



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_31697
Title of the Manuscript:	Radioactivity levels in maize from high background radiation areas and dose estimates for the public in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please improve the quality of Fig. 1 Line 141: "each sample was parked into cylindrical stainless." I think the authors mean paked and not parked. Table 4: what does it mean and uncertainty of 0.00?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is original, well written and interesting.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Santos
Department, University & Country	Federal University Of Rio De Janeiro , Brazil