



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_30177
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Electroconductivity of steady viscous MHD incompressible fluid between two porous parallel plates provoked by chemical reaction and radiation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The paper deals with an interesting topic. But authors must be using high quality references (either as a model for drafting or validation) It is suggested that these references: <b>Mebarek-Oudina, F., Bessaih, R. (2014) "Numerical modeling of MHD stability in a cylindrical configuration," <i>Journal of the Franklin Institute</i> 351 (2), 667–681.</b></p> <p><b>Rajesh V (2011) Chemical reaction and radiation effects on the transient MHD free convection flow of dissipative fluid past an infinite vertical porous plate with ramped wall temperature. <i>Chem Ind Chem Eng Quar</i> 17, 189–198 .</b></p> <p>2. In the nomenclature part, authors must add units for variables (symbols) and details for dimensionless numbers.</p> <p>3. The quality of the figures 2-6 are not good (some data of the figures are not clear). The authors must be redrawing these figures.</p> <p>4. The author has just reported figures and scientific reasons for the observations is not provided. Add this part in conclusion.</p> <p>5. Hartmann number <math>H</math> must be written as <math>Ha</math> . This work is interesting. The discussion is acceptable. In addition this manuscript is written carefully.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Fateh Mebarek-Oudina</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Physics Department, Sciences Faculty, Skikda University, Algeria</i></b>