



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_26627
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of an Improved Palm Kernel Shelling Machine and Separator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Fig. 1, cracking efficiency after 19 mm palm kernel diameter is lower in developed machine over existing method. Justify it/ 2. Put space between two consecutive words 3. Made necessary modifications as suggested 	
Optional/General comments	Capacity should be in kg/h instead of no/sec.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagvir Dixit
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, India