10 ohm.m respectively and at the Demonstration Secondary school as 173.00 and 512.00 ohm.m respectively, showing that the groundwater at the dumpsite is polluted because of the high conductivity. This was confirmed by the laboratory water sample analysis from the environs

73 The problems of solid waste management in the city of Port Harcourt seem to be overwhelming, considering the quantity of waste being generated in the city and its environs. The problem of 74 rural -urban migration into Port Harcourt city has agitated the minds of city planner's. The 75 attendant effect of this population increase is a concomitant increase in solid waste generation. 76 The ineffective management of the solid waste in the city has lead to a number of hazards such 77 as flooding of the city, offensive odours, poor aesthetic conditions, proliferation of disease 78 79 vectors and traffic obstruction (Elenwo, 2015). Several studies, Elenwo (2015), Baadom et al., (2015) and Owoeye and Okogie, (2013) among others have x-rayed the problems of Landfill in 80 Port Harcourt Area. The works revealed that much are still needed to be done to be done to solve 81 the health and environmental problems caused by the poorly managed landfill sites across the 82 City. 83

84

#### 85 Hydrogeology

In the Niger Delta Basin, Quaternary age sediments underlying the Delta Plain consist of coarse to medium grained unconsolidated sands and gravels with thin peats, silts, clays, and shales, forming units of old deltas. The underlying Miocene age Benin Formation is composed of gravels and sands with shales and clays. This multi-aquifer system formation crops out to the northeast of the coastal belt (Adelani *et al.*, 2008).

91

#### 92 Regional Hydrogeology: The Niger Delta

93 The most important aquifers in the Niger Delta are the Deltaic and Benin Formations. Most of 94 the boreholes in the northern parts of the Niger Delta tap unconfined aquifers. In most of these 95 boreholes the geological sequence consists of continuous sandy formations from top to the

5

bottom. However, some aquifers occur under confined conditions resulting in artesian flows. The
marked distinction in this area is discussed below.

98

#### 99 Unconfined Aquifers

(1) Deltaic Formation: The water-table in the Niger Delta area is very close to the ground
surface, ranging from 0 to 9 m below ground level. The aquifers in this area obtain steady
recharge through direct precipitation and major rivers. Rainfall in the Delta is heavy, varying
from about 2400 mm a year inland to 4800 mm near the coast. Some proportion of the rainfall is
lost by runoff and evapotranspiration.

(2) Benin Formation: The sediments of the Benin Formation are more permeable than those of
the Deltaic Plains. The depth to water table ranges between 3 and 15 m below ground surface. A
few values for seasonal fluctuations obtained from the area, indicate seasonal differences
between 2.1 and 3.6 m. The Benin Formation, is sandy and highly permeable, with specific
capacity 150 and 1400m3/d/m (Offodile 1992).

110

#### **111 Confined Aquifers**

112 Confined aquifers occur within both the Deltaic Formation and Benin Formation. These 113 formations are characterized by moderately high yielding artesian flows. In some areas the 114 aquifers are confined by a shale or clay bed up to 36 m thick. The total depth of the aquifers 115 below this shale bed is not yet determined, however, borehole data indicate a depth of 116 approximately 100 m. Hydrogeological information indicate a hydrological connection between 117 the confined aquifers along the coastline and the unconfined aquifers of the Benin Formation to 118 the north, inland. The aquifers increase in thickness towards the mainland, while the confining

6

119 clays thin out. The specific capacity for this formation varies from 90–320m<sup>3</sup>/d/m. In the area 120 underlain by the Benin Formation, the confined aquifers occur in the southeastern part of the 121 Niger Delta. The aquifer was confined by several shale and clay beds. The confined aquifers 122 consist mainly of very coarse to medium-grained sands.

