



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>Physical Science International Journal</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_35177</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE COHERENT STATES AND LUCAS NUMBERS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Abstract was not well written, very brief and no brief of objectives, scope, approach, results and conclusion. No clear definition of annihilation and creation operator and their functional application. Inadequate literature review and references for a manuscript and subject matter.	<b>Most physicians know definition of annihilation and creation operator so I do not mention their definition..</b>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Format of equation numbering need improvement and better to use standard format, ie equation number at the right end. Title was very brief and was not reflection of the content. Conclusion was not based on the discussion and no new findings highlighted.	I am sorry that it is poor. I changed the title a little bit.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Manuscript contains very basic existing knowledge without clear justification for study and functional application of the subject matter.	