



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_35177
Title of the Manuscript:	THE COHERENT STATES AND LUCAS NUMBERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should give the comparison with their results(see Figs 1 to 6). The conclusion does not reflect the content of the text.	I want to mention the comparison with Wick ordering in the conclusion part briefly. It is enough to mention my results in explanation of figures.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		