123

#### 124 **Regional Stratigraphic Setting**

125 The Niger Delta stratigraphic sequence comprises an upward-coarsening regressive association

126 of Tertiary clastics up to 12 km thick (figure 2). It is informally divided into three gross

127 lithofacies: (i) marine claystones and shales of unknown thickness, at the base; (ii) alternation of

sandstones, siltstones and claystones, in which the sand percentage increases upwards; (iii)

alluvial sands, at the top. Three lithostratigraphic units have been recognized in the subsurface of

the Niger Delta. These are from the oldest to the youngest, the Akata, Agbada and Benin

<sup>131</sup> Formations all of which are strongly diachronous (Dim, 2013).



#### 132 133

136

Figure 2: Stratigraphic Column Showing the Three Formations of the Niger Delta (Source: Dim,2013)

#### 137 Geology of the Area

The Aluu landfill has coordinates of latitude 04°54'52.4" North and longitude 006°54'28.8" East 138 with an elevation of 20 m within choba community in Obio/Akpor Local Government of Port 139 Harcourt Metropolis in Rivers State, Nigeria. It has dimensions of about 160m by 35 m and it is 140 141 accessible through the Aluu tarred road (Figure 3). The site is surrounded by a network of 142 privately owned residential houses. Furthermore, the landfill is approximately 3km away from the University of Port Harcourt. The uncontrolled and indiscriminate dumping of waste materials 143 144 on the land surface, landfill and water bodies has placed the groundwater at the risk of being contaminated. Aluu region is characterized by alternate seasons of wet and dry (Iloeje, 1972), 145 with total annual rainfall of about 240 cm, relative humidity of over 90% and average annual 146 temperature of 27°C (Udom and Esu, 2004). 147

8

Rapidly increasing population, rising standards of living and exponential growth in 148 industrialization and urbanization tends to add pressure on natural resources (Amos-Uhegbu, et 149 al., 2014). Most local groundwater supplies in Aluu, Uniport And Choba area comes from an 150 151 unconfined Aquifer made up of Loose Soil materials such as sands, gravels, and floodplain deposits left by stream and rivers (Oseji et al., 2005; and Okolie, et al., 2005). Clay which could 152 act as a very good filter for leachate is actually very poorly distributed within the 153 hydrostratigraphic units of Aluu/Choba area (Ugwu and Nwosu, 2009). Hence, the believe of 154 possible penetration of leachate from landfills into the aquifer system. Established lateral flow 155 pattern of groundwater in the study area is towards the south and south-western parts (Okengwu 156 *et al.*, 2015). 157



159

160 Figure 3: Map of the area (Source: google Search)

#### 161 Methodology

Geo-electrical resistivity surveys are now commonly used for geotechnical investigations and environmental surveys (Loke, 1999). The resistivity method is based on measurements using two electrodes, of the potential distribution arising when electric current is transmitted into geological layers through two other electrodes. The resistivity of the subsurface is affected by porosity, amount of water in the subsurface, ionic concentration of the pore fluid and composition of the subsurface material (Keller and Frischknecht, 1988).

The electrical resistivity method in general involves passing current I into the ground through a pair of current electrodes and measuring the potential drop V through a pair of potential electrodes. The apparent resistivity of the model earth formation is related to the potential difference and the current by the equation.

172 
$$\rho_a = K \frac{\Delta V}{I}$$
 1

173 where K is the geometric factor for the electrode array in use.

174

175 When the distance between the two current electrodes is finite (figure 4), the potential at any 176 nearby surface point will be affected by both current electrodes. The potential due to  $C_1$  at  $P_1$  is

177 
$$V_1 = -\frac{A_1}{r_1}$$
 where  $A_1 = -\frac{I\rho}{2\pi}$  2

178Because the currents at the two electrodes are equal and opposite in direction, the potential due179to  $C_2$  at  $P_1$  is

180 
$$V_1 = -\frac{A_2}{r_2}$$
 where  $A_2 = \frac{I\rho}{2\pi} = -A_1$  3

181 Thus, we have

182 
$$V_1 + V_2 = \frac{l\rho}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right)$$
 4

Finally, by introducing a second potential electrode at  $P_2$  we can measure the difference in potential between  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , which will be

185 
$$\Delta V = \frac{I\rho}{2\pi} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{r_3} - \frac{1}{r_4} \right) \right\}$$
5

Such an arrangement corresponds to the four-electrode spreads normally used in resistivity field work. In this configuration the current – flow lines and equipotentials are distorted by the proximity of the second current electrode  $C_2$ .



