



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_30616
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure Equations, Permitted Movement of Relativistic Continuum and Sagnac's, Erenfest's and Bell's paradoxes
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The background of this article is not clearly stated; thus, it is difficult to understand the importance of this article. 2. Were there published studies similar to this article. The authors may provide a short literature review. 3. In presenting an equation, I suggest the authors list the meaning of each symbol. For example, in Eq. (1.2), the meanings of symbols V^u and V_v are not explained.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revise the formats of reference list. Each reference should provide the similar information. For example, the reference [31] doesn't contain the article's title. Similar problems have been found in references [11-15].	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NA	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Y. Sheu
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Accounting and Information System, Chang-Jung Christian University, Taiwan