

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_33660
Title of the Manuscript:	Maxwell Relations for Substances with Negative Thermal Expansion and Negative Compressibility
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed
		manuacrint and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION	The manuscript considered negative thermal expansion of substances and	
comments	the changes of Maxwell relations and	
	Universal Maxwell relations have been derived. According to the abstract	
	The results obtained have been confirmed experimentally by a number of	
	authors.	
	Comments:	
	1. The phenomenon is not new, it is known for two decades at	
	least.	
	2 The observation of change in Maxwell relations was	
	considered by Kal Benganathan Sharma "On Thermodynamic	
	Analysis of Substances with Negative Coefficient of Thermal	
	Evennion" Engineering 2012 5 944 940 Published Online	
	Expansion, Engineering, 2015, 5, 644-649 Published Online	
	November 2013 (http://www.scirp.org/journal/eng)	
	<u>nttp://ax.aoi.org/10.4236/eng.2013.511102</u>	
	3. The abstract promised experimental verification, but in the	
	text there were no detailed examples to demonstrate the	
	usefulness of the derived equation.	
	The manuscript should be classified review rather than research.	
Minor REVISION comments	Equations (4) and (5) when compared to equation (3) one observes that	
	the heat change is disappeared, why?	
	Are these adiabatic processes?	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Huleihil
Department, University & Country	Computer science, Beit-berl academic college, Israel