189

190 Figure 4: Two current and two potential electrodes on the surface of homogeneous isotropic 191 ground of resistivity  $\rho$ 

The Schlumberger electrode configuration was used in all the sounding. In the Schlumberger configuration, all the four electrodes are arranged collinearly and symmetrically placed with respect to the centre. In this array the potential electrode separation is very small compared to the current electrode separation (Figure 5).



197

Figure 5: Vertical electrical sounding field layout for Schlumberger array (source: Igboekwe *et al.*, 2011)

One Schlumberger Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) was carried out using a maximum current 201 electrode separation of AB/2 of 100m. Digital averaging equipment, the ABEM Terrameter, was 202 203 used for direct current (DC) resistivity work. The instrument displays directly the apparent resistivity of the subsurface under probe. It has an built-in DC power source. Four stainless metal 204 stakes were used as electrodes. Other equipments includes wooden pegs, cables for current and 205 206 potential electrodes, hammers (3), measuring tapes and GPS to measure elevation (figure 6). The IPI2win software was used to analyze the data to obtain "A" type curve as well as resistivity 207 208 and the thickness of the layers with depth.





- Figure 6: Photographs of equipment and site view of survey runs

The results from electrical resistivity tests and soil characterization tests were analysed together to understand the interrelation between electrical resistivity and soil properties. Correlation between the layer lithology and vertical electrical sounding is achieved by correlating the resistivity values with the standard values of resistivity as shown in Table 1.

Materials	Normal Resistivity
Ash	4
Laterite	800 - 1500
Lateritic Soil	120 - 750
Gravel (Dry)	1400
Gravel Saturated)	100
Dry sandy Soil	80 - 1050
Sand Clay/Clayed Sand	30 - 215
Sand and Gravel	30 - 225
Saturated Landfill	15 – 30
Glacier Ice (Temperate)	$2 \ge 10^6 - 1.2 \ge 10^8$
Glacier Ice (Polar)	$5 \times 10^4 - 3 \times 10^5$
Permafrost	$10^3 - > 10^4$
Source: AbdulRahim et a	al., 2016.

**Table 1:** Resistivity of common geologic materials.

226 227

### 228 **Data Acquisition and Processing**

The processed field data for VES 1 carried out in the study area yielded an A-type curve (Fig 7)

with five (5) geo-electric layers of resistivity values ranging from 34.7  $\Omega$ m to 605.0  $\Omega$ m. The

depth to geo-electric layers also ranges from 1.84 m to 41.8 m.



14

Figure 7: Computer Modeling for VES 1

235

#### 236 **Results and Discussions**

237 The first layer has a resistivity of 34.7  $\Omega$ m with a thickness of 1.84 m were interpreted as the top soil (Table 2). Underlying the first layer is a second layer with a resistivity value of 114  $\Omega$ m with 238 a depth of 4.29 m and thickness of 2.45 m was interpreted as lateritic sand. The third layer with 239 resistivity value of 215  $\Omega$ m with depth of 11.1m and thickness of 6.83 m were interpreted as 240 sand. There is a fourth layer with resistivity value of 605.0  $\Omega$ m with depth of 41.8 m and 241 thickness of 30.6 m was interpreted as coarse sand and this could be the probable aquiferous 242 zone. The fifth layer with resistivity value of 165  $\Omega$ m with undetermined depth and thickness 243 were interpreted as clay. The geo-electric section is shown in Figure 8. 244

The presence of contaminants, usually in the form of carbonic acid, generally increases the hardness and conductivity of groundwater (Schneider, 1978). From our results, the first two layers show a clear evidence of groundwater contamination, going by the higher conductivity values. The conductivity value drops in the third layer indicating a layer with minor contamination. The fourth layer shows a very sharp increase in resistivity value. By our interpretation, we believe this to be an unconfined aquifer zone yet to be penetrated by the leachates.

