



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_31669</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Computer Modeling of Properties of Superparticles with the Help of Experimental Data Run I at the LHC</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. In the abstract: The last sentence saying "These results are relevant..." must be written at the end of the conclusion, saying "These results can be relevant..." or just eliminated it.</p> <p>2. Sentence on line 23 saying "supersymmetric was tested by a..." must be changed by "supersymmetric can give an explanation to the following experiments which indicate physics beyond SN: "</p> <p>3. lines 24, 25 and 26 require references.</p> <p>4. Explain if the <math>M_0</math> appearing in the Lagrangia is the same <math>m_0</math> appearing in Figures 1,2,3,4 and table 1.</p> <p>5. Explain the meaning of <math>\tan\beta</math> appearing in table 1.</p> <p>6. Table 2 looks like that it indicates that scenarios I and II have the lightest Higg masses, contrary to lines 114 and 115 are saying.</p> <p>7. Units on tables 5 and 6 are not clear and different. Use the same units in both tables.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>It would be good point out in conclusion that ...so far, no clear evident has been found in LHC of SUSY particles, but one expects to find them at higher energies.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gustavo Lopez Velazquez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Physics Department, Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico</b>