



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_34746
Title of the Manuscript:	Natural Radioactivity in Vegetables from Selected Areas in Manyoni, Central Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript needs major revision has highlighted in the manuscript. Findings should be based on average of samples counted. This should be reflected and well stated when writing report of the findings.	The reviews suggestions/comments were incorporated in the manuscript and are highlighted in yellow throughout the manuscript. The efficiency of the detector used was 100 %. The relative efficiency values greater or equal to than 100 % can be encountered when working with very large Ge detectors. The limits used as daily intake (Table 8) were supposed to be used as annual intake of nuclides. Hence, the annual intake from this study seems to be higher than the recommended annual intake of nuclides via food stuff.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		