The drastic drop in the resistivity value of the fifth layer suggests a possible clay interbed leading to a confined aquifer system. This interpretation agrees with the work of Ugwu and Nwosu (2009). Our results cannot possibly provide any information regarding the lateral extent of contamination. However, going by the report of Okengwu *et al.*, (2015), we also believe there is a possible lateral flow of the contaminants south-western of the landfill.

257

Table 2: The resistivity value, depth, thickness and the lithologic units for VES 1

Resistivity (Ωm)	Depth (m)	Thickness (m)	Lithological Units
34.7	1.84	1.84	Top Soil
114	4.29	2.45	Lateritic Sand
215	11.1	6.83	Sand
605	41.80	30.6	Coarse Sand
165			Clay
		Top Soil	
		– 1.84 m	
	////	Lateritic Sand	
	////	4.29 m	
		Sand	
	<u> </u>	11.1 m	
	$\circ$ $\circ$ $\circ$	Coarse Sand	
ł	$\leftrightarrow$ $\leftrightarrow$ $\leftrightarrow$	41.8 m	
	$\diamond$	Clay	
	$\rightarrow$ $\rightarrow$ $\vee$		

272

273 Conclusion

274 This work has clearly shown that the aquifer system within the area of study has been contaminated with leachates. The subsurface of the area has been contaminated down to a depth 275 of about 11.1 m which means that, for one to drill a borehole in the area, it should be drilled to a 276 depth range of 26-40 m. A possible lateral contamination of the surrounding groundwater system 277 has also been inferred. The results here are in agreement with results from similar studies and 278 previous researches in the area. We recommend these results as a preliminary basis for any major 279 drilling work in the area, however, a more in-depth geophysical/geotechnical approach could 280 equally be attempted for better interpretations. 281

282

292

300

304

#### 283 **Reference**

- AbdulRahim Asif1, Syed Samran Ali, Nazish Noreen, Waqas Ahmed, Sarfraz Khan, Muhammad
   Younis Khan and Muhammad Waseem (2016), Correlation of electrical resistivity of soil
   with geotechnical engineering parameters at Wattar area district Nowshera, Khyber
   Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Journal of Himalayan Earth Sciences 49(1): 124-130
- Abogan, O.S. (2014). Sanitation and Personal Hygiene: Antidote to Cholera Epidermic Outbreak
   in Challenging Environment in Nigeria. *Global Journal of Human Social- Science B: Geography, Geo-Sciences, Environmental Disaster Management*.14(1): 1-7.
- Adelani S. M. A., Olasehinde P. I., Bale R. B., Vrbaka P., Edet A. E. and Goni I. B. (2008),
   Applied Groundwater Studies in Africa Chapter 11, An Overview of the Geology and
   Hydrogeology of Nigeria. 171-198. <u>www.researchgate.net/publication/265686958</u>.
- Amos-Uhegbu, C., Igboekwe, M.U., Chukwu, G.U., Okengwu, K.O. and Eke, K.T., (2014).
  "Aquifer Characteristics and Groundwater Quality Assessment in Ikwuano Area of South eastern Nigeria: Journal of Scientific Research & Reports 3(2): 366-383.
- Baadom Livinus E., Anselemi Ernest A. and Kpalap Elgior M. (2015), Environmental Issues in
   the Urban Fringe of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. International Academic Journal
   of Educational Research. 1(1): 42-53
- Benson AK, Payne KL, Stubben MA (1997) Mapping groundwater
   contamination using dc resistivity and VLF geophysicalmethods a case study.
   Geophysics 62:80–86
- 308

