



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_36683
Title of the Manuscript:	ELEMENTAL CHARACTERIZATION AND SOURCE IDENTIFICATION OF FINE PARTICULATE MATTER (PM2.5) IN INDUSTRIAL AREA OF LAGOS STATE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper should be published as it provides valuable data on a subject of major health significance that is all too often ignored. The following edits, I believe, should be made to improve the manuscript and make it more applicable to Western readers. Line 3 LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA Line 8 ...biomass burning and in the United States and Europe deliberately emplaced aerial pollution to manipulate climate. Line 31 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) agencies such ... Line 35 authors may find specific epidemiological references on pollution in article posted at http://www.nuclearplanet.com/mw1.pdf Line 39 2003) and in Western nations deliberately emplaced aerial pollution to manipulate climate [J. Marvin Herndon and Mark Whiteside, MD. (2017) Further evidence of coal fly ash utilization in tropospheric geoengineering: Implications on human and environmental health. <i>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</i>, 9(1), 1-8; Article no.JGEESI.31417] Line 46, 47 List country also. Especially helpful for Western readers.	The corrections on lines 3, 8, 31, 35, 39, 46 and 47 have been made and the corrected statements/words were highlighted with yellow colour
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		