



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_32396
Title of the Manuscript:	Remarks on the Significance of Pentaquark Classification
Type of the Article	Original research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

Dr Sastry



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It seems that 40% or more of the manuscript are using references. I understand this to be an original manuscript Section 3 is very well done. Categories are well explained.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please recheck formula 3.2	
Optional/General comments	Explain real world applications and possible applications. Explained very well but early part of manuscript looked like a review article.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay Sastry
Department, University & Country	Dept Of Phyics , C F Cosmological Center, USA