309 310 311 312	Dim Chidozie Izuchukwu Princeton (2013), Regional Stratigraphic and Structural Framework of Parts of the Eastern Coastal Swamp Depo-Belt of the Niger Delta. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Geology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Unpublished.
313 314 315 316 317	Ehirim, C.N., J.O. Ebeniro, and O.P. Olanegan. (2009). "A Geophysical Investigation of Solid Waste Landfill Using 2-D Resistivity Imaging and Vertical Electrical Sounding Methods in Port Harcourt Municipality, Rivers State, Nigeria". <i>Pacific Journal of Science and Technology</i> . 10(2):604-613.
318 319 320 321	Elenwo E. I. (2015), Solid Waste Management Practices in Port Harcourt Metropolis: Problems and Prospects. Journal of Geographic Thought and Environmental Studies, 13(1): 60-81. ISSN 1118-00064
322 323 324 325 326	Igboekwe, M.U., Efurumibe, E. L., Akaninyene, O. A. (2012). "Determination of aquifer characteristics in Eket, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria, using the vertical electrical sounding method" International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering. 4(1): 1-7.
320 327 328	Iloeje N.P. (1972), "A new Geography of West Africa Longman Group Ltd. Nigeria" 22-26.
329 330 331	Keller, G.V. and Frischknecht, F.C. 1988. <i>Electrical Methods in Geophysical Prospecting</i> . Pergamon Press Inc.: New York, NY. 38-39,519.
332 333 334	Loke, M.H. (1999). Electrical Imaging Surveys for Environmental and Engineering Studies. A Practical Guide to 2D and 3D Surveys. Advanced Geosciences, Inc.: Austin, TX. 57.
335 336 337	Mazac O, Kelly WE, Landa I (1987) Surface geoelectrics for groundwater pollution and protection studies. J Hydrol 93: 277–294
338 339 340 241	Mcneill JD (1990) Use of electromagnetic methods for groundwater studies. In: Ward SH (ed) Geotechnical and environmental geophysics, vol 1. Soc Expl Geophys, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 191–218
342 343 344	Offodile, M. E. 1992. An approach to ground water study and development in Nigeria. Mecon, Jos, Nigeria, 247 pp.
345 346 347 348	Okengwu, K. O., Nyenke, N.W. (2015) Groundwater Flow Pattern In Choba And Its Environs, Niger Delta, Nigeria. International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Management. Issn 2277 – 5528. 83-92
349 350 351	Okiongbo K.S. and Ogobiri G.(2011), Geoelectric Investigation of Groundwater Resources in Parts of Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences 3(6): 620-624. ISSN: 2041-0492
352 353 354 355	Okolie, E. C., Osemeikhian, J. E. A., Oseji, J. O., and Atakpo, E., (2005). "Geophysical Investigation of the source of River Ethiope".Ukwuani Local Government area of Delta State.Nigeria Institute of Physics.17.

356 357 358 359 360	Oseji, J. O., Atakpo, E., and Okolie, E. C. (2005). "Geoelectric Investigation of the Aquifer Characteristics and Groundwater Potential in Kwale, Delta State".Nigeria Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management 9(1):157 – 1600. ISSN 1119 – 8362. www.bioline. org.br.ja.
361	Owoeye I. O. Gani and Okogie O. H. (2013), Environmental Audit of a refuse Dump Site in the
362	Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology. 5(2): 59-65.
363	ISSN 2141-2316. www.academicjournals.org/JPHE.
364	
365	Philip, B., Hanadi, S., and Charles, J. (2000). "Ground Water Contamination; Transport and
366	Remediation"
367	
368	Schneider, W.A., (1978). Generalized Regional Geology of Nigeria with Emphasis on Niger
369	Delta and MPN offshore license Terms MPNMXR – 307: 57 -70.
370	
371	Tezkan B (1999) A review of environmental applications of
372	quasi-stationary electromagnetic techniques. Surv Geophys
3/3	20: 279–308
374	Udam CI & Eau EO (2004) ""A maliminary Assessment of the Impact of Solid master or
375	udom, G.J.& Esu, E.O. (2004), A preliminary Assessment of the Impact of Solid Wastes on soil and groundwater system in parts of Part Hereourt City and its Environg. Nigeria
370	son and groundwater system in parts of Port-Harcourt City and its Environs, Nigeria. $4(1)$ , 22–22
377 270	4(1). 25-52.
270	Ugwu S. A. and Nwosu, J. I. (2000). Effects of Waste Dumps on Groundwater in Choba Using
379 380 381	Geophysical Methods. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. 13(1): 